

**Juan C. Chavez**, OSB #136428  
**Brittney Plessner**, OSB #154030  
**Franz Bruggemeier**, OSB #163533  
**Alex Meggitt**, OSB #174131  
Oregon Justice Resource Center  
PO Box 5248  
Portland, OR 97208  
Tel: 503-944-2270  
Facsimile: 971-275-1839

**David F. Sugerman**, OSB # 862984  
**Nadia Dahab**, OSB # 125630  
Sugerman Law Office  
707 SW Washington St Ste 600  
Portland OR 97205  
Tel: 503-228-6474  
Facsimile: 503-228-2556

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
EUGENE DIVISION

PAUL MANEY; GARY CLIFT; GEORGE  
NULPH; THERON HALL; DAVID HART;  
MICAHA RHODES; and SHERYL LYNN  
SUBLET, individually, on behalf of a class of  
other similarly situated,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
KATE BROWN, COLETTE PETERS; HEIDI  
STEWART; MIKE GOWER; MARK NOOTH;  
ROB PERSSON; KEN JESKE; and PATRICK  
ALLEN  
Defendants.

Case No. 6:20-cv-00570-SB

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL

1. My name is Juan C. Chavez, and I am one of the Counsels of Record for the Plaintiff in the above-referenced case.
2. This declaration is prepared for the purpose of identifying exhibits for Plaintiffs' Motion and Memorandum for Entry of a Temporary Restraining Order.

3. I am attaching with this declaration true and correct copies of excerpts from the Depositions of Daniel Dewsnup, MD, conducted on November 9, 2020, and Snake River Superintendent Brad Cain, conducted on December 22, 2020. I note that portions of Dr. Dewsnup are currently confidential pursuant to the parties' Stipulated Protective order. No excerpts provided come from the confidential section of the deposition.

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENT IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, AND THAT I UNDERSTAND IT IS MADE FOR USE AS EVIDENCE IN COURT AND IS SUBJECT TO PENALTY FOR PERJURY.

DATE: January 21, 2020.

/s/ Juan C. Chavez  
Juan C. Chavez, OSB #136428  
Attorney for Plaintiff

**\*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL PORTIONS \*\*\***

Deposition of :  
**Daniel Dewsnup, MD**

November 9, 2020

Paul Maney; et al.  
vs.  
State of Oregon; et al.

Case No.: 6:20-CV-00570-SB



**S Y N E R G Y**  
L E G A L

LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
EUGENE DIVISION

PAUL MANEY; GARY CLIFT;  
GEORGE NULPH; THERON HALL;  
DAVID HART; MICAH RHODES; AND  
SHERYL LYNN SUBLET,  
individually, on behalf of a  
class of other similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 6:20-CV-00570-SB

STATE OF OREGON; KATE BROWN;  
COLETTE PETERS; HEIDI STEWARD;  
MIKE GOWER; MARK NOOTH; ROB  
PERSSON; and KEN JESKE,  
Defendants.

ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF

DANIEL DEWSNUP, M.D.

Taken on behalf of Plaintiffs

\*\*CONFIDENTIAL PORTION\*\*

1                   BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to the  
2 Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure, the Zoom  
3 videoconference deposition of DANIEL DEWSNUP, M.D.  
4 was taken before Rosemary Tanzer, a Registered  
5 Professional Reporter and a Certified Shorthand  
6 Reporter for Oregon and Washington, on Monday,  
7 November 9, 2020, commencing at the hour of 9:08  
8 a.m., in Portland, Oregon.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs:

OREGON JUSTICE RESOURCE CENTER

BY MR. JUAN C. CHAVEZ (Appearing Remotely)

MS. BRITTNEY PLESSER (Appearing Remotely)

MR. FRANZ BRUGGEMEIER (Appearing Remotely)

MR. ALEX MEGGITT (Appearing Remotely)

PO Box 5248

Portland, OR 97208

503.944.2270

jchavez@ojrc.info

SUGERMAN LAW OFFICE

BY MR. DAVID F. SUGERMAN (Appearing Remotely)

707 SW Washington Street, Suite 600

Portland, OR 97205

503.228.6474

davidsugerman.com

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES (continued)

Appearing on behalf of the Defendants:

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY MR. ANDREW HALLMAN (Appearing Remotely)

1162 Court Street NE

Salem, OR 97301

503.947.4700

andrew.hallman@doj.state.or.us

ALSO PRESENT REMOTELY: Jake Quain, videographer

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

EXAMINATION INDEX

EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE NO.
MR. CHAVEZ	7

CONFIDENTIAL PORTION:

START PAGE / LINE	END PAGE / LINE
144 3	146 19



1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the  
2 videotaped deposition of Dr. Daniel Dewsnup in the  
3 matter of Paul Maney et al. versus State of Oregon et  
4 al.

5 Will counsel please introduce yourselves  
6 for the record.

7 MR. CHAVEZ: Good morning. My name is Juan  
8 Chavez. I represent the Plaintiffs.

9 MR. SUGERMAN: David Sugerman. I'm here on  
10 behalf of Plaintiffs in the proposed class.

11 MS. PLESSER: Brittney Plessner on behalf of  
12 the plaintiffs.

13 MR. BRUGGEMEIER: This is Franz Bruggemeier  
14 on behalf of the plaintiffs.

15 MR. MEGGITT: Alex Meggitt also for the  
16 plaintiffs.

17 MR. HALLMAN: Andrew Hallman on behalf of  
18 the defendants. I'm in the room with Dr. Dewsnup.

19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stenographer will now  
20 swear in the witness.

21  
22 DANIEL DEWSNUP, M.D.,  
23 Having first been sworn by the Certified Shorthand  
24 Reporter to tell the truth, testified under oath as  
25 follows:

1 that a recommendation to the governor or was that  
2 just information being provided to the governor?

