

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**DEBRA WALKER et al.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND  
URBAN DEVELOPMENT et al.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Civil Action No. 3:85-cv-1210-O**

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the parties' Agreed Plaintiff Class and DHA Motion to Amend Walker Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan and Brief in Support of the Motion (ECF No. 2739), filed August 27, 2013. Having reviewed the motion, the Court finds it is well-taken and should be and is hereby **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the attached amendments to the Walker Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan are **APPROVED**.

**SO ORDERED** on this **8th day of October, 2013**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Reed O'Connor  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

DEBRA WALKER, ET AL.	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	3:85-CV-1210-O
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING	*	
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, ET AL.,	*	CLASS ACTION
	*	

Agreed Amendments to the  
Walker Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan

The following amendments to the Walker Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan are approved and agreed to by plaintiffs and the Housing Authority of the City of Dallas.

The most recent U.S. Census decennial racial data and other 2010 U.S. Census poverty data changes the Eligible Census Tract status of some census tracts in the 7 county area. There were least 946<sup>1</sup> Walker Settlement Voucher households residing in census tracts that are no longer an Eligible Census Tract where Walker Settlement Vouchers (“WSV”) can be used.<sup>2</sup>

A list of these households is attached (List WSV not in WTA.xlsx). There are some of these households who, at their current location, do not need the WSV 125% of SAFMR payment

---

<sup>1</sup> This was the estimate as of February 27, 2013.

<sup>2</sup> The “Eligible Census Tract” or “Tracts” referred to in this implementation plan are those that meet the criteria for “Predominantly White Areas” under the HUD Settlement Stipulation and Order and the Order Approving Plan for DHA’S Implementation of HUD Settlement as DHA’s Section 8 Substitution Plan. The term “predominantly white area” means either (a) a census tract that, according to the most recent decennial census, (i) has a black population at or below the average black population of the City of Dallas, (ii) has no public housing other than scattered site single family homes, and (iii) has a poverty rate at or below the average for the City of Dallas; or (b) a census tract that the Plaintiffs and DHA agree should be treated as a "predominantly white area." Order Approving Plan for DHA’S Implementation of HUD Settlement as DHA’s Section 8 Substitution Plan, page 2, filed April 26, 2001.

standard. The gross rent for these households is already less than the 2013 SAFMR payment standard adopted by DHA.

All prior court orders still in effect under the Agreed Final Judgment remain in effect. Except to the extent that the Agreed Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan and Budget - 2007 and the 2008 Amendments to Sections IV and V of that plan are changed by the terms of this agreement, the 2007 plan and the 2008 amendments remain in effect.

The list of the 2010 census tracts in the seven county area and the calculated 2010 U.S. Census data status of those tracts as Eligible Census Tracts under the HUD Settlement Stipulation and Order are attached. (WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 w cities.xlsx). The parties have agreed that tracts 130.04 (public housing in tract), 152.05 (Irving), 181.05 (Garland), 181.41 (Garland), 192.06 (UTD), 317.09 (40 units of public housing), and 318.04 (UTD) shall be considered Eligible Census Tracts under the Order Approving Plan for DHA's Implementation of HUD Settlement as DHA's Section 8 Substitution Plan, the Settlement Voucher Implementation Plans, and this agreement.

1. The effective date for the 2010 Census Eligible Census Tracts designations for purposes of determining payment standard changes for current Walker Settlement Voucher participants in existing leases is October 1, 2013.

2. The payment standard changes for Walker Settlement Voucher Participants continuing to reside in the same unit after the effective date of the status change will be implemented pursuant to 24 CFR § 982.505(b)(3).

**Notice and terms**

3. DHA will send notice to current WSV Participants who are no longer living in an

Eligible Census Tract. The notice will advise the Participants that their current WSV will change to a regular Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (“HCV”) unless the Participant notifies DHA that she or he wishes to move to a unit in a location in which the WSV can be used. The notice should state that the change is because of changes in U.S. Census data. DHA’s notice should inform the household that the change does not mean the family will lose their voucher. The notice should state that the change will cause the voucher to become a regular HCV without the 125% of FMR payment standard unless the household moves to an eligible location before the second regular re-examination after September 1, 2013. This deadline can be extended to the expiration of the current lease term or for other good cause, such as waiting until the end of a school year.

4. The notice should provide the Participant with the name, phone number, and email address of a DHA employee who can answer questions about the notice and the change. The notice should provide the Participant with the Inclusive Communities Project, Inc.’s (“ICP”) availability to provide information about the notice and the change. The ICP telephone number to be provided is (214) 658-1330. The ICP email address to be provided is

[info@inclusivecommunities.net](mailto:info@inclusivecommunities.net).

5. The notice should inform the Participant that if she or he wants to continue as a WSV Participant, then mobility counseling - including search assistance and financial assistance - will be available for a move to an Eligible Census Tract. The notice should state that ICP’s mobility counseling and search assistance is also available to the Participant.

### **Interchange**

6. The WSV that are unassigned as a result of a Participant continuing to live in an area

shall be HCV Participants in Eligible Census Tracts whose portion of the gross rent will increase more than 10% because of the HUD Small Area Fair Market Rent set for the ZCTA that includes the area. The second priority for reassignment shall be HCV Participants described in Section VII.D of the Agreed Settlement Voucher Implementation Plan and Budget - 2007. The third priority for reassignment shall be households on the WSV Database waiting list.

**Walker Settlement Voucher Database waiting list**

7. DHA created an online database where Plaintiff Class Members (as determined by the race of the Head of Household) applied for a WSV and were listed in a random order in the Database. DHA will mail notice to all persons listed in the Database, asking them to return a postcard to confirm that they wish to remain in the Database and requesting all current contact information. DHA will update the Database accordingly. The Database will be maintained and used for identifying candidates for the reissuance of WSVs as they become available and taking the above priorities into account. If the need arises, DHA will re-open the Database to additional applicants and will make computers available at DHA's administrative offices located at 3939 N. Hampton, Dallas, TX 75212 for Class Members to apply.

**Mobility Counseling Fund**

8. The funding committed for counseling services and financial assistance under HUD's Settlement Stipulation includes two pools of funds (mobility counseling and regional opportunity counseling) which totaled \$2,974,351 as of May 17, 2007. DHA has used \$960,453.15 through December 31, 2011 and will use remaining funds in the amount of \$2,013,897.85 to provide services related to mobility counseling (e.g. to pay landlord incentives and assist Participants with application fees, security deposits, moving expenses, and utility deposits). This funding is available for any WSV Participant moving to a unit in an Eligible Census Tract whether or not

Participant because ICP is providing mobility search assistance and other non-financial mobility counseling to the Participant.

### **Security Deposit Assistance**

9. Upon request and execution of the HAP contract, DHA will provide assistance to Participants to pay security deposits. The amount of this assistance will be based upon the size of the unit shown on the WSV. The payment will be equal to the lesser of the deposit charged by the owner or the following:

One Bedroom: up to \$250,

Two Bedrooms: up to \$500,

Three Bedrooms: up to \$1,200,

Four Bedrooms: up to \$1,500,

Five Bedrooms: up to \$1,800,

Six Bedrooms: up to \$2,000.

10. DHA will not pay Security Deposit Assistance on behalf of a Participant for amounts that are higher than what is charged to unassisted tenants in the private rental market. Payment for security deposit assistance will be made directly to the owner or reimbursed to the Participant, at the option of the Participant.

11. Under state law, the landlord may deduct from the Security Deposit Assistance, the amount for which the Participant is legally liable under the lease. Texas Property Code, Section 92.104. State law also requires the landlord to provide an itemized statement to the Participant setting out the cost of repairs, if any, and an explanation of all amounts deducted from the security deposit when the tenant moves. The refund of the Security Deposit Assistance will be made directly to the Participant, who will not be eligible to receive additional Security Deposit

12. Landlord bonus incentive payments.

The landlord bonus incentive payment process is modified as follows:

DHA may pay an additional landlord incentive bonus payments to owners with properties in Eligible Census Tracts for Walker Settlement Voucher participants moving from non-Eligible Census Tracts to Eligible Census Tracts. DHA may pay a total landlord incentive bonus equal to one month's contract rent if needed to obtain a unit for the Walker Settlement Voucher participant.

