

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ELLIOTT ABRAMS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIS CHAPMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 20-11053

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

ORDER

REQUIRING RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY MOTIONS (Dkts. 41, 42), GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICI CURIAE BRIEF (Dkt. 32), AND GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-REPLY (Dkt. 35)

The Court held a telephonic status conference on June 1, 2020, to discuss Plaintiffs' emergency motions (Dkts. 41, 42). Based on the discussions at the conference, Defendants must file responses to the emergency motion by noon on June 2, 2020.

The Court has also reviewed the motion to file an amicus brief (Dkt. 32). Plaintiffs concurred in the motion. Defendants did not concur in the motion to file an amicus brief, but they have not filed a response in opposition to the motion. The Court has reviewed the motion and finds that it ought to be granted. The amicus brief (Dkt. 34) is deemed filed.

Defendants' motion for leave to file a sur-reply (Dkt. 35) is granted in part. The issue of a sur-reply brief was discussed at the May 22, 2020 status conference. Although Plaintiffs oppose the sur-reply brief, the Court finds Defendants have shown good cause exists to file a sur-reply to address any purported factual or legal inaccuracies in Plaintiffs' reply brief. Defendants may file a sur-reply on or before June 5, 2020, not to exceed five pages.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 1, 2020
Detroit, Michigan

s/Mark A. Goldsmith
MARK A. GOLDSMITH
United States District Judge