3 A It was informational in order to establish the  
4 extent of the issue. If you wanted to -- because  
5 basically what we are trying to do -- as this  
6 actually came from Mr. Gower's office. What they  
7 were trying to do was to establish how many people  
8 would we have to release in order to establish what  
9 was then thought as the Holy Grail, which was three  
10 to six feet of social distancing. And that's the  
11 figure they came up with.

12 For me, right now, I think it would be  
13 close down every dormitory and only have celled  
14 units, given the problems that we have of COVID 19 in  
15 dormitories. But that's what they came up with at  
16 the beginning, and it still makes sense today.

17 Q Now, did you have a hand in developing that  
18 informational package to the governor?

19 A No. That came from Mike Gower's office, the  
20 assistant director for operations.

21 Q I see. He did mention that. Now, presently are  
22 you aware of any lists that address your concern  
23 about dormitory housing? Let me strike that and  
24 rephrase.

25 How many people would you need to release

1 to empty out the dormitories of ODOC?

2 A Oh, boy. I would have to consult with an  
3 operation's specialist to know the exact number. It  
4 would be closer to 50 to 60 percent -- 60 percent.

5 Q Okay. Earlier I think you talked about -- I  
6 think you described this primitive facilities with  
7 CDCR. Do you recall that testimony?

8 A Yeah.

9 Q Does ODOC have similar, let's use primitive,  
10 engineering structural?

11 A It does, especially in areas where the  
12 prison -- to give an example, the prison at Eastern  
13 Oregon Constructional Institution in Pendleton was  
14 originally not built as a prison. It was built as a  
15 mental health hospital for the state, and it's been  
16 re-purposed to be a prison. So it's exclusively --  
17 well, not exclusively. There might be 15 percent  
18 individual cells, but the rest is dormitory housing.  
19 And it makes it very, very difficult to control an  
20 airborne illness within its walls once it gets in.  
21 The only real chance you have to control it is make  
22 sure it doesn't get in.

23 When you have a virus that is  
24 asymptotically passed in the majority of cases,  
25 that makes it extremely difficult to get it stopped

1 and never have exposure to anyone until COVID went  
2 away. That's just not an option. So we have to work  
3 within what we can do.

4 And all those things will -- the  
5 comprehensive program will include testing, and has  
6 included testing up to this point, which we're  
7 expanding, hand washing, social distancing when  
8 possible, masking, masking, masking, masking, and  
9 hopefully, in the near future, vaccine use.

10 So I think an acceptable risk would be  
11 doing the things that are necessary to do within the  
12 institution to maintain public health and public  
13 safety and utilizing, to our best ability, all of the  
14 tools that we have to mitigate COVID 19 transmission.

15 I think that's about as close as I'm going  
16 to be able to come to that definition.

17 Q I understand. And that's helpful, because I  
18 think, then, hopefully it will be easy to identify  
19 unacceptable risk better. Would you be able to  
20 define unacceptable risk in this circumstance or  
21 point to any examples?

22 A Unacceptable. Well, unacceptable implies on a  
23 certain level that you can say no. And that's the  
24 problem with unacceptable risk in the correctional  
25 system where there are things we would love to say no

1 ingress into prisons of all nonessential personnel.  
2 The only way to get COVID into the institution is  
3 have it come in through a staff member. And that has  
4 been the way each of these except -- with the  
5 exception of one, that's been the way that each of  
6 these outbreaks have started since April.

7 Q So that's been determined by your investigators,  
8 that all but one was through some sort of staff  
9 ingress?

10 A Correct. (indiscernible audio) was right at the  
11 beginning where -- remember, in mid-March we closed  
12 down visiting and inter-institutional transfers until  
13 they were screened. And on that same day that we  
14 were doing it, there were institutional transfers  
15 from Santiam Correctional Institution to Shutter  
16 Creek Correctional Institution, and that included one  
17 or more infected persons. And they went to a  
18 specific dorm at Shutter Creek, and that's where the  
19 infection was first -- was recognized at Shutter  
20 Creek. After -- everything has been staff-to-staff  
21 transmission and eventually staff-to-AIC  
22 transmission.

23 Q Two questions, and we'll get back to our  
24 previous thread.

25 First, are these investigations reported in

1 A That is something we're giving an incredible  
2 amount of thought to and are talking with Devarshi to  
3 do all kinds of statistical analysis on our hospital  
4 patients.

5 We believe that the major drivers of the  
6 mortality rate are the same thing that drive it in  
7 the community, in other words, advanced age or  
8 advanced physiologic age, and multiple co-morbid  
9 medical conditions, the ones that increase  
10 vulnerability to COVID 19.

11 Q You used the term physiological age. What do  
12 you mean by that?

13 A Well, there is pretty well-documented -- so  
14 let's explain it this way. A person who is 60 on the  
15 outside and has certain number -- has a certain risk  
16 for underlying medical conditions. That same risk of  
17 underlying medical conditions would be comparable to  
18 a person in corrections at age 45 or 50. So that's  
19 meaning they're -- they've been exposed to a lot more  
20 risk. They're harder smokers. They're harder  
21 drinkers. They've been exposed to more homelessness.  
22 They've been exposed to more sexually transmitted  
23 diseases. These things have taken a toll on them.  
24 And by the time they get up to age 50, they're as  
25 beat up as somebody who is 60 or 70 in the general

1 for a longer period of time. Their recovery is  
2 delayed. Even if they're not contagious, they still  
3 have symptoms for a longer period of time.

4 Q What do the symptoms look like?

5 A They look like chronic obstructive disease.  
6 They look like COPD. They look like asthma. They  
7 look like exercise intolerance. They look like  
8 chronic dyspnea, shortness of breath. They can  
9 include chest pain, pleuritic chest pain, meaning --  
10 pleuritic chest pain meaning pain with breathing  
11 because of scaring. There is a whole range of  
12 symptoms that can be manifested.