13. Financial assistance for security deposits is limited to available funding provided through the mobility counseling funds referred to in paragraph 8 above.

14. DHA is authorized to spend no more than \$150,000 per year to pay the salary and benefits of DHA employees to assist in administering this agreement. The \$150,000 per year may also be used to pay costs related to updating and administering the waiting list (postage, software costs, etc.)

AGREED:

s/Michael M. Daniel  
MICHAEL M. DANIEL  
LAURA B. BESHARA  
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Date: August 27, 2013

s/Katie Anderson  
KATIE ANDERSON  
Counsel for The Housing Authority  
of the City of Dallas, Texas

Date: August 27, 2013



Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
1000001583	318.04	318.04	Not	5.3%	34.8%
146037	192.06	192.06	Not	4.4%	36.4%
141850	192.06	192.06	Not	4.4%	36.4%
92349	192.06	192.06	Not	4.4%	36.4%
169666	192.06	192.06	Not	4.4%	36.4%
100797	192.06	192.06	Not	4.4%	36.4%
146283	78.09	78.09	Not	19.7%	26.3%
157281	78.09	78.09	Not	19.7%	26.3%
172525	78.09	78.09	Not	19.7%	26.3%
87334	78.09	78.09	Not	19.7%	26.3%
91697	184.02	184.02	Not	7.8%	26.5%
129977	184.02	184.02	Not	7.8%	26.5%
94403	184.02	184.02	Not	7.8%	26.5%
167014	184.02	184.02	Not	7.8%	26.5%
166594	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
145549	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
21746	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
115752	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
75027	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
145006	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
165997	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
74972	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
150236	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
158143	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
102304	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
165455	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
86270	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
169474	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
168558	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
153490	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
88306	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
164811	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
145414	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
163677	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
77910	181.31	181.41	Not	21.4%	24.7%
148287	212	212.01	Not	25.1%	28.3%
153964	130.09	130.09	Not	30.5%	12.9%
52159	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
167314	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
150428	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
110698	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
149787	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
163511	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
103086	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
110309	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
95633	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
169898	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
147733	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
132118	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
98135	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
67673	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
1000001549	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
134595	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
167113	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
105363	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
148459	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
76349	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
108933	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
177211	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
113792	173.04	173.04	Not	25.9%	3.4%
168781	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
141153	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
119100	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
168875	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
116133	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
128267	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
147601	165.13	165.13	Not	37.1%	3.4%
105728	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
149411	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
143691	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
168551	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
168444	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
51122	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
167089	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
73032	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
120768	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
162194	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
126041	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
142158	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
170881	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
138071	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
58930	78.13	78.25	Not	32.9%	15.6%
163045	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
164399	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
118186	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
67631	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
101971	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
150060	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
24074	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
1000000304	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
165476	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
103846	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
166690	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
164286	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
171301	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
172809	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
86260	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
149436	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
169858	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
164077	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
167721	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
1000001697	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
68343	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
85637	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
146906	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
80281	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
98640	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
107286	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
162641	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
165327	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
162790	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
162588	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
165004	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
172900	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
141934	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
86908	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
1000000269	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
65174	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
168033	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
103401	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
167145	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
167575	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
166032	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
79063	178.05	178.05	Not	28.2%	12.1%
146551	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
100004965	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
152888	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
164753	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
152797	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
152427	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
159098	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
118473	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
108442	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
164361	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
118009	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
79346	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
135286	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
153080	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
134792	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
167321	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
105761	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
172154	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
147293	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
164973	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
172273	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
165142	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
103085	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
147602	317.05	317.14	Not	35.1%	15.3%
123501	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
148572	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
96160	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
132541	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
123113	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
136704	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
123010	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
143082	216.01	216.2	Not	33.7%	9.5%
157459	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
125033	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
127305	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
162920	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
149758	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
165554	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
76499	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
144279	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
130762	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
54729	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
167130	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
172040	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
167878	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
138580	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
94109	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
123180	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
115432	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
84641	216.16	216.16	Not	26.2%	11.7%
91433	136.12	136.21	Not	28.4%	15.9%
108487	136.12	136.21	Not	28.4%	15.9%
118725	308	308.02	Not	14.0%	27.1%
115734	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
172096	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
151220	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
67343	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
151361	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
135586	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
132618	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
150790	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
151487	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
164396	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
139028	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
109282	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
143314	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
167012	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
116064	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
126656	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
146352	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
151324	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
82015	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
146089	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
170879	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
104945	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
150986	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
145761	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
167150	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
138562	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
93598	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
149585	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
172662	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
171738	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
173167	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
172143	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
87149	141.1	141.32	Not	27.4%	12.4%
120835	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
85056	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
154106	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
167709	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
1000001492	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
146864	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
112360	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
171306	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
172802	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
120432	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
153115	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
152403	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
168162	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
172564	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
171145	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
165559	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
115060	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
75390	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
112616	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
165658	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
146217	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
153401	165.09	165.09	Not	32.8%	10.1%
138723	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
144001	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
83430	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
98001	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
169295	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
66120	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
170374	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
165615	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
170563	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
161702	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
169660	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
147629	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
106784	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
106649	178.13	178.13	Not	28.8%	14.2%
170368	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
122209	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
111889	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
152049	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
1000000333	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
130655	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
149501	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
124422	181.18	181.18	Not	25.8%	9.2%
74334	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
149029	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
165046	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
147331	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
148636	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
93871	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
115338	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
164265	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
58144	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
102047	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
102434	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
152835	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
163518	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
102208	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
93064	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
116748	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
171046	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
169922	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
97565	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
88829	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
163424	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
167904	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
1000000843	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
167711	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
62437	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
118778	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
108158	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
116983	181.3	181.3	Not	37.5%	16.5%
137576	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
80123	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
96262	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
139477	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
150226	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
138415	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
72362	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
151004	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
152840	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
64786	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
18376	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
96286	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
95541	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
167614	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
103624	181.19	181.38	Not	32.0%	13.1%
149277	1115.41	1115.41	Not	28.1%	14.3%
88230	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
113112	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
110773	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
120970	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
102529	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
149907	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
153004	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
76737	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
159024	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
147289	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
76841	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
167910	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
112277	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
166781	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
168088	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
168829	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
166054	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
165931	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
170864	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
171944	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
172159	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
116802	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%



Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
170587	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
171881	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
117146	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
172743	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
166452	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
170424	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
78621	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
165040	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
152079	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
141873	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
172592	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
162797	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
163211	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
167165	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
93807	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
141764	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
166790	165.18	165.18	Not	27.7%	20.4%
134881	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
83486	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
168478	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
167125	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
166694	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
172150	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
152181	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
115884	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
139580	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
76276	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
153703	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
60005	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
101514	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
68990	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
90346	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
163812	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
152086	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
132226	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
167493	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
91196	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
172909	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
166891	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
146930	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
64102	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
167127	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
167694	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
65998	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
168751	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
120927	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
84286	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
95594	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
61073	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
97966	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
165611	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
167128	177.03	177.03	Not	25.3%	28.0%
93573	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
149516	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
106678	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
52150	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
132353	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
51604	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
126788	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
119388	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
127693	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
153426	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
133690	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
164035	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
170311	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
120184	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
134104	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
168333	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
153647	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
151336	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
67509	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
146512	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
84439	173.05	173.05	Not	38.5%	5.8%
137633	1065.08	1065.17	Not	33.8%	13.1%
164700	1065.08	1065.17	Not	33.8%	13.1%
165969	1065.08	1065.17	Not	33.8%	13.1%
98697	144.06	144.06	Not	5.4%	28.0%
122740	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
157598	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
94710	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
153151	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
149831	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
97205	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
139568	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
130877	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
123074	164.11	164.11	Not	26.0%	7.9%
179528	1115.4	1115.4	Not	28.2%	6.9%
152459	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
148544	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
106592	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
66503	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
135383	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
60452	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
66711	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
146003	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
166189	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
170121	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
167498	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
153701	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
170352	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
53977	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
88955	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
167620	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
168477	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
59507	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
105350	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
163338	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
166514	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
160628	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
149106	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
164452	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
54665	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
131434	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
169345	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
165834	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
108368	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
121069	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
168712	143.1	143.1	Not	26.1%	15.8%
76199	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
104595	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
114069	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
122440	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
166560	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
175617	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
128803	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
115862	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
169333	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
165488	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
165299	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
151421	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
150307	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
143652	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
164707	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
140828	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
167040	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
158710	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
139394	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
169806	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
136358	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
170179	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
165712	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
147095	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
139642	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
164727	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
169840	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
147117	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
153073	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
75179	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
158867	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
162302	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
152474	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
162476	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
166030	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
133841	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
100650	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
115779	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
149245	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
148307	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
148063	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
113861	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
171218	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
112130	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
135267	181.11	181.11	Not	27.2%	12.1%
154289	1115.38	1115.38	Not	33.7%	7.8%
167029	1115.38	1115.38	Not	33.7%	7.8%
153857	1115.38	1115.38	Not	33.7%	7.8%
139016	1115.38	1115.38	Not	33.7%	7.8%
166783	1115.38	1115.38	Not	33.7%	7.8%
152165	1115.38	1115.38	Not	33.7%	7.8%
146441	164.04	164.13	Not	31.1%	5.2%
169964	164.04	164.13	Not	31.1%	5.2%
142794	217.13	217.45	Not	7.3%	24.1%
165059	1115.19	1115.5	Not	36.3%	12.1%
167741	1115.19	1115.5	Not	36.3%	12.1%
164043	131.03	131.05	Not	21.5%	24.7%
172565	131.03	131.05	Not	21.5%	24.7%
68085	131.03	131.05	Not	21.5%	24.7%
137071	131.03	131.05	Not	21.5%	24.7%
147017	131.03	131.05	Not	21.5%	24.7%
171572	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
126595	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
146562	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
109449	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
95878	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
118795	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
122948	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
137214	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
117293	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
122910	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
168835	173.06	173.06	Not	31.0%	15.0%
111937	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
170888	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
165087	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
24776	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
158890	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
123448	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
147930	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
144000	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
165329	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
102410	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
153112	1115.39	1115.39	Not	31.7%	3.4%
166536	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
117583	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
172262	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
132130	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
100001642	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
157536	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
112148	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
79853	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
131318	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
161247	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
147108	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
125937	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
115682	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
100201	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
170088	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
146058	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
168291	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
156979	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
132098	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
173056	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
91961	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
86555	171.01	171.01	Not	17.9%	25.8%
93024	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
151450	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
130256	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
105910	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
165787	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
166227	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
117999	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
152739	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
167208	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
151414	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
109363	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
167400	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
106471	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
170916	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
120242	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
74336	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%
147246	173.01	173.01	Not	25.9%	17.7%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
86540	168.02	168.02	Not	46.7%	9.4%
103987	168.02	168.02	Not	46.7%	9.4%
163531	168.02	168.02	Not	46.7%	9.4%
166842	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
170178	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
144990	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
167908	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
127627	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
81797	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
95422	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
172076	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
161429	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
167287	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
142495	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
136564	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
164828	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
166746	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
167698	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
162864	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
170594	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
88820	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
63801	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
144084	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
146905	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
136841	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
89499	190.18	190.18	Not	36.0%	12.7%
94121	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
130049	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
156768	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
106616	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
100000361	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
142180	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
68818	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
163264	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
171457	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
159333	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
166945	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
168165	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
145993	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
98091	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
107571	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
84661	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
151724	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
130128	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
168228	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
170908	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
168469	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
146366	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
156861	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
147021	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
126789	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
126881	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
