1	Mary Jo O'Neill # 005294	
2	C. EMANUEL SMITH MS BAR NO. 7473 KATHERINE J. KRUSE # 019127	
	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	
3	Phoenix District Office	
4	3300 North Central Avenue, Suite 690 Phoenix, Arizona 85012	
5	Telephone: (602) 640-5029	
	e-mail: mary.oneill@eeoc.gov emanuel.smith@eeoc.gov	
6	katherine.kruse@eeoc.gov	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
10		01 111111111111111111111111111111111111
11	Equal Employment Opportunity (Commission,	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs.	COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND
14	The Boeing Company, a Delaware	
15	corporation,	
	Defendant.	
16	]	
17	NATURE OF THE ACTION	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, against the Defendant, the Boeing Company, to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex and/or opposition to unlawful sex discrimination, and to provide appropriate relief to Antonia Castron and Renee Wrede, female former employees of Boeing who worked as engineers. Boeing discriminated against Ms. Castron by transferring her due to her opposition to unlawful sex discrimination and then terminating her based on her sex, female, and/or her opposition to unlawful sex discrimination. Boeing also discriminated against Ms. Wrede by terminating her based on her sex, female, and/or her opposition to unlawful sex discrimination.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1.

1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, ("EEOC" or "Commission"), is an agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1).
- 4. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, both Ms. Castron and Ms. Wrede filed charges with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by the Defendants. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant, the Boeing Company, has continuously been a Delaware corporation doing business in the State of Arizona.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant, the Boeing Company, has continuously had more than 500 employees.
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

### **CONCILIATION**

8. Prior to institution of this lawsuit, the Commission's representatives attempted to eliminate the unlawful employment practices alleged below and to effect voluntary compliance with Title VII through informal methods of conciliation, conference and persuasion within the meaning of Section 706(b) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(b).

### **STATEMENT OF CLAIMS**

# First Claim: Discriminatory and/or Retaliatory Termination of Antonia Castron

9. After she was transferred to the PSA group at Boeing's facility in Mesa,

 Arizona, Antonia Castron began to make complaints to Boeing management about conduct she reasonably, and in good faith, believed to be unlawful sex discrimination.

10. Beginning on or near August, 2002, Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices in Arizona, in violation of Section 703(a) and/or 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-2(a), 2000e-3(a), by transferring Antonia Castron due to her opposition to unlawful sex discrimination, and then terminating Ms. Castron based on her sex, female, and/or her opposition to unlawful sex discrimination.

# Second Claim: Discriminatory and/or Retaliatory Termination of Renee Wrede

- 11. In 1998 and in 2000, Antonia Castron complained to Boeing management about conduct she reasonably, and in good faith, believed to be unlawful sex discrimination.
- 12. On or near January, 2003, Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices in Arizona, in violation of Section 703(a) and/or 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-2(a), 2000e-3(a), by terminating Renee Wrede based on her sex, female, and/or her opposition to unlawful sex discrimination.

## **Allegations Pertaining to Both Claims:**

- 13. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraphs 9 through 12 above has been to deprive Ms. Castron and Ms. Wrede of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees because of their sex and/or their opposition to unlawful sex discrimination.
- 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 9 through 12 above were intentional.
- 15. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 9 through 12 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Ms. Castron and Ms. Wrede.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex or because a person has

opposed unlawful discrimination.

- B. Order the Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for female employees and for persons who oppose unlawful discrimination, and which eradicate the effects of its past unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order the Defendant to make whole Ms. Castron and Ms. Wrede by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 9 through 12 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- D. Order the Defendant to make whole Ms. Castron and Ms. Wrede by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 9 through 12 above, including, but not limited to, emotional pain, suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, inconvenience, and loss of enjoyment of life, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order the Defendant to pay Ms. Castron and Ms. Wrede punitive damages for their malicious conduct or reckless indifference described and referenced in paragraphs 9 through 12 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
  - H. Award the Commission its costs in this action.

### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2005.

JAMES L. LEE Deputy General Counsel

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Associate General Counsel

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 1801 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507

1	s/ Mary Jo O'Neill MARY JO O'NEILL
2	Regional Attorney
3	s/ C. Emanuel Smith C. EMANUEL SMITH
4	Supervisory Trial Attorney
5	s/ Katherine J. Kruse KATHERINE J. KRUSE
6	Trial Attorney
7	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
8	Phoenix District Office 3300 North Central Ave., Suite 690 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2504
9	(602) 640-5029
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	