13 Q Do we know how long those symptoms manifest  
14 currently?

15 A To date we have knowledge about what happens  
16 acutely all the way up to multisystem failure and  
17 death and how to manage that. And we also have -- we  
18 also have long-term data on four different classes of  
19 what is called, in the community, a long COVID,  
20 L-O-N-G, long COVID, or persistent COVID, which  
21 basically means all the sequela of a COVID 19  
22 infection such as lung scaring, such as heart --  
23 scaring in the heart from cardiomyopathy causing  
24 problems in, again, all the same symptoms that I was  
25 talking to you about.

1                   Also there are symptoms that look a lot  
2 like chronic fatigue syndrome and symptoms that are  
3 vague and characterize and move from body system to  
4 body system that look more like somatization. Much  
5 more difficult to characterize.

6                   There is just -- they're human beings, and  
7 they have a variety of ways that their body responds  
8 to this huge infectious challenge.

9       Q       Thank you for that. That's really helpful.

10                   But just for our record, some terms I might  
11 need to look back on, but I think it might be easier  
12 if you can define some things -- some words that you  
13 used. The first one was cytokine. What's that?

14       A       Cytokine, C-Y-T-O-K-I-N-E. A cytokine is a  
15 cellular -- it is a protein usually that is released  
16 from an inflammatory cell that augments, potentiates,  
17 or enhances and helps to target the inflammatory  
18 response at the individual cellular level.

19       Q       What about cardiomyopathy?

20       A       Cardiomyopathy. Myopathy is spelled  
21 M-Y-O-P-A-T-H-Y. Cardiomyopathy is a result of  
22 direct infection of heart tissue by COVID 19 causing  
23 loss of efficiency of the heart muscle, which  
24 increases the chance of congestive heart failure and  
25 heart attack and other complications of heart



1 Q Now I've heard of other potential long-term  
2 symptoms of COVID. One of the more -- well, I'm  
3 going to use the word popular. But thinking of  
4 popular, I think of COVID of the loss of sense of  
5 smell and taste. Have you seen that as well in a  
6 symptom?

7 A Not long-term loss of smell and taste.  
8 Certainly in the acute phase there is loss of smell  
9 and taste.

10 In the literature there are reports of  
11 longer term loss of smell and taste. And these are  
12 presumably related to specific nerve damage of the  
13 olfactory nerve or of the (indiscernible audio) nerve  
14 or of some organ in either system that is damaged to  
15 the point where sensations can no longer be  
16 interpreted correctly by the brain.

17 Q How does that damage to the nerve --

18 A Usually the cells that are infected by COVID 19  
19 are killed and then replaced by scar tissue. And  
20 scar tissue looks like -- it looks like regular  
21 tissue but it doesn't work like regular tissue. It  
22 doesn't have the same function. It's not able to  
23 carry sensations to the brain that you interpret as  
24 smell or hearing.

25 Q There is a laundry list of symptoms and health

1 level of care, so we send them out for a pulmonary  
2 referral.

3 And to date we've not found anything that  
4 we could intervene on, just a chronic -- chronic lung  
5 disease, basically, is what's happened. It's made  
6 their existing lung disease worse or their existing  
7 heart disease more symptomatic.

8 Q And you would agree that affects their quality  
9 of life going forward in their lives.

10 A Yes.

11 Q How so?

12 A Well, they feel terrible. They don't have --  
13 they don't have any energy. When you don't have your  
14 energy state, you feel rotten. You feel like you're  
15 not able -- you don't feel safe in, say, a prison  
16 environment, or you don't feel safe doing your  
17 activities of daily living without an undue risk of  
18 falling. You just don't feel like you have the  
19 sensei apparatus to keep you feeling safe. So you  
20 may feel anxious and concerned and feeling like there  
21 is an impending sense of doom about what's going on.  
22 This is a small minority of patients, but it's very  
23 real to them.

24 Q This brings something to mind. On the Oregon  
25 Department of Corrections website, have you seen the

1 Corrections, somebody who recaught the disease in  
2 three to five months?

3 A We have not seen a documented re-infection case  
4 yet, although we've wondered. But no, no we haven't  
5 seen that yet.

6 Q My understanding from just a tacit review of the  
7 medical literature is that there is at least one  
8 documented case of re-infection; is that accurate?

9 A No, there is multiple now. Probably four that  
10 I've seen in the literature. It's not common, but  
11 actually it's probably more common than we realize.  
12 But still, among the millions of cases of COVID, it's  
13 not a common phenomenon.

14 And the reason is because it's not just  
15 antibodies that protect us against re-infection.  
16 There is the cell-based immunity arm of the immune  
17 system, which is not talked about in the media  
18 because it's complicated. And among those cells are  
19 cells called T memory cells. And what they do is  
20 that if they are later presented with the -- with  
21 that same COVID challenge, they respond much more  
22 quickly. They clone much more readily and  
23 reconstitute immune response within hours as opposed  
24 to the days and week that it might take upon an  
25 initial exposure. So T memory cells are very, very

1           So just a couple of points that I wanted to  
2 get back to, what you just testified to. I think you  
3 mentioned that nursing staff was low in some of these  
4 facilities. First of all, do you know which  
5 facilities had?

6   A    Yeah, we've had our greatest challenge at EOCI,  
7 Pendleton, where the staffing -- nursing staffing  
8 levels have gone down to as low as 35 percent.

9   Q    Any other facilities?

10   A    They usually -- let me explain it. Pendleton --  
11 that means that in a perfect world, Pendleton, they  
12 would have 20 full-time nurses. That means they were  
13 working with seven or eight to cover 24/7 shifts.  
14 That's led to extreme fatigue and some burnout among  
15 nurses at EOCI.

16           The other institutions -- Snake River  
17 hasn't been playing with low numbers as much as extra  
18 work, and they've asked for a lot of people, a lot of  
19 extra overtime, and that's just made people very  
20 tired. And it's been difficult for them to keep  
21 responding day after day after day after month after  
22 month to these ongoing -- these ongoing case numbers.

23           TRCI has been doing okay. The smaller  
24 institutions we've had, they tend to be shorter. The  
25 acute symptomatic period tends to be shorter.