66106	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
165769	181.28	181.28	Not	27.8%	16.9%
163252	1115.18	1115.47	Not	34.1%	8.1%
147000	1115.18	1115.47	Not	34.1%	8.1%
167791	1115.18	1115.47	Not	34.1%	8.1%
170967	1115.18	1115.47	Not	34.1%	8.1%
170008	1115.18	1115.47	Not	34.1%	8.1%
125217	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
84962	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172433	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
165417	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
148122	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
171784	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
128778	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
148539	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
126148	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
163290	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
142101	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
98894	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
103250	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
73465	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
150868	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172683	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
146810	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172973	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
94565	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172567	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
171803	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%



Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
166336	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
153884	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
159929	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172231	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
85054	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172878	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
167809	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
171850	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
163771	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
89696	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
172699	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
119120	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
169316	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
167516	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
170785	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
158531	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
115838	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
152571	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
94422	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
76549	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
119942	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
167383	172.02	172.02	Not	32.7%	26.0%
135517	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
159152	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
172676	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
164717	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
166467	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
165474	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
167638	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
136764	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
169619	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
168239	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
167067	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
161487	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
171013	161	161	Not	36.6%	25.9%
120699	1110.11	1110.11	Not	43.9%	9.8%
127097	136.13	136.23	Not	24.9%	26.2%
151176	136.13	136.23	Not	24.9%	26.2%
172638	136.13	136.23	Not	24.9%	26.2%
149281	136.13	136.23	Not	24.9%	26.2%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
173268	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
167760	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
170590	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
75750	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
164247	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
75453	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
59806	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
165308	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
172615	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
172309	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
147009	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
170891	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
116493	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
151238	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
163975	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
163711	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
169287	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
130743	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
101636	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
99654	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
96792	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
116220	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
171017	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
168985	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
163448	165.16	165.16	Not	27.4%	15.2%
150069	164.06	164.06	Not	14.8%	26.8%
122015	164.06	164.06	Not	14.8%	26.8%
171815	164.06	164.06	Not	14.8%	26.8%
165526	127.01	127.01	Not	9.2%	24.6%
151780	127.01	127.01	Not	9.2%	24.6%
147477	127.01	127.01	Not	9.2%	24.6%
166969	122.06	122.06	Not	44.5%	13.1%
132832	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
165793	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
170516	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
121635	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
131633	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
69423	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
172130	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
149990	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
168125	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
170994	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
153693	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
133804	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
84736	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
151644	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
169148	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
153731	190.16	190.16	Not	29.0%	11.3%
170941	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
164591	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
69344	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
67572	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
89616	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
111924	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
171799	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
148040	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
150443	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
81026	181.05	181.05	Not	12.7%	22.4%
171551	190.34	190.34	Not	44.4%	20.4%
165800	164.07	164.07	Not	14.3%	28.7%
153149	164.07	164.07	Not	14.3%	28.7%
163715	164.07	164.07	Not	14.3%	28.7%
151243	164.07	164.07	Not	14.3%	28.7%
172938	164.07	164.07	Not	14.3%	28.7%
137126	164.07	164.07	Not	14.3%	28.7%
104133	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
115746	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
138447	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
168274	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
139616	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
172593	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
171105	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
166809	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
105123	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
130661	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
164577	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
148546	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
161861	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
171758	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%
111448	171.02	171.02	Not	21.0%	37.2%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
143705	1229	1229	Not	10.5%	22.7%
139008	317.1	317.2	Not	19.7%	32.1%
159004	317.1	317.2	Not	19.7%	32.1%
129648	317.1	317.2	Not	19.7%	32.1%
141651	317.1	317.2	Not	19.7%	32.1%
106541	317.1	317.2	Not	19.7%	32.1%
151959	317.1	317.2	Not	19.7%	32.1%
130715	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
78714	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
110195	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
159219	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
108922	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
157076	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
140894	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
97192	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
86782	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
142202	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
164333	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
172999	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
166014	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
152684	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
101651	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
131378	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
138390	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
108802	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
73814	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
165262	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
133953	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
151355	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
138112	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
159542	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
160152	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
104200	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
101008	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
144118	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
91946	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
165137	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
161030	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
170075	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
85111	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
111639	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
132055	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
165781	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
115993	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
147202	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
139943	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
148798	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
147780	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
161753	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
153458	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
67689	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
165943	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
117955	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
113309	166.16	166.16	Not	54.8%	11.4%
168134	152.02	152.02	Not	3.9%	27.8%
143776	152.05	152.05	Not	7.2%	22.5%
122987	152.05	152.05	Not	7.2%	22.5%
171405	152.05	152.05	Not	7.2%	22.5%
67102	152.05	152.05	Not	7.2%	22.5%
152099	152.05	152.05	Not	7.2%	22.5%
142533	152.05	152.05	Not	7.2%	22.5%
163249	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
172915	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
67035	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
166012	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
146270	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
167310	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
165010	1115.21	1115.21	Not	31.9%	30.1%
87830	166.13	166.23	Not	60.9%	13.2%
166457	78.11	78.11	Not	61.6%	29.2%
170919	78.11	78.11	Not	61.6%	29.2%
149407	78.11	78.11	Not	61.6%	29.2%
168867	78.11	78.11	Not	61.6%	29.2%
170862	78.11	78.11	Not	61.6%	29.2%
90248	78.11	78.11	Not	61.6%	29.2%
100000235	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
1249	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
142423	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
80659	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
168902	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
148644	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
137014	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
110499	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
125373	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
167133	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
147063	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
50475	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
171204	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
112102	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
170878	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
170804	136.15	136.15	Not	19.2%	25.3%
73401	182.05	182.05	Not	12.9%	26.9%
172906	182.05	182.05	Not	12.9%	26.9%
116109	182.05	182.05	Not	12.9%	26.9%
95489	190.32	190.32	Not	7.4%	27.9%
147100	141.14	141.14	Not	34.0%	28.0%
153952	141.14	141.14	Not	34.0%	28.0%
121077	1130.02	1130.02	Not	33.1%	28.8%
96561	1130.02	1130.02	Not	33.1%	28.8%
166523	1130.02	1130.02	Not	33.1%	28.8%
166466	1130.02	1130.02	Not	33.1%	28.8%
101883	143.08	143.08	Not	11.1%	30.3%
84830	143.08	143.08	Not	11.1%	30.3%
163500	1219.02	1219.04	Not	18.3%	24.5%
166218	166.15	166.15	Not	63.3%	10.0%
143063	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
99270	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
149530	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
99541	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
172153	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
150681	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
171859	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
162114	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
109015	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
156300	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
145698	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
161381	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
154216	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
170771	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
100001937	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
142031	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
168587	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
165287	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
170140	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
119845	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
157104	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
82311	154.04	154.04	Not	24.2%	28.2%
146716	192.08	192.08	Not	14.5%	29.0%
137455	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
146041	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
159452	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
168600	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
157785	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
87757	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
168205	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
143186	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
101031	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
123020	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
133929	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
147628	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
107856	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
157462	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
168853	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
141035	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
170736	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
142740	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
169610	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
146123	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
104913	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
93426	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
167729	190.19	190.19	Not	41.6%	29.2%
153181	141.03	141.03	Not	34.1%	32.6%
147482	165.11	165.11	Not	59.7%	13.0%
86256	185.04	185.05	Not	54.5%	35.2%
171882	185.04	185.05	Not	54.5%	35.2%
113278	185.04	185.05	Not	54.5%	35.2%
172914	185.04	185.05	Not	54.5%	35.2%
164269	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
171718	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
171368	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%

