

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. **CV 10-2211 DMG (DTBx)** Date March 14, 2014

Title ***Jose Antonio Franco-Gonzalez v. Eric H. Holder, et al.*** Page 1 of 1

Present: The Honorable **DOLLY M. GEE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

VALENCIA VALLERY

Deputy Clerk

NOT REPORTED

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s)
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s)
None Present

**Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS—ORDER RE PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR COURT
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT [Doc. ## 686, 704]**

On February 12, 2014, Plaintiff-Petitioner Jose Antonio Franco-Gonzalez, by and through his guardian *ad litem*, Ruben Franco-Gonzalez, filed a motion for court approval of the settlement agreement entered into by Defendants and Plaintiff-Petitioner resolving causes of action six and seven of the Third Amended Complaint and to dismiss those claims. [Doc. ## 686, 704.] In support of the motion, Plaintiff-Petitioner filed a declaration of his guardian *ad litem*, in which the guardian *ad litem* explained that he reviewed the terms of the settlement agreement in detail and believes that the settlement is in Plaintiff-Petitioner’s best interests. [Doc. # 704-1.] On February 21, 2014, Defendants filed a notice of non-opposition to the motion. [Doc. # 706.] The motion came on for hearing on March 14, 2014, at which the parties made their appearances.

In light of the foregoing, and upon a review of the settlement agreement and the articulated reasons underlying the settlement, the Court finds that the settlement agreement is in the best interests of Plaintiff-Petitioner Franco-Gonzalez and **GRANTS** the motion for approval of the settlement agreement. Pursuant to the parties’ settlement agreement, causes of action six and seven of the Third Amended Complaint are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.