1 A Sure.

2 Q Was that in existence pre-pandemic?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And --

5 A Made it worse.

6 Q I can imagine. Now, had ODOC attempted to  
7 address that shortage prior to the pandemic?

8 A Yes. We've been trying to hire and trying to --  
9 not only nursing but a provider shortage. During the  
10 pandemic, we've -- on a provider level, we've hired  
11 extra nurse practitioners, locum tenens, and diverted  
12 one of our physicians to go there regularly, and  
13 Dr. Roberts is doing clinics there. But I've not  
14 been able to get out of the office since this all  
15 started, because I'm involved in the administrative  
16 details here and also the case contact investigations  
17 and deciding what to do with the institutions. But  
18 I'm in telephone contact with them, so that's been  
19 very, very helpful.

20 As far as the nursing is concerned, that's  
21 been a chronic problem at EOCI, because the nurses  
22 have FMLA leave, family leave. And so specifically  
23 EOCI has worked with a nursing deficit for a while.

24 If you want specific details about that,  
25 I'm not the right person to give you all that answer.

1 Joe Weir (phonetic) is well aware of these issues.  
2 He could address them, both preCOVID and during  
3 COVID.

4 Q Now, it's my understanding, from looking at the  
5 rates of infection at the various facilities, EOCI  
6 has a very high infection rate; is that accurate?

7 A Yeah, I think EOCI, Snake River, and OSP all  
8 have very high rates. They're almost reaching herd  
9 immunity.

10 Q Is it at all correlative that EOCI has this  
11 nursing shortage and also has this high infection  
12 rate?

13 A I think it's an association. I know that  
14 they've been doing heroic work. I don't know -- all  
15 I can do is make a statistical association with it.  
16 I can't say that in this specific instance, that this  
17 patient was ignored or this patient didn't get the  
18 care because there wasn't a nurse to give it to them.

19 But you expect that in institutions where  
20 there is difficulty covering every shift, that you're  
21 going to have a -- there is a higher chance for  
22 somebody to slip through the cracks. That's just  
23 what you expect. Without pointing blame at anybody,  
24 it's just -- when you see that phenomenon, that's why  
25 you have to get extra staff there. But we've done a

1 ton of work trying to get extra staff there. We have  
2 not been able to do it.

3 Q EOCI -- I think all three of the institutions  
4 you just listed, EOCI, OSP and SRCI, all have laundry  
5 facilities; is that correct?

6 A They do.

7 Q And those laundry facilities are staffed by  
8 AICs.

9 A Correct.

10 Q I think earlier you talked about COVID seeming  
11 to congregate in laundry facilities; do you recall  
12 that?

13 A Kitchen, laundry facility, physical plant  
14 workers, very common.

15 Q And all three of those facilities have plant  
16 workers, food workers and laundry workers; is that  
17 right?

18 A Correct.

19 Q Is that, in your mind, correlative with the high  
20 infection rates of those facilities?

21 A Yeah. They're definitely associated, and they  
22 seem to be a way that the AIC-to-AIC transmission  
23 spreads out into the facility, into other dorms, and  
24 to patients who have to come through the line to get  
25 their food, for example in the kitchen. So yeah, I

1 from the entire institution from different units.

2 Did you have a recommendation about different units  
3 coming together at OCE facilities?

4 A Actually in some cases they do and in some cases  
5 they don't. We've dealt with OCE or we've dealt  
6 with, like, laundry outbreaks. At OSP, for example,  
7 all the laundry workers come from one unit. At  
8 OSP -- excuse me. At OSCI, all the laundry workers  
9 came from one unit. At OSP they came from all  
10 different units. You are correct. At TRCI, they  
11 were mainly from minimum. They're all from the TRCI  
12 are minimum, so they weren't mixing with the medium  
13 population. At Snake River I'm not aware of where  
14 they were all coming from. I don't think they have  
15 OCE laundry at Snake River.

16 Q Let me back up for a second. When did you make  
17 those recommendations about OCE's operations?

18 A They were ongoing from the first -- from the  
19 outbreak that we recognized at OSP. That would have  
20 been May -- May and June era.

21 Q And do you know when those recommendations were  
22 adopted?

23 A Yes, and then reinstituted at TRCI. It's been a  
24 process of education for all of them.

25 Q Okay. And forgive me, did you say that you



1 ODOC.

2 Q I apologize. I know I said that was the last  
3 question. But it feels like if I don't say it now,  
4 I'll forget after lunch.

5 Earlier, when we were talking about OSP,  
6 and I think this was after my question about your  
7 frustrations and your recommendations, I think you  
8 used the words none of your recommendations seemed  
9 acceptable.

10 A To who?

11 Q Precisely. That's my question. Who did they  
12 seem unacceptable to?

13 A None of my recommendations seemed like they were  
14 enforceable, basically because of the operational  
15 requirements of OSP. I have an incomplete  
16 understanding of their operational requirements. And  
17 it seemed like the recommendations that I made for  
18 medical isolation, for increasing social distancing,  
19 for hand washing, and specifically for masking,  
20 masking, were not readily accepted by  
21 inmates or staff.

22 Q Do you feel like medical is often second fiddle  
23 to operations?

24 A I think there has been an unprecedented level of  
25 cooperation in this COVID 19 epidemic. I was an

1 integral part of the AOC from the beginning. I think  
2 this is -- the whole tone of this epidemic, as  
3 opposed to what I experienced with the TB epidemic  
4 down in California 25 years ago, is just completely  
5 different. There is really good people here who are  
6 trying to make things work and who listen to what  
7 medical people have to say, who listen as scientific  
8 evidence is explained, and who really want to try to  
9 practically apply that evidence. But still, we are  
10 up against some insolvable problems of dormitories  
11 and older ventilation systems and issues of crowding  
12 and operations that make it very, very difficult to  
13 control an airborne rapidly transmissible infection.

