1 WILLIAM R. TAMAYO – #084965 (CA) IONATHAN T. PECK -- #12303 (VA) EVANGELINA FIERRO HERNANDEZ -- #168879 (CA) LINDA ORDONIO-DIXON -# 172830 (CA) 3 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 4 San Francisco District Office 350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 5 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 625-5622 6 (415) 625-5657 Facsimile: 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY** Civil Action No. 12 COMMISSION, **COMPLAINT** 13 Plaintiff, Civil Rights - Employment 14 v. Discrimination 15 **KOVACEVICH "5" FARMS,** A Partnership, 16 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 17 Defendant. 18 NATURE OF THE ACTION 19 This action is brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 20 Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the 21 basis of sex and to provide appropriate relief to Charging Party Patricia Delgado, 22 Charging Party Myriam Cazarez, Charging Party Flor Rivera, Charging Party Miriam 23 Delgado, Charging Party Terri Salcido and Charging Party Delia Casas (collectively 24 "Charging Parties") and similarly situated female employees who were adversely 25 affected by such practices. Defendant Kovacevich "5" Farms refused to hire the 26 Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees based on their sex. 27 28

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to §706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII") and §102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981a.
- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Fresno division.

#### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

3. This action is appropriate for assignment to Fresno because some of the unlawful employment practices alleged were and are being committed within Kern County, the employment record relevant to the unlawful practices are located in Kern County, and because Defendant's principal place of business is in Kern County.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Commission") is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by §706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, §2000-e(f)(1) and (3).
- 5. Defendant Kovacevich "5" Farms is a California partnership, doing business in the State of California, in the County of Kern, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Kovacevich "5" Farms has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce, within the meaning of Section 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000-e(b), (g) and (h).
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant Kovacevich "5" Farms was the sole employer of Charging Parties for purposes of Title VII liability.

28 /

### 

#### 

#### 

# 

### 

### 

## 

### 

### 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

#### 

### 

# 

### 

### 

#### **STATEMENT OF CLAIMS**

#### **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### Violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act: Sex Discrimination

- 8. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, the Charging Parties filed their charge with Plaintiff Commission, alleging violations of Title VII by Defendants. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 9. Since at least January of 1998, Defendant have engaged in unlawful practices of sex discrimination, in violation §703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a), by subjecting refusing to hire the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees based on their sex.
- 10. The effect of the actions complained of in Paragraph 9 above has been to deprive the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees of equal employment opportunities and has otherwise adversely affected their status as employees because of their sex.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 9 above were intentional.
- 12. The unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 9 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participation with Defendant, from engaging in discrimination against its employees, including the failure to hire females because of their sex.
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which prohibit discrimination based on sex and which serve to eradicate the

effects of their unlawful employment practices.

- C. Order Defendant to make whole the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees by providing appropriate back pay and benefits with prejudgment interest, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of their unlawful employment practices, including, but not limited to, reinstatement and/or front pay and other appropriate relief to be determined at trial.
- D. Order Defendant to make whole the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees harmed by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices complained of above, including, but not limited to, out-of-pocket expenses such as medical care necessitated by Defendants' unlawful conduct, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make whole the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees harmed by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of above, including, but not limited to, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay the Charging Parties and similarly situated female employees harmed by Defendant by providing punitive damages for the malicious and reckless conduct described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper in the public interest.
  - H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

James L. Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Young Reams Associate General Counsel

1		Equal Englander On a set of the
2		Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 1801 L Street, N.W.
3		Washington, DC 20507
4	Date: February 13, 2006	/s/ William R. Tamayo
5	;   Bate. 1 columny 13, 2000	/s/ William R. Tamayo WILLIAM R. TAMAYO Regional Attorney
6		
7	Date: February 13, 2006	/s/ Jonathan T. Peck JONATHAN T. PECK
8		JONATHAN T. PECK Supervisory Trial Attorney
9		
10	Date: February 13, 2006	/s/ Evangelina Fierro Hernandez EVANGELINA FIERRO HERNANDEZ
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>		Senior Trial Attorney
13		Equal Employment Opportunity
14		Commission San Francisco District Office
15		350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94105
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	II	