Client Number	Corrected 2000 CT (may not match DHA report)	2010 CT (from geocoded addresses)	WTA eligible 2010 (including presence of public housing)	% Black (25.7%)	% below poverty (22.3%)
95851	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
168019	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
53520	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
98514	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
167431	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
166808	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
107060	107.01	107.01	Not	6.8%	24.4%
156797	166.05	166.05	Not	56.7%	39.2%
171887	166.05	166.05	Not	56.7%	39.2%
119930	199	199	Not	1.7%	32.9%
141608	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
83899	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
170681	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
171444	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
157110	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
115293	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
154117	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
171362	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
172196	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
167816	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
21317	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
131680	107.03	107.03	Not	15.9%	27.7%
170914	182.04	182.04	Not	18.3%	35.1%
147097	108.02	108.04	Not	33.1%	35.8%
119534	108.02	108.04	Not	33.1%	35.8%
122309	87.04	87.04	Not	89.5%	39.5%



WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA		
Collin	301	Anna	0.7%	8.2%		WTA
Collin	301	Blue Ridge	0.7%	8.2%		WTA
Collin	301	Farmersville	0.7%	8.2%		WTA
Collin	302.01	Anna	2.0%	1.2%		WTA
Collin	302.01	McKinney	2.0%	1.2%		WTA
Collin	302.01	Melissa	2.0%	1.2%		WTA
Collin	302.01	New Hope	2.0%	1.2%		WTA
Collin	302.02	Anna	1.6%	9.7%		WTA
Collin	302.02	McKinney	1.6%	9.7%		WTA
Collin	302.02	Melissa	1.6%	9.7%		WTA
Collin	302.02	Weston	1.6%	9.7%		WTA
Collin	302.03	Anna	7.2%	12.5%		WTA
Collin	302.03	Melissa	7.2%	12.5%		WTA
Collin	303.01	Celina	12.0%	5.4%		WTA
Collin	303.01	McKinney	12.0%	5.4%		WTA
Collin	303.02	Celina	4.6%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	303.02	Frisco	4.6%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	303.02	Prosper	4.6%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	303.03	Frisco	6.6%	1.6%		WTA
Collin	303.03	Prosper	6.6%	1.6%		WTA
Collin	303.04	Frisco	4.2%	10.2%		WTA
Collin	303.04	Prosper	4.2%	10.2%		WTA
Collin	303.05	Celina	3.5%	5.4%		WTA
Collin	303.05	McKinney	3.5%	5.4%		WTA
Collin	303.05	Prosper	3.5%	5.4%		WTA
Collin	304.03	Frisco	6.7%	7.0%		WTA
Collin	304.04	Frisco	8.4%	5.7%		WTA
Collin	304.05	Frisco	12.1%	6.7%		WTA
Collin	304.06	Frisco	8.6%	18.9%		WTA
Collin	304.07	Frisco	5.7%	0.7%		WTA
Collin	304.08	Frisco	11.1%	14.3%		WTA
Collin	305.04	Frisco	12.5%	5.6%		WTA
Collin	305.05	Frisco	13.9%	2.3%		WTA
Collin	305.06	Frisco	5.7%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	305.07	Frisco	3.4%	2.7%		WTA
Collin	305.08	Frisco	4.0%	0.9%		WTA
Collin	305.09	Frisco	7.3%	1.8%		WTA
Collin	305.10	Frisco	14.4%	1.2%		WTA
Collin	305.11	Frisco	8.7%	0.5%		WTA
Collin	305.12	Frisco	4.6%	3.1%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Collin	305.13	McKinney	13.6%	12.3%		WTA
Collin	305.14	McKinney	11.3%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	305.15	McKinney	13.3%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	305.16	McKinney	14.3%	6.8%		WTA
Collin	305.17	McKinney	10.5%	1.1%		WTA
Collin	305.18	Frisco	11.6%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	305.19	Frisco	13.5%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	305.20	Frisco	16.0%	4.5%		WTA
Collin	305.21	Frisco	16.5%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	305.22	McKinney	15.1%	4.6%		WTA
Collin	305.22	Frisco	15.1%	4.6%		WTA
Collin	305.23	McKinney	15.2%	10.7%		WTA
Collin	305.23	Frisco	15.2%	10.7%		WTA
Collin	305.24	McKinney	15.3%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	305.24	Frisco	15.3%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	305.25	McKinney	5.5%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	305.25	Frisco	5.5%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	305.26	McKinney	8.3%	7.3%		WTA
Collin	305.27	McKinney	14.2%	7.6%		WTA
Collin	305.28	McKinney	6.5%	3.7%		WTA
Collin	305.29	McKinney	9.0%	4.9%		WTA
Collin	305.30	McKinney	4.1%	2.7%		WTA
Collin	305.31	McKinney	4.5%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	306.01	McKinney	5.9%	1.7%		WTA
Collin	306.03	McKinney	13.1%	8.4%		WTA
Collin	306.04	McKinney	19.7%	9.9%		WTA
Collin	306.05	McKinney	18.2%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	307.01	McKinney	16.7%	37.3%		Not Eligible
Collin	307.02	McKinney	6.8%	27.6%		Not Eligible
Collin	308.01	Fairview	15.2%	17.3%		WTA
Collin	308.01	McKinney	15.2%	17.3%		WTA
Collin	308.02	McKinney	14.0%	27.1%		Not Eligible
Collin	309	Fairview	17.3%	33.2%		Not Eligible
Collin	309	McKinney	17.3%	33.2%		Not Eligible
Collin	310.01	Lowry Crossing	1.8%	7.7%		WTA
Collin	310.01	McKinney	1.8%	7.7%		WTA
Collin	310.01	Princeton	1.8%	7.7%		WTA
Collin	310.01	Wylie	1.8%	7.7%		WTA
Collin	310.03	McKinney	8.6%	8.3%		WTA
Collin	310.03	New Hope	8.6%	8.3%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA		
Collin	310.03	Princeton	8.6%	8.3%		WTA
Collin	310.04	Princeton	2.6%	8.2%		WTA
Collin	310.04	Wylie	2.6%	8.2%		WTA
Collin	311	Farmersville	4.8%	14.0%		WTA
Collin	311	Nevada	4.8%	14.0%		WTA
Collin	311	Wylie	4.8%	14.0%		WTA
Collin	312.01	Josephine	2.8%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	312.01	Lavon	2.8%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	312.01	Nevada	2.8%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	312.01	Wylie	2.8%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	312.02	Dallas	4.7%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	312.02	Lavon	4.7%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	312.02	Royse City	4.7%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	313.08	St. Paul	10.9%	4.7%		WTA
Collin	313.08	Wylie	10.9%	4.7%		WTA
Collin	313.09	Wylie	14.8%	2.6%		WTA
Collin	313.10	Dallas	8.2%	4.8%		WTA
Collin	313.10	Wylie	8.2%	4.8%		WTA
Collin	313.11	Sachse	9.6%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	313.11	Wylie	9.6%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	313.12	Parker	8.6%	3.9%		WTA
Collin	313.12	Plano	8.6%	3.9%		WTA
Collin	313.13	Allen	8.5%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	313.13	Lucas	8.5%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	313.13	Murphy	8.5%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	313.13	Parker	8.5%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	313.14	Lucas	4.1%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	313.14	St. Paul	4.1%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	313.14	Wylie	4.1%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	313.15	Murphy	15.2%	6.3%		WTA
Collin	313.15	Parker	15.2%	6.3%		WTA
Collin	313.15	Wylie	15.2%	6.3%		WTA
Collin	313.16	Garland	11.0%	6.1%		WTA
Collin	313.16	Murphy	11.0%	6.1%		WTA
Collin	313.16	Plano	11.0%	6.1%		WTA
Collin	313.16	Richardson	11.0%	6.1%		WTA
Collin	313.17	Murphy	12.6%	3.3%		WTA
Collin	313.17	Sachse	12.6%	3.3%		WTA
Collin	313.17	Wylie	12.6%	3.3%		WTA
Collin	314.05	Allen	5.9%	2.3%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Collin	314.05	Plano	5.9%	2.3%		WTA
Collin	314.06	Allen	9.1%	2.5%		WTA
Collin	314.06	Fairview	9.1%	2.5%		WTA
Collin	314.07	Allen	2.2%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	314.07	Fairview	2.2%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	314.07	Lucas	2.2%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	314.07	McKinney	2.2%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	314.08	Allen	11.1%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	314.08	Lucas	11.1%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	314.09	Allen	10.7%	1.6%		WTA
Collin	314.09	Plano	10.7%	1.6%		WTA
Collin	314.10	Allen	10.3%	4.6%		WTA
Collin	314.11	Allen	14.2%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	314.11	Parker	14.2%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	315.04	Allen	6.3%	5.1%		WTA
Collin	315.05	Allen	4.7%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	315.05	Plano	4.7%	2.9%		WTA
Collin	315.06	Allen	15.1%	7.6%		WTA
Collin	315.07	Allen	7.6%	7.9%		WTA
Collin	315.08	Allen	9.7%	5.4%		WTA
Collin	316.11	Plano	16.5%	13.9%		WTA
Collin	316.12	Plano	5.9%	2.0%		WTA
Collin	316.13	Plano	3.7%	4.8%		WTA
Collin	316.21	Plano	9.0%	6.3%		WTA
Collin	316.22	Plano	6.9%	2.1%		WTA
Collin	316.23	Plano	6.4%	9.9%		WTA
Collin	316.24	Plano	9.7%	29.9%		Not Eligible