14 Plus the in-acceptance of our primary means  
15 of reducing transmission, which is masking. That  
16 that has been wildly controversial and it's been  
17 politicized. I don't think I need to explain all the  
18 issues that we have had with that. But that has  
19 directly resulted in noncompliance with masking among  
20 both staff and inmates. And do I think that's  
21 associated with higher rates among people who don't  
22 mask, higher rates of infection and higher rates of  
23 transmission to others? Yes, it obviously has in my  
24 mind.

25 Q I'll just ask this simply then: Do you think

1 the masking issue is an unacceptable risk?

2 A I think the masking issue is one that it's  
3 completely manageable and there should be 100 percent  
4 compliance with masking. And any person who's not  
5 masking appropriately within the ODOC, that should be  
6 considered an unacceptable risk and that person  
7 should be removed from that environment. And that is  
8 the policy that we have right now since the Heidi  
9 Steward deputy director's policy statements. I think  
10 those were -- the expectation was created in May, but  
11 the actual progressive discipline policy was outlined  
12 in July.

13 Q If I were to tell you that ODOC staff members  
14 were still not wearing masks, is it your testimony  
15 that's an unacceptable risk; is that right?

16 A Yes, and the AOC believes that, as the director  
17 and the deputy director. And there are both AOC --  
18 there are both AICs and staff that are noncompliant.

19 Q I promise, last question.

20 A That was three promises ago.

21 Q You know, it's lawyers. Our reputation.

22 So do you think that suspending laundry  
23 operations would have led to a lower infection rate  
24 at TRCI and OSP?

25 A At OSP is where I really came up against this.

1 A Well, we started educating the staff about it in  
2 early May -- or actually the second week of May after  
3 the press conference. I developed a poster that was  
4 sent out to everybody, to all staff, about mask  
5 wearing being associated with fewer transmissions and  
6 also less symptoms and less hospitalization. And  
7 then we had the memos from Heidi Steward that laid  
8 out the evidence again and said what the expectation  
9 was. And then there was a whole lot of union  
10 fighting after that.

11 Q When did the union fighting start?

12 A Up to and after the initial memos.

13 Q Would you be able to summarize for me the thrust  
14 of those union contentions?

15 A No, I cannot. I haven't been involved in that.  
16 All I know is that it was masking to the extent that  
17 we wanted was vigorously opposed.

18 Q And were you ever made aware then of individual  
19 COs or ODOC staff was flouting the expectation that  
20 they should be wearing a mask?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And when did you first become aware of that?

23 A When I saw it. When we did infectious --  
24 infection control reviews. The initial one was done  
25 at OSP, and quite a number of staff were found --

1 this would have been after the memos, so the end of  
2 May. And lots of staff were found not to be wearing  
3 masks. I saw it for myself at OSP before the memos,  
4 because I went in to do some paperwork and to see a  
5 patient at OSP, and I found that less than ten  
6 percent of staff and inmates were wearing masks at  
7 that point.

8 Q You said less than ten percent?

9 A Yeah.

10 Q Go ahead.

11 A That was my estimate at the time. When I went  
12 in -- when I went in, it was less than ten percent.  
13 When I came out, word having proceeded that  
14 Dr. Dewsnup was in the facility, among staff anyway  
15 it was almost 50 percent.

16 Q What did you think when you saw that?

17 A Why do I think I saw it?

18 Q What did you think when you saw --

19 A I was disappointed.

20 Q Why were you disappointed?

21 A I was disappointed because I thought everybody  
22 should know that we need to be wearing masks.

23 The healthcare -- health services at OSP  
24 had decided in mid May, before I got there that one  
25 time, that they were going to go to 100 percent

1 mask-on policy, and they followed it very very well.  
2 But that was not the case among correctional officers  
3 or AICs.

4 Q And what happened after you saw this  
5 noncompliance?

6 A Well, it was the basis -- one of the basis, not  
7 the entire basis, but it was one of the inputs that  
8 the AIC received, and subsequently made a  
9 recommendation that we make sure to clarify our  
10 mask-wearing expectations. And then in July they  
11 were further clarified with progressive discipline  
12 for staff and inmates.

13 Q So why was there a delay between the institution  
14 of discipline from May to July?

15 A I don't know, COVID rebellion, confusion,  
16 politicization, orneriness. I don't think it was  
17 lack of education, but lack of acceptance of  
18 education. There are still large parts of eastern  
19 Oregon and -- well, everywhere, really. There are  
20 people -- 50 percent of the population may believe  
21 that masking is part of a conspiracy. I can't  
22 explain that rationally, Mr. Chavez.

23 Q Nor can I, frankly. So I guess more to my  
24 question, was there this COVID rebellion within AIC?  
25 Because it seems like there was -- I'll characterize

1 this delay between the recognition and the general  
2 policy.

3 A Yeah, I would agree.

4 Q Why do you agree with me?

5 A Because I think I saw a delay. That's why the  
6 need for the memos regarding progressive discipline.  
7 If everybody had complied, there wouldn't have been a  
8 problem. Oh, you need to wear a mask. Oh, I'm going  
9 to hurt less people. Oh, I won't be as hurt. So  
10 wear a mask. Perfect. That's it. We're done.

11 That's not the way it went. It was I don't  
12 want to wear a mask. I don't have to wear a mask.  
13 You can't make me wear a mask. Go ahead and try.

