

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,	}	No. CV 10-1413-PHX-SRB
Plaintiff,	}	ORDER
vs.	}	
State of Arizona; Janice K. Brewer,	}	
Governor of the State of Arizona, in her	}	
official capacity,	}	
Defendants.	}	

The Court now resolves several pending Motions for Leave to File *Amicus Curiae* Briefs. (Docs. 14, 30, 37, 46, 49, 52, 57, 66.) The following Motions were filed in this matter:

- Doc. 14, Motion for Leave to File Brief of *Amicus Curiae* The Center on the Administration of Criminal Law (filed July 8, 2010);
- Doc 30, Motion and Memorandum for Request to File Brief of *Amici Curiae* Michigan, Florida, Alabama, Nebraska, Northern Mariana Islands, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, and Virginia (filed July 14, 2010);
- Doc. 37, Revised Motion by American Bar Association to File *Amicus Curiae* Brief in Support of Plaintiffs’ [sic] Motion for Preliminary Injunction (filed July 15, 2010);
- Doc. 46, *Amicus Curiae* Motion in Support of the State of Arizona and Janice K. Brewer by Ray Elbert Parker (filed July 16, 2010);
- Doc. 49, Motion for Leave to File Brief *Amicus Curiae* on Behalf of American Unity

- 1 Legal Defense Fund (filed July 19, 2010);
- 2 • Doc. 52, Motion for Leave to File *Amicus Curiae* Memorandum by Arizona
- 3 Municipal Risk Retention Pool (filed July 19, 2010);
- 4 • Doc. 57, Motion for Leave to File Brief of *Amici Curiae* Washington Legal
- 5 Foundation, et al. (filed July 20, 2010);
- 6 • Doc. 66, Motion for Leave to File Proposed Brief *Amici Curiae* of Members of the
- 7 United States Congress Trent Franks, et al. (filed July 20, 2010).

8 These Motions were received by the Court and many other filings in the days leading

9 up to the July 29, 2010, effective date of Senate Bill 1070 and House Bill 2162 (collectively,

10 “S.B. 1070”), a set of statutes and statutory revisions. On July 22, 2010, the Court heard oral

11 argument on Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and on July 28, 2010, the Court

12 granted the Motion in part and denied it in part and preliminarily enjoined the enforcement

13 of certain sections of S.B. 1070. (See Doc. 78, Minute Entry; Doc. 27, Pl.’s Mot. for Prelim

14 Inj.; Doc. 87, Order.) In light of the time constraints and the numerous Motions and many

15 other *amicus* briefs filed and reviewed in this case and the other lawsuits challenging S.B.

16 1070, the Court did not review or consider the above-listed Motions and their accompanying

17 proposed briefs, all of which make arguments related to the issuance of preliminary

18 injunctive relief, before issuing the July 28, 2010, Order on the Motion for Preliminary

19 Injunction.

20 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** denying the following Motions to file *Amicus*


21 *Curiae* briefs as moot: Docs. 14, 30, 37, 46, 49, 52, 57, 66.

22

23 DATED this 3rd day of September, 2010.

24

25

26 

 Susan R. Bolton
 United States District Judge

27

28