

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4 OAKLAND DIVISION

5 ESTHER DARLING, et al.,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the
9 Department of Health Care Services, et al.,

10 Defenda nts.

Case No: C 09-3798 SBA

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION OF
MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Dkt. 522, 583

11
12
13 The Court previously referred Plaintiffs' Motion for Enforcement of Stipulated
14 Judgment and for Appointment of Special Master (Dkt. 522) to Magistrate Judge
15 Jacqueline Corley ("the Magistrate") for findings and recommendations. Dkt. 460. On
16 November 20, 2012, the Magistrate issued her report and recommendation in which she
17 recommended denying Plaintiffs' motion. Dkt. 583, 584.

18 Any objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within fourteen days
19 of receipt thereof. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Civ. L.R. 72-2, 72-3. The
20 district court must "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report to which
21 objection is made," and "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
22 recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Civ. L.R.
23 72-3(a) (requiring that any objections be accompanied by a motion for de novo
24 determination).

25 The deadline to file an objection to the report and recommendation was December 4,
26 2012. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1), 72(b). To date, no objections have been filed in this
27 case. In the absence of a timely objection, the Court "need only satisfy itself that there is
28 no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Fed. R.

1 Civ. P. 72, Advisory Committee Notes (1983) (citing Campbell v. U.S. Dist. Court, 501
2 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974)); see also United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121
3 (9th Cir. 2003) (“The statute [28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C)] makes it clear that the district
4 judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if [an]*
5 *objection is made*, but not otherwise.”) (en banc). The Court has reviewed the record on its
6 face and finds no clear error. Accordingly,

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Magistrate’s report and recommendation is
8 ACCEPTED and shall become the Order of this Court. Plaintiffs’ Motion for Enforcement
9 of Stipulated Judgment and for Appointment of Special Master to Magistrate Judge is
10 therefore DENIED. This Order terminates Docket 522 and 583.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: March 8, 2013


13 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28