14 Q So following the issuance of this progressive  
15 discipline plan, how has mask wearing gone? Is it  
16 increased or is it --

17 A It's much better than it was.

18 Q But not 100 percent; is that right?

19 A In certain institutions at certain times I think  
20 it's as close to 100 percent as you can expect. I  
21 believe it's better, generally, in the institutions  
22 that have had more COVID. It's certainly better  
23 among staff who face the biggest brunt of progressive  
24 discipline, because they can be sent home without  
25 pay. But, you know, it's not perfect, but it's

1 Q So it's possible that the infections began  
2 before in July and then it trickled down to --

3 A That's what I believe.

4 Q So it sounds like that delay was very serious.

5 A The delay was serious?

6 Q Yeah, or resulted in serious consequences.

7 A I agree.

8 Q Now, did people voice their sense of COVID  
9 rebellion to you particularly?

10 A Some did and asked me to prove to them that  
11 masks are required. I attempted to explain the  
12 evidence, and that evidence was rejected. And it  
13 didn't take too many of those kinds of conversations  
14 for me to start taking another approach. Because I  
15 found, in my own mind, this is similar to talking to  
16 someone who is opposed to taking vaccines. There is  
17 nothing rational about the argument that says that a  
18 vaccine for myself or my children, that the benefits  
19 far, far outweigh any risks. But there are some  
20 people who just don't believe that. And they believe  
21 for -- they may say it's for religious reasons or  
22 because of politics or because they have people that  
23 say that that evidence is tainted or many different  
24 kinds of reasons, none of which are supported by  
25 scientific evidence. They may say that they don't



1 variable factors, how far that -- how much  
2 aerosolization occurs and how far that aerosolization  
3 actually spreads.

4 So the basis of six-foot social distancing,  
5 as I said before, is the fact that the primary means  
6 of transmission of COVID 19 is by droplet  
7 transmission.

8 Q And I think in your declaration -- you quote the  
9 OHA guidelines. I think it's paragraph 20 on page 5.  
10 It refers to social distancing as the cornerstone of  
11 producing transmission. You still agree with that  
12 statement; is that right?

13 A It is one of them. I think masking and social  
14 distancing now probably ought to be equal, given the  
15 data that we have about a greater amount of  
16 aerosolization than we initially expected to see with  
17 COVID 19. And then, of course, in the future we have  
18 to put vaccines as highly critical to eventual  
19 control.

20 Q We'll get to vaccines as well. But just on  
21 social distancing, what's the best option ODOC -- let  
22 me back up. What would you recommend as the best  
23 option for ODOC to allow for social distancing in  
24 their facility -- facilities?

25 A I believe the best option that we have right now

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Rosemary Tanzer, a Registered Professional Reporter, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter for Oregon and Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that said witness appeared before me via Zoom at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that at said time and place I reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided transcription, under my direction; and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true and accurate transcript of said proceedings to be best of my ability to discern comments made from masked deponent, and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand at Portland, Oregon, this 16th day of November, 2020.

  


Rosemary Tanzer  
OREGON CSR NO. 94-0299  
Expires September 30, 2023

Deposition of:  
**Brad Cain**

December 22, 2020

Paul Maney; et al.  
vs.  
State of Oregon; et al.

Case No.: 6:20-CV-00570-SB



**S Y N E R G Y**

LEGAL

LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES

Brad Cain

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
EUGENE DIVISION

PAUL MANEY; GARY CLIFT;  
GEORGE NULPH; THERON HALL;  
DAVID HART; MICAH RHODES; AND  
SHERYL LYNN SUBLET,  
individually, on behalf of a  
class of other similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 6:20-CV-00570-SB

STATE OF OREGON; KATE BROWN;  
COLETTE PETERS; HEIDI STEWARD;  
MIKE GOWER; MARK NOOTH; ROB  
PERSSON; and KEN JESKE,

Defendants.

ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF BRAD CAIN  
Taken on behalf of Plaintiffs  
December 22, 2020

Brad Cain

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure, the Zoom videoconference deposition of BRAD CAIN was taken before Rosemary Tanzer, a Registered Professional Reporter and a Certified Shorthand Reporter for Oregon and Washington, on Tuesday, December 22, 2020, commencing at the hour of 9:01 a.m., in Portland, Oregon.

Brad Cain

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES

Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs:

OREGON JUSTICE RESOURCE CENTER

BY MR. JUAN C. CHAVEZ (Appearing Remotely)

MS. BRITTNEY PLESSER (Appearing Remotely)

PO Box 5248

Portland, OR 97208

503.944.2270

jchavez@ojrc.info

SUGERMAN LAW OFFICE

BY MR. DAVID F. SUGERMAN (Appearing Remotely)

707 SW Washington Street, Suite 600

Portland, OR 97205

503.228.6474

davidsugerman.com

Brad Cain

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPEARANCES (continued)

Appearing on behalf of the Defendants:

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY MR. ANDREW HALLMAN (Appearing Remotely)

1162 Court Street NE

Salem, OR 97301

503.947.4700

andrew.hallman@doj.state.or.us

ALSO PRESENT REMOTELY: Scott Gibson, videographer

Yufeng Luo

Brad Cain

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

EXAMINATION INDEX

EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE NO.
MR. CHAVEZ	6

EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1	Instructions to Deponent	7
2	Exhibit Bates-stamped Maney 9406	41
3	Exhibit Bates-stamped Maney 9767	86
4	Exhibit Bates-stamped Maney 9726	87



Brad Cain

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the  
2 videotaped deposition of Brad Cain in the matter of  
3 Maney et al. versus State of Oregon et al.

4 Will Counsel please state your appearances  
5 for the record.

6 MR. CHAVEZ: Good morning. My name is Juan  
7 Chavez. I represent the Plaintiffs.

8 MR. HALLMAN: Andrew Hallman on behalf of  
9 the Defendants.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The stenographer will  
11 now swear in the witness.

12  
13 BRAD CAIN,  
14 Having first been sworn by the Certified Shorthand  
15 Reporter to tell the truth, testified under oath as  
16 follows:

17 EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. CHAVEZ:

19 **Q Good morning, Mr. Cain. My name is Juan Chavez,**  
20 **as you heard, during our read-in. I represent a**  
21 **group of plaintiffs who filed an action in federal**  
22 **court.**

23 I forwarded a document that I've labeled  
24 **Exhibit 1 to Mr. Hallman. Was that forwarded to you?**

25 **A I believe so.**

Brad Cain

1 Q Were you told why some staff members didn't want  
2 to wear a mask?

3 A I've heard people's opinions on it. Some people  
4 didn't believe in it. They just didn't believe in  
5 it. They felt as if it was against their rights. I  
6 had a staff member tell me it was against his  
7 religious beliefs. I've had staff tell me that it's  
8 all political and it's not real.