Collin	316.25	Plano	5.0%	4.0%		WTA
Collin	316.26	Plano	4.1%	1.8%		WTA
Collin	316.27	Plano	6.4%	4.1%		WTA
Collin	316.28	Plano	7.7%	5.9%		WTA
Collin	316.29	Plano	9.0%	8.8%		WTA
Collin	316.30	Plano	6.2%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	316.31	Plano	14.7%	9.3%		WTA
Collin	316.32	Allen	9.7%	7.3%		WTA
Collin	316.32	Plano	9.7%	7.3%		WTA
Collin	316.33	Plano	7.6%	1.7%		WTA
Collin	316.34	Plano	9.8%	10.2%		WTA
Collin	316.35	Plano	18.8%	7.3%		WTA
Collin	316.36	Plano	6.1%	2.4%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA		
Collin	316.37	Plano	4.3%	0.5%		WTA
Collin	316.38	Plano	2.6%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	316.39	Plano	9.1%	5.2%		WTA
Collin	316.40	Plano	12.8%	7.8%		WTA
Collin	316.41	Plano	4.6%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	316.42	Plano	4.9%	2.7%		WTA
Collin	316.43	Plano	6.8%	7.8%		WTA
Collin	316.45	Plano	1.7%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	316.46	Plano	4.1%	3.3%		WTA
Collin	316.47	Hebron	8.6%	4.2%		WTA
Collin	316.47	Plano	8.6%	4.2%		WTA
Collin	316.48	Plano	5.2%	2.7%		WTA
Collin	316.49	Carrollton	3.1%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	316.49	Dallas	3.1%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	316.49	Hebron	3.1%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	316.49	Plano	3.1%	3.6%		WTA
Collin	316.52	Plano	8.5%	3.8%		WTA
Collin	316.53	Plano	9.8%	5.3%		WTA
Collin	316.54	Plano	2.2%	4.5%		WTA
Collin	316.55	Plano	8.2%	12.9%		WTA
Collin	316.56	Plano	12.7%	1.0%		WTA
Collin	316.57	Plano	12.8%	28.4%		Not Eligible
Collin	316.58	Plano	10.6%	11.9%		WTA
Collin	316.59	Plano	11.8%	0.0%		WTA
Collin	316.60	Plano	13.1%	4.7%		WTA
Collin	316.61	Plano	5.9%	2.6%		WTA
Collin	316.62	Plano	5.5%	2.0%		WTA
Collin	316.63	Plano	6.3%	0.9%		WTA
Collin	316.64	Plano	4.6%	2.7%		WTA
Collin	317.04	Dallas	13.1%	8.9%		WTA
Collin	317.06	Dallas	3.2%	2.8%		WTA
Collin	317.08	Dallas	13.7%	14.9%		WTA
Collin	317.09	Dallas	9.2%	2.9%	Yes	Not Eligible
Collin	317.09	Plano	9.2%	2.9%	Yes	Not Eligible
Collin	317.11	Dallas	12.2%	0.3%		WTA
Collin	317.12	Dallas	17.8%	7.6%		WTA
Collin	317.13	Dallas	25.3%	8.8%		WTA
Collin	317.14	Dallas	35.1%	15.3%		Not Eligible
Collin	317.14	Plano	35.1%	15.3%		Not Eligible
Collin	317.15	Dallas	5.1%	3.9%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Collin	317.15	Plano	5.1%	3.9%		WTA
Collin	317.16	Dallas	11.4%	12.5%		WTA
Collin	317.17	Dallas	16.7%	23.5%		Not Eligible
Collin	317.18	Dallas	5.2%	8.2%		WTA
Collin	317.19	Dallas	6.5%	9.4%		WTA
Collin	317.20	Dallas	19.7%	32.1%		Not Eligible
Collin	318.02	Plano	9.3%	4.3%		WTA
Collin	318.02	Richardson	9.3%	4.3%		WTA
Collin	318.04	Dallas	5.3%	34.8%		Not Eligible
Collin	318.04	Plano	5.3%	34.8%		Not Eligible
Collin	318.04	Richardson	5.3%	34.8%		Not Eligible
Collin	318.05	Richardson	2.8%	3.0%		WTA
Collin	318.06	Richardson	6.9%	11.7%		WTA
Collin	318.07	Plano	3.0%	12.5%		WTA
Collin	318.07	Richardson	3.0%	12.5%		WTA
Collin	319	Plano	14.7%	28.5%		Not Eligible
Collin	319	Richardson	14.7%	28.5%		Not Eligible
Collin	320.03	Plano	10.3%	16.9%		WTA
Collin	320.04	Plano	11.6%	11.9%		WTA
Collin	320.08	Plano	11.3%	11.1%		WTA
Collin	320.09	Garland	6.2%	0.6%		WTA
Collin	320.09	Richardson	6.2%	0.6%		WTA
Collin	320.10	Plano	18.2%	17.9%		WTA
Collin	320.11	Garland	8.4%	0.5%		WTA
Collin	320.11	Plano	8.4%	0.5%		WTA
Collin	320.11	Richardson	8.4%	0.5%		WTA
Collin	320.12	Plano	10.7%	7.4%		WTA
Collin	320.13	Plano	14.5%	17.0%		WTA
Dallas	1	Dallas	3.1%	8.4%		WTA
Dallas	2.01	Dallas	1.1%	4.5%		WTA
Dallas	2.02	Dallas	1.7%	4.6%		WTA
Dallas	3	Dallas	2.7%	7.6%		WTA
Dallas	3	Highland Park	2.7%	7.6%		WTA
Dallas	4.01	Dallas	11.9%	29.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	4.04	Dallas	6.2%	25.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	4.05	Dallas	35.2%	47.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	4.06	Dallas	2.9%	34.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	5	Dallas	7.5%	32.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	6.01	Dallas	7.4%	25.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	6.01	Highland Park	7.4%	25.6%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	6.03	Dallas	4.1%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	6.03	Highland Park	4.1%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	6.05	Dallas	5.1%	8.1%		WTA
Dallas	6.06	Dallas	3.0%	5.6%		WTA
Dallas	6.06	Highland Park	3.0%	5.6%		WTA
Dallas	7.01	Dallas	2.7%	9.4%		WTA
Dallas	7.02	Dallas	3.0%	20.3%		WTA
Dallas	7.02	Highland Park	3.0%	20.3%		WTA
Dallas	8	Dallas	16.5%	29.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	9	Dallas	4.8%	38.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	10.01	Dallas	2.0%	2.0%		WTA
Dallas	10.02	Dallas	2.5%	8.0%		WTA
Dallas	11.01	Dallas	3.9%	12.6%		WTA
Dallas	11.02	Dallas	3.1%	2.4%		WTA
Dallas	12.02	Dallas	17.8%	15.1%		WTA
Dallas	12.03	Dallas	2.4%	19.9%		WTA
Dallas	12.04	Dallas	2.3%	45.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	13.01	Dallas	5.5%	17.3%		WTA
Dallas	13.02	Dallas	9.0%	14.0%		WTA
Dallas	14	Dallas	12.7%	28.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	15.02	Dallas	12.0%	23.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	15.03	Dallas	13.9%	37.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	15.04	Dallas	17.1%	31.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	16	Dallas	42.0%	46.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	17.01	Dallas	6.7%	0.0%		WTA
Dallas	17.03	Dallas	3.6%	0.8%		WTA
Dallas	17.04	Dallas	3.1%	3.0%		WTA
Dallas	18	Dallas	3.4%	4.5%		WTA
Dallas	19	Dallas	11.5%	13.8%	Yes	Not Eligible
Dallas	20	Dallas	18.0%	32.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	21	Dallas	23.3%	4.6%		WTA
Dallas	22	Dallas	18.2%	19.7%		WTA
Dallas	24	Dallas	3.5%	37.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	25	Dallas	27.9%	26.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	27.01	Dallas	90.6%	71.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	27.02	Dallas	88.8%	35.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	31.01	Dallas	12.6%	4.6%		WTA
Dallas	34	Dallas	73.3%	45.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	37	Dallas	91.6%	33.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	38	Dallas	95.2%	34.9%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	39.01	Dallas	93.8%	47.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	39.02	Dallas	74.8%	38.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	40	Dallas	89.0%	27.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	41	Dallas	58.9%	67.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	42.01	Dallas	4.0%	19.5%		WTA
Dallas	42.02	Dallas	4.4%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	43	Dallas	11.0%	34.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	44	Dallas	6.4%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	45	Dallas	2.8%	14.3%		WTA
Dallas	46	Dallas	7.1%	9.0%		WTA
Dallas	47	Dallas	5.1%	38.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	48	Dallas	4.7%	25.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	49	Dallas	59.8%	43.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	50	Dallas	8.0%	25.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	51	Dallas	2.9%	28.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	52	Dallas	2.3%	19.6%		WTA
Dallas	53	Dallas	1.9%	20.5%		WTA
Dallas	54	Dallas	42.1%	39.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	55	Dallas	57.1%	33.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	56	Dallas	25.5%	35.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	57	Dallas	59.5%	41.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	59.01	Dallas	74.6%	30.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	59.02	Dallas	73.3%	22.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	60.01	Dallas	22.7%	46.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	60.02	Dallas	51.5%	34.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	61	Dallas	45.6%	28.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	62	Dallas	32.7%	24.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	63.01	Dallas	9.6%	23.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	63.02	Dallas	3.0%	16.1%		WTA
Dallas	64.01	Dallas	1.6%	21.4%		WTA
Dallas	64.02	Dallas	1.6%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	65.01	Cockrell Hill	4.5%	16.5%		WTA
Dallas	65.01	Dallas	4.5%	16.5%		WTA
Dallas	65.02	Dallas	1.9%	19.3%		WTA
Dallas	67	Cockrell Hill	2.3%	21.7%		WTA
Dallas	67	Dallas	2.3%	21.7%		WTA
Dallas	68	Dallas	8.8%	19.7%		WTA
Dallas	69	Dallas	13.9%	28.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	71.01	Dallas	2.4%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	71.01	Highland Park	2.4%	1.9%		WTA



WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	71.02	Dallas	37.9%	21.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	72.01	Dallas	3.4%	32.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	72.02	Dallas	2.8%	34.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	73.01	Dallas	0.6%	2.1%		WTA
Dallas	73.01	University Park	0.6%	2.1%		WTA
Dallas	73.02	Dallas	3.7%	7.7%		WTA
Dallas	76.01	Dallas	1.2%	1.5%		WTA
Dallas	76.04	Dallas	1.0%	4.1%		WTA
Dallas	76.05	Dallas	1.4%	3.2%		WTA
Dallas	77	Dallas	0.9%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	78.01	Dallas	2.6%	2.7%		WTA
Dallas	78.04	Dallas	62.4%	25.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.05	Dallas	24.7%	11.9%		WTA
Dallas	78.09	Dallas	19.7%	26.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.10	Dallas	21.2%	4.7%		WTA
Dallas	78.11	Dallas	61.6%	29.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.12	Dallas	1.8%	1.6%		WTA
Dallas	78.15	Dallas	23.2%	46.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.18	Dallas	21.8%	29.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.19	Dallas	25.8%	42.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.20	Dallas	24.1%	40.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.21	Dallas	15.1%	21.9%		WTA
Dallas	78.22	Dallas	15.8%	2.4%		WTA
Dallas	78.23	Dallas	12.5%	34.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.24	Dallas	1.9%	0.0%		WTA
Dallas	78.25	Dallas	32.9%	15.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.26	Dallas	46.9%	33.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	78.27	Dallas	54.2%	21.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	79.02	Dallas	4.6%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	79.03	Dallas	4.8%	8.6%		WTA
Dallas	79.06	Dallas	1.1%	6.2%		WTA
Dallas	79.09	Dallas	12.0%	10.9%		WTA
Dallas	79.10	Dallas	10.6%	9.6%		WTA
Dallas	79.11	Dallas	8.4%	8.8%		WTA
Dallas	79.12	Dallas	8.6%	13.1%		WTA
Dallas	79.13	Dallas	16.3%	4.9%		WTA
Dallas	79.14	Dallas	10.9%	21.4%		WTA
Dallas	80	Dallas	0.7%	0.7%		WTA
Dallas	81	Dallas	4.4%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	82	Dallas	16.7%	9.8%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	84	Dallas	6.1%	28.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	85	Dallas	15.7%	25.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	86.03	Dallas	62.2%	33.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	86.04	Dallas	80.0%	56.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	87.01	Dallas	91.8%	46.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	87.03	Dallas	68.1%	28.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	87.04	Dallas	89.5%	39.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	87.05	Dallas	79.9%	12.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	88.01	Dallas	83.3%	21.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	88.02	Dallas	77.4%	40.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	89	Dallas	68.7%	44.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	90	Dallas	31.6%	22.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	91.01	Dallas	26.6%	25.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	91.03	Dallas	32.9%	24.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	91.04	Dallas	20.2%	34.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	91.05	Dallas	10.9%	26.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	92.01	Dallas	22.9%	15.3%		WTA
Dallas	92.02	Dallas	12.8%	28.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	93.01	Dallas	7.0%	29.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	93.03	Dallas	15.5%	35.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	93.04	Dallas	69.3%	54.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	94.01	Dallas	3.5%	7.7%		WTA
Dallas	94.02	Dallas	1.3%	5.7%		WTA
Dallas	95	Dallas	2.0%	4.9%		WTA
Dallas	96.03	Dallas	6.2%	2.1%		WTA
Dallas	96.04	Dallas	11.0%	21.5%		WTA
Dallas	96.05	Dallas	7.4%	17.3%		WTA
Dallas	96.07	Dallas	3.8%	12.0%		WTA
Dallas	96.08	Dallas	8.7%	17.1%		WTA
Dallas	96.09	Dallas	2.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	96.10	Dallas	4.7%	41.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	96.11	Dallas	6.6%	16.2%		WTA
Dallas	97.01	Dallas	4.4%	16.0%		WTA
Dallas	97.02	Dallas	4.4%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	98.02	Dallas	2.0%	23.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	98.03	Dallas	11.2%	14.5%		WTA
Dallas	98.04	Dallas	3.3%	51.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	99	Dallas	14.3%	20.2%		WTA
Dallas	99	Farmers Branch	14.3%	20.2%		WTA
Dallas	99	Irving	14.3%	20.2%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	100	Dallas	48.1%	23.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	100	Irving	48.1%	23.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	101.01	Dallas	50.6%	39.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	101.02	Dallas	6.4%	32.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	105	Dallas	42.1%	26.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	106.01	Dallas	4.5%	23.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	106.02	Dallas	20.5%	31.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	107.01	Dallas	6.8%	24.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	107.03	Dallas	15.9%	27.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	107.03	Grand Prairie	15.9%	27.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	107.04	Dallas	22.5%	32.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	108.01	Dallas	13.7%	19.1%		WTA
Dallas	108.03	Dallas	46.9%	8.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	108.04	Dallas	33.1%	35.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	108.05	Dallas	25.6%	25.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	109.02	Dallas	89.0%	35.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	109.03	Dallas	55.0%	27.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	109.03	Duncanville	55.0%	27.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	109.04	Dallas	81.0%	24.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	109.04	Duncanville	81.0%	24.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	110.01	Dallas	84.4%	15.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	110.02	Dallas	76.2%	8.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	111.01	Dallas	88.8%	7.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	111.03	Dallas	46.4%	19.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	111.04	Dallas	79.2%	22.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	111.05	Dallas	68.8%	30.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	112	Dallas	80.6%	24.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	112	Lancaster	80.6%	24.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	113	Dallas	92.3%	16.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	113	Lancaster	92.3%	16.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	114.01	Dallas	89.7%	57.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	115	Dallas	32.0%	61.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	116.01	Dallas	57.8%	32.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	116.02	Dallas	14.7%	28.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	117.01	Dallas	26.0%	29.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	117.02	Balch Springs	11.2%	14.0%		WTA
Dallas	117.02	Dallas	11.2%	14.0%		WTA
Dallas	118	Balch Springs	34.6%	43.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	118	Dallas	34.6%	43.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	119	Balch Springs	29.7%	27.4%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	119	Dallas	29.7%	27.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	119	Mesquite	29.7%	27.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	120	Dallas	42.0%	19.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	121	Dallas	48.9%	25.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	122.04	Dallas	22.8%	13.5%		WTA
Dallas	122.06	Dallas	44.5%	13.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	122.07	Dallas	46.9%	22.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	122.07	Mesquite	46.9%	22.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	122.08	Dallas	36.5%	47.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	122.09	Dallas	12.7%	21.1%		WTA
Dallas	122.10	Dallas	53.3%	42.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	122.11	Dallas	43.4%	27.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	123.01	Dallas	13.6%	19.3%		WTA
Dallas	123.02	Dallas	46.3%	46.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	123.02	Mesquite	46.3%	46.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	124	Dallas	6.0%	11.8%		WTA
Dallas	125	Dallas	7.8%	15.6%		WTA
Dallas	125	Mesquite	7.8%	15.6%		WTA
Dallas	126.01	Dallas	18.1%	17.0%		WTA
Dallas	126.01	Garland	18.1%	17.0%		WTA
Dallas	126.03	Dallas	8.6%	3.5%		WTA
Dallas	126.04	Dallas	33.7%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	126.04	Garland	33.7%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	126.04	Mesquite	33.7%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	127.01	Dallas	9.2%	24.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	127.01	Garland	9.2%	24.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	127.02	Dallas	10.8%	10.5%		WTA
Dallas	128	Dallas	5.1%	7.5%		WTA
Dallas	129	Dallas	6.9%	8.3%		WTA
Dallas	130.04	Dallas	4.7%	3.6%	Yes	Not Eligible
Dallas	130.05	Dallas	1.7%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	130.07	Dallas	16.0%	12.0%		WTA
Dallas	130.08	Dallas	11.8%	15.3%		WTA
