

RECEIVED MAY 03 2007

FILED

MAY 1 2007

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,
et al.,
Defendants.

NO. C01-1351 TEH

CLASS ACTION

ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE RE
RECEIVER'S MOTION FOR
WAIVER OF STATE LAW RE
PHYSICIAN CLINICAL
COMPETENCY
DETERMINATIONS

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court is in receipt of the Receiver's "Motion for Waiver of State Law Re Physician Clinical Competency Determinations" and supporting exhibits. By this motion, the Receiver seeks to implement a new peer review system that would govern physicians practicing medicine within the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). The purpose of this system is to address the issues concerning physician competency identified in this Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, filed October 30, 2005. Specifically, the Receiver seeks the following relief:

(1) an order directing him to commence implementation of a "single hearing privileges and employment physician peer review program," as detailed in Exhibit 3 to his motion,¹

¹ The proposed program would create a "standardized mechanism to determine (1) when clinical privileges should be suspended, revoked or restricted; and (2) when remedial

1 (2) an order establishing staff privileges as defined by California Business and
2 Professions Code § 805(a)(4) as a condition of employment for physicians providing clinical
3 care in the CDCR, and


4 (3) a waiver of a number of provisions of the California Government Code, and one
5 provision of the California Code of Regulations “insofar as they foreclose on consolidated
6 evidentiary hearings regarding privileges and employment through peer review proceedings
7 as outlined in Exhibit 3 to the Receiver’s motion.” *See* Receiver’s Mot. at 20-21.

8 Pursuant to the Court’s Order of February 14, 2006 at ¶ II(D), the Court sets forth the
9 following procedure for consideration of this matter. All parties shall file their response to
10 this motion no later than 21 days from the date of this Order. In addition, the Court shall
11 permit, no later than 21 days from the date of this Order, amici curiae responses from the
12 following entities that were served with this Motion by the Receiver but are not parties to this
13 action: The State Personnel Board and the Union of American Physicians and Dentists
14 (“UAPD”). *See* Proof of Service to Receiver’s Motion.

15 Upon receipt of the above responses, the Court shall determine whether further
16 proceedings, such as additional briefing or oral argument, are appropriate, and if so notify the
17 parties and amici. If the Court issues no further procedural orders, the matter shall be
18 deemed submitted on the papers.

19
20
21
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: 5/1/07

25 
26 _____
27 THELTON E. HENDERSON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

29 _____
30 measures in lieu of or in addition to those impacting privileges are appropriate.” *See* Ex. 3 to
Receiver’s Mot. at 1.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PLATA et al,

Plaintiff,

v.

SCHWARZENEGGER, et al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CV01-01351 TEH

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on May 1, 2007, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Alton G. Burkhalter
Burkhalter Michaels Kessler & George LLP
2020 Main Street, Suite 600
Irvine, CA 92614

Caroline N. Mitchell
Jones Day
555 California Street
26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

Charles J. Antonen
California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102

Donald Howard Specter
Prison Law Office
General Delivery
San Quentin, CA 94964

Jerrold C. Schaefer
Hanson Bridgett Marcus Vlahos & Rudy LLP
425 Market Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-2173

John Hagar
Judge's Reading Room

450 Golden Gate Ave
18th Floor
Law Library
San Francisco, CA 94102

Martin H. Dodd
Futterman & Dupree LLP
160 Sansome Street, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

Paul B. Mello
Hanson Bridgett Marcus Vlahos & Rudy LLP
425 Market Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

Robert Sillen
California Prison Receivership
1731 Technology Drive, Suite 700
San Jose, CA 95110

Samantha D. Tama
California State Attorney General 's Office
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004

Steven Fama
Prison Law Office
General Delivery
San Quentin, CA 94964


Warren E. George
Bingham McCutchen LLP
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4066

Andrea Lynn Hoch, Legal Affairs Secretary
Office of the Governor
Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814

Elise Rose, Counsel
State Personnel Board
801 Capitol Mall
Sacramento, CA 95814

Gary Robinson, Executive Director
UAPD
1330 Broadway Blvd.
Suite 730
Oakland, CA 94612

Dated: May 1, 2007


Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: R.B. Espinosa, Deputy Clerk

Robert Sillen
California Prison Receivership
1731 Technology Drive, Suite 700
San Jose, CA 95110

CV01-01351 THE