9 Q I think you referred to these as opinions. Is  
10 there -- is mask wearing a matter of opinion; do you  
11 think?

12 A No.

13 Q And why is that?

14 A Well, the CDC guidance and the OHA guidance  
15 talks about the science behind it and the protective  
16 factors that mask wearing gives and the social  
17 distancing. So the only -- I don't think it's about  
18 that at all. I think it's about trying to protect  
19 people.

20 Q What do you tell people, then, when they say,  
21 "In my opinion I don't want to wear a mask. It's a  
22 hoax"?

23 A It's not an option. It doesn't matter, my  
24 opinion.

25 Q And what if they -- what if you get pushback

Brad Cain

1 me, it felt as if this was a statewide issue and it  
2 was being dealt with at the AOC.

3 **Q So is your testimony today that this was a**  
4 **problem through all of ODOC, the mask issue?**

5 **A Yeah. It's a statewide issue, yes. The**  
6 **pandemic in itself was a statewide issue and it was**  
7 **being managed through the AOC as a statewide issue.**

8 **Q Now, the mask memo comes in and you're now able**  
9 **to discipline people. In that first month -- or I**  
10 **guess it's about two months that it's reflected in**  
11 **the mask document that I was provided, was there any**  
12 **disciplining of staff members for not wearing masks?**

13 **A So I'll try to answer this to the best of my**  
14 **ability. So, yes, we took staff through the process**  
15 **and it just has taken a while. There is one case**  
16 **that had to go through DOJ and it was settled at a**  
17 **certain level. But there's one in process right**  
18 **now -- like I said, we're taking it through the**  
19 **predissmissal process, and that meeting happens**  
20 **tomorrow. So we'll figure out the outcome of that**  
21 **here pretty soon. But there is a couple of others**  
22 **that have been investigated, and I believe we're**  
23 **waiting on the outcome of those two.**

24 **Q And why, then, I guess -- I understand that it**  
25 **takes some time to go through disciplining process,**

Brad Cain

1 A All I can tell you on that one, it's been  
2 frustrating. And I think we probably got to that  
3 point where it's like, we've got to do something.

4 Q What was your source of frustration?

5 A That people were continuing to violate the  
6 process.

7 Q Did the mask violations increase after the memo  
8 or stay the same?

9 A No, I think they decreased. I think they did.  
10 But as you can see, it was still happening.

11 Q So let me ask: Are you in contact with ODOC's  
12 epidemiologist, Dr. Dewsnup?

13 A Dr. Dewsnup, I have been. He's part of the AOC.  
14 He's not anymore. They switched -- they switched  
15 doctors, so I'm not in contact with him much anymore,  
16 but we were with AOC. He was part of the contact  
17 tracing and part of the planning with AOC.

18 Q And did Dr. Dewsnup ever provide to you, or did  
19 anybody from AOC provide to you, a theory on how SRCI  
20 suffered an outbreak in the manner that it did?

21 A Can you ask that question again, please? I'm  
22 sorry.

23 Q Sure. What's your understanding of why ODOC  
24 suffered an outbreak?

25 A Okay. Well, I think it was his opinion that

Brad Cain

1 staff brought it in.

2 **Q Do you believe in that opinion?**

3 **A I would say yes. I mean because we had a**  
4 **community outbreak here -- it's just to -- makes**  
5 **sense. I can see that happening.**

6 **Q By "community outbreak" you mean Ontario or**  
7 **Malheur County?**

8 **A I would say from Washington County, Payette**  
9 **County, Malheur County and Ontario, Canyon County,**  
10 **Ada County, all the way. Because we're right on the**  
11 **border, and most of our staff work in -- I mean live**  
12 **in Idaho. They work here but they live in Idaho. So**  
13 **yes, as the outbreak started, I could clearly see how**  
14 **it could happen.**

15 **Q Do you have any contact with the local health**  
16 **authorities?**

17 **A Yes.**

18 **Q Are you in contact with health authorities in**  
19 **Idaho as well?**

20 **A Not as much. I actually work through our local**  
21 **one here. She actually communicates with them. I**  
22 **haven't really talked with the others, no.**

23 **Q Once the disease is -- I guess we're working**  
24 **from an hypothesis that it was brought in by staff.**  
25 **But once it is brought in, are you aware of how it**

Brad Cain

1 spreads, then, within the facility?

2 A Well, it's my understanding it's droplets. So  
3 it's spread through people being in close contact and  
4 talking and sneezing, coughing, being in close  
5 proximity with each other.

6 Q Now I'll represent to you that when we talked  
7 with Dr. Dewsnap -- let me back up.

8 Have you reviewed any transcripts from  
9 other depositions taken in this matter?

10 A No.

11 Q No? Okay. I'll represent to that you we spoke  
12 to Dr. Dewsnap in a deposition, and he took issue  
13 with dorm-style housing, I think for obvious reasons  
14 that we were just discussing, the respiratory  
15 transfer -- or respiratory-droplet transfer.

16 That seems to only account for about 330 or  
17 so beds in Snake River. So I guess my question is:  
18 Are you aware of how folks in nondormitory housing  
19 might have contracted COVID 19?

20 A Just through contact of potentially -- I guess  
21 I'm guessing on this one. It's some type of contact.  
22 So either AIC contact or employee contact. That's  
23 what I would assume.

24 Q Now, has anybody told you that SRCI's HVAC  
25 system might have had a part in spreading respiratory

Brad Cain

1 Q And so the -- is it accurate to say that the  
2 food services department supervises and manages the  
3 AIC cooks and orderlies?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. Would the food services department be in  
6 charge of maintaining social distancing and proper  
7 mask wearing?

8 A Yes, as much as possible. Like in the kitchen  
9 it's -- we do our very best to social distance in  
10 there.

11 Q What are the prohibitions on -- well, let me  
12 back up.

13 What makes social distancing difficult in  
14 the kitchen?

15 A Pretty tight quarters in there, especially when  
16 it comes to, like, the serving line. It's a pretty  
17 tight, narrow area. In the production kitchen it's a  
18 little bit easier. It's a bigger area.

19 Q And by serving line, that's food being handed to  
20 AICs?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Are you aware of -- let me back up.

23 So AICs for satellite kitchens, are they  
24 from different units?

25 A Yes. They're different units but within the

Brad Cain

1 complex.

2 Q Okay, I see. And same for the production  
3 kitchen, different units?

4 A Yes. Different units for the production  
5 kitchen. I know at one point in time during this  
6 pandemic we actually scaled down, and we only had  
7 workers for complex one for a while, just to reduce  
8 the movement.

9 Q And how long, do you know, was that practice in  
10 place?

11 A The length of time that it was in place?

12 Q Yeah.

13 A Probably a few weeks.

14 Q Do you know about when that happened?

15 A Probably June or July.

16 Q And was that a restriction that was put in place  
17 by SRCI or by AOC?

18 A That was Snake River.

19 Q And why did you make that decision?

20 A At that point we were really trying to just do  
21 our best to try to control the spread. You talked  
22 about the spike in numbers, and we were just doing  
23 our best to try to contain that.

24 And, you know, quite honestly, we have  
25 COVID cases, especially in the kitchen, it's



Brad Cain

1 extremely problematic for us. We have to feed the  
2 AICs.

3 Q Indeed. Why did you lift that restriction, that  
4 complex-one worker restriction?

5 A I'm trying to remember. I know we consulted  
6 with our operations team here and we felt like at  
7 that point we could manage the kitchens  
8 appropriately. That's pretty much all I can say on  
9 that. I don't have any details to give you.

10 Q Okay. That decision, did it come from your  
11 office or where did it come from?

12 A Yes, it came from my office. We have our own  
13 operations center here, so we discuss these things.  
14 And collectively it's my decision. But we do have a  
15 commander in this situation, Jason Bell. So a lot of  
16 this stuff does get approved through him. But in  
17 this emergency situation, I'm considered the  
18 institution liaison with Central Office. But  
19 collectively we make that decision.

20 Q A moment ago you brought up a term commander.  
21 I've seen, in some of the documents, there is  
22 references to an incident commander. Is that what  
23 you're talking about?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And what kind of decisions does the incident

Brad Cain

1 use the phones, but we had to make sure that  
2 everything stayed clean and only a couple of people  
3 out at a time. We really tried to manage this the  
4 best we could.

5 **Q And you were referring earlier to the harms of**  
6 **the prisoners being placed under lockdown conditions.**  
7 **What kind of harms are we talking?**

8 A Well, there was talk about there being a hunger  
9 strike. There was threats towards staff. Those type  
10 of things were happening. And people were getting  
11 frustrated, even though staff did a great job as far  
12 as communicating with the AICs. I think we did a  
13 really great job of communicating and letting them  
14 know what was going on. But there is a certain point  
15 in time where people get frustrated, and some of  
16 these people are pretty dangerous and they do bad  
17 things.

18 **Q I think you kind of touched on this a bit. But**  
19 **I'm wondering if you can explain to me, since you've**  
20 **come in closer contact with folks in this condition,**  
21 **are you aware of any problems that affect people when**  
22 **they're in isolation?**

23 A Oh, absolutely. Yeah, I've seen it over the  
24 years.

25 **Q What have you seen?**

Brad Cain

1 A So long-term isolation it really -- it wears on  
2 people after a while. I worked disciplinary  
3 segregation for quite a few years, and I would watch  
4 people decompensate and get paranoid and frustrated  
5 and act out from that isolation.

6 Q Were you seeing that kind of decompensation in  
7 the folks during this 19-day lockdown?

8 A Yes. And it was really problematic because just  
9 being isolated alone creates a lot of issues for  
10 these guys. But on top of that -- yeah, like in  
11 society. There is a lot of misinformation going on.  
12 And it's hard enough for the staff let alone the guys  
13 that are living in a cell that may not have contact  
14 with their loved ones. So it just compounds things.

15 Q What kind of misinformation are we talking  
16 about?

17 A Well, just to talk. People talk. And some  
18 people say, hey, you know, this is nothing. Or this  
19 is something. Just that talk.

20 Q Uh-huh.

21 A You hear things on the news. Right? Or, you  
22 know, they get a letter from their loved one.

23 I think the department's done a really good  
24 job as far as communicating with the AICs, probably  
25 the best I've ever seen in my career. Colette,

Brad Cain

1 Q Do you know if anybody from SRCI who's passed  
2 was under the age of 50?

3 A I do not believe so.

4 Q I need a moment. I don't need a full break.

5 So I want to go back to the period where it  
6 was apparent the outbreak was starting.

7 Was there anything that could have made  
8 your job easier at that time?

9 A That's a tough question. It would have helped  
10 if people would have wore the masks. That would have  
11 been helpful. I'm not sure. It's just been -- I'm  
12 not sure.

13 Q Are you aware that the governor had requested  
14 names of people who could be eligible for either  
15 early release or a commutation to reduce the  
16 population of ODOC? Are you aware of that?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Did you at all participate in providing names or  
19 suggestions of people who could be released?

20 A No. That was done at a central level.

21 Q Okay. Would you have wanted fewer people in  
22 your facility to facilitate social distancing?

23 A I guess if you had less people, it would  
24 probably be a little bit easier. But a prison is  
25 pretty tight quarters anyway. Have to be a lot of

Brad Cain

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Rosemary Tanzer, a Registered Professional Reporter, and a Certified Shorthand Reporter for Oregon and Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that said witness appeared before me via Zoom at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that at said time and place I reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided transcription, under my direction; and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true and accurate record of all such testimony adduced and oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand at Portland, Oregon, this 6th day of January, 2021.

  


Rosemary Tanzer  
OREGON CSR NO. 94-0299  
Expires September 30, 2023