Dallas	130.09	Dallas	30.5%	12.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	130.10	Dallas	25.6%	23.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	130.10	Garland	25.6%	23.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	130.11	Dallas	21.8%	29.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	131.01	Dallas	2.5%	3.9%		WTA
Dallas	131.02	Dallas	1.7%	0.6%		WTA
Dallas	131.04	Dallas	4.8%	10.5%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	131.05	Dallas	21.5%	24.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	132	Dallas	6.7%	8.3%		WTA
Dallas	133	Dallas	0.9%	0.3%		WTA
Dallas	134	Dallas	1.2%	1.3%		WTA
Dallas	135	Dallas	0.8%	1.5%		WTA
Dallas	136.05	Dallas	4.2%	0.9%		WTA
Dallas	136.06	Dallas	10.5%	12.5%		WTA
Dallas	136.07	Dallas	6.9%	8.1%		WTA
Dallas	136.08	Dallas	1.6%	1.3%		WTA
Dallas	136.09	Dallas	12.7%	8.1%		WTA
Dallas	136.10	Dallas	7.8%	6.1%		WTA
Dallas	136.11	Dallas	3.0%	7.6%		WTA
Dallas	136.15	Dallas	19.2%	25.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.16	Addison	13.5%	10.2%		WTA
Dallas	136.16	Dallas	13.5%	10.2%		WTA
Dallas	136.16	Farmers Branch	13.5%	10.2%		WTA
Dallas	136.17	Dallas	3.9%	14.8%		WTA
Dallas	136.18	Dallas	2.7%	1.5%		WTA
Dallas	136.19	Dallas	3.2%	3.0%		WTA
Dallas	136.20	Dallas	15.4%	8.4%		WTA
Dallas	136.21	Dallas	28.4%	15.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.22	Addison	15.6%	5.7%		WTA
Dallas	136.22	Dallas	15.6%	5.7%		WTA
Dallas	136.23	Addison	24.9%	26.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.23	Dallas	24.9%	26.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.24	Addison	22.8%	6.8%		WTA
Dallas	136.24	Dallas	22.8%	6.8%		WTA
Dallas	136.25	Addison	25.2%	26.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.25	Dallas	25.2%	26.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.25	Farmers Branch	25.2%	26.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	136.26	Dallas	9.7%	15.1%		WTA
Dallas	136.26	Farmers Branch	9.7%	15.1%		WTA
Dallas	137.11	Carrollton	4.7%	18.9%		WTA
Dallas	137.11	Farmers Branch	4.7%	18.9%		WTA
Dallas	137.12	Carrollton	5.8%	6.5%		WTA
Dallas	137.12	Farmers Branch	5.8%	6.5%		WTA
Dallas	137.13	Carrollton	3.3%	41.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	137.13	Farmers Branch	3.3%	41.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	137.14	Carrollton	3.4%	14.6%		WTA
Dallas	137.14	Farmers Branch	3.4%	14.6%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	137.15	Carrollton	4.8%	4.7%		WTA
Dallas	137.15	Farmers Branch	4.8%	4.7%		WTA
Dallas	137.16	Carrollton	8.2%	12.1%		WTA
Dallas	137.17	Carrollton	5.1%	19.6%		WTA
Dallas	137.18	Carrollton	4.6%	22.0%		WTA
Dallas	137.19	Carrollton	8.9%	9.4%		WTA
Dallas	137.20	Carrollton	10.8%	12.4%		WTA
Dallas	137.21	Carrollton	8.5%	2.4%		WTA
Dallas	137.22	Carrollton	17.5%	17.3%		WTA
Dallas	137.22	Dallas	17.5%	17.3%		WTA
Dallas	137.25	Addison	16.8%	16.5%		WTA
Dallas	137.25	Carrollton	16.8%	16.5%		WTA
Dallas	137.26	Addison	6.8%	6.7%		WTA
Dallas	137.27	Addison	18.8%	4.8%		WTA
Dallas	137.27	Carrollton	18.8%	4.8%		WTA
Dallas	137.27	Dallas	18.8%	4.8%		WTA
Dallas	138.03	Addison	4.1%	3.1%		WTA
Dallas	138.03	Carrollton	4.1%	3.1%		WTA
Dallas	138.03	Farmers Branch	4.1%	3.1%		WTA
Dallas	138.04	Farmers Branch	7.0%	5.5%		WTA
Dallas	138.05	Addison	13.2%	32.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	138.05	Farmers Branch	13.2%	32.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	138.06	Addison	14.9%	19.6%		WTA
Dallas	138.06	Dallas	14.9%	19.6%		WTA
Dallas	138.06	Farmers Branch	14.9%	19.6%		WTA
Dallas	139.01	Farmers Branch	2.4%	8.7%		WTA
Dallas	139.02	Farmers Branch	2.4%	14.0%		WTA
Dallas	140.01	Dallas	2.8%	12.1%		WTA
Dallas	140.01	Farmers Branch	2.8%	12.1%		WTA
Dallas	140.02	Carrollton	4.8%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	140.02	Coppell	4.8%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	140.02	Dallas	4.8%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	140.02	Farmers Branch	4.8%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	140.02	Lewisville	4.8%	17.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.03	Irving	34.1%	32.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.13	Irving	34.9%	13.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.14	Irving	34.0%	28.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.15	Irving	16.0%	10.8%		WTA
Dallas	141.16	Irving	32.5%	20.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.19	Coppell	3.5%	0.9%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	141.19	Dallas	3.5%	0.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.20	Coppell	2.3%	0.2%		WTA
Dallas	141.20	Dallas	2.3%	0.2%		WTA
Dallas	141.21	Carrollton	14.8%	7.1%		WTA
Dallas	141.21	Coppell	14.8%	7.1%		WTA
Dallas	141.21	Dallas	14.8%	7.1%		WTA
Dallas	141.23	Carrollton	3.2%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.23	Coppell	3.2%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.23	Dallas	3.2%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.23	Lewisville	3.2%	1.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.24	Coppell	4.3%	1.2%		WTA
Dallas	141.24	Irving	4.3%	1.2%		WTA
Dallas	141.26	Coppell	2.9%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.26	Grapevine	2.9%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.27	Coppell	11.7%	5.4%		WTA
Dallas	141.27	Dallas	11.7%	5.4%		WTA
Dallas	141.27	Irving	11.7%	5.4%		WTA
Dallas	141.28	Irving	10.9%	10.0%		WTA
Dallas	141.29	Coppell	14.8%	3.6%		WTA
Dallas	141.29	Irving	14.8%	3.6%		WTA
Dallas	141.30	Carrollton	14.9%	3.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.30	Coppell	14.9%	3.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.30	Dallas	14.9%	3.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.30	Farmers Branch	14.9%	3.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.30	Irving	14.9%	3.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.31	Irving	25.0%	13.1%		WTA
Dallas	141.32	Coppell	27.4%	12.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.32	Irving	27.4%	12.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.33	Irving	40.0%	5.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	141.34	Coppell	3.9%	0.6%		WTA
Dallas	141.34	Lewisville	3.9%	0.6%		WTA
Dallas	141.35	Coppell	5.2%	3.7%		WTA
Dallas	141.36	Irving	24.3%	9.9%		WTA
Dallas	141.37	Irving	12.5%	5.0%		WTA
Dallas	141.38	Dallas	9.4%	4.1%		WTA
Dallas	141.38	Irving	9.4%	4.1%		WTA
Dallas	142.03	Irving	7.2%	10.2%		WTA
Dallas	142.04	Irving	10.1%	46.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	142.05	Irving	4.4%	0.4%		WTA
Dallas	142.06	Dallas	13.9%	7.0%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	142.06	Irving	13.9%	7.0%		WTA
Dallas	143.02	Irving	6.9%	11.5%		WTA
Dallas	143.06	Irving	7.4%	14.8%		WTA
Dallas	143.07	Irving	8.0%	11.0%		WTA
Dallas	143.08	Irving	11.1%	30.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	143.09	Irving	26.7%	36.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	143.10	Irving	26.1%	15.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	143.11	Irving	11.8%	10.9%		WTA
Dallas	143.12	Irving	14.7%	3.9%		WTA
Dallas	144.03	Irving	32.9%	23.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	144.05	Irving	5.7%	16.2%		WTA
Dallas	144.06	Irving	5.4%	28.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	144.07	Irving	18.9%	22.2%		WTA
Dallas	144.08	Irving	16.4%	14.9%		WTA
Dallas	145.01	Irving	3.9%	15.1%		WTA
Dallas	145.02	Irving	6.1%	19.0%		WTA
Dallas	146.01	Irving	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Dallas	146.02	Irving	6.5%	28.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	146.03	Irving	6.6%	15.6%		WTA
Dallas	147.01	Irving	7.6%	22.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	147.02	Irving	4.3%	30.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	147.03	Irving	4.7%	20.3%		WTA
Dallas	149.01	Irving	5.3%	29.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	149.02	Irving	4.4%	27.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	150	Irving	4.5%	19.0%		WTA
Dallas	151	Irving	6.3%	16.8%		WTA
Dallas	152.02	Irving	3.9%	27.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	152.04	Irving	5.4%	19.5%		WTA
Dallas	152.05	Irving	7.2%	22.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	152.06	Irving	9.0%	16.0%		WTA
Dallas	153.03	Grand Prairie	9.6%	13.4%		WTA
Dallas	153.03	Irving	9.6%	13.4%		WTA
Dallas	153.04	Grand Prairie	5.1%	13.4%		WTA
Dallas	153.04	Irving	5.1%	13.4%		WTA
Dallas	153.05	Irving	9.9%	9.8%		WTA
Dallas	153.06	Irving	8.3%	14.3%		WTA
Dallas	154.01	Grand Prairie	15.9%	4.3%		WTA
Dallas	154.03	Grand Prairie	12.8%	18.2%		WTA
Dallas	154.04	Grand Prairie	24.2%	28.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	155	Grand Prairie	8.1%	32.9%		Not Eligible



WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	156	Grand Prairie	2.1%	19.4%		WTA
Dallas	157	Grand Prairie	2.4%	30.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	158	Dallas	2.6%	23.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	158	Grand Prairie	2.6%	23.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	159	Dallas	18.1%	19.5%		WTA
Dallas	159	Grand Prairie	18.1%	19.5%		WTA
Dallas	160.01	Grand Prairie	6.8%	18.8%		WTA
Dallas	160.02	Grand Prairie	9.1%	27.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	161	Grand Prairie	36.6%	25.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	162.01	Grand Prairie	11.9%	20.1%		WTA
Dallas	162.02	Grand Prairie	11.2%	22.2%		WTA
Dallas	163.01	Dallas	10.0%	11.5%		WTA
Dallas	163.01	Grand Prairie	10.0%	11.5%		WTA
Dallas	163.02	Grand Prairie	7.2%	15.0%		WTA
Dallas	164.01	Grand Prairie	25.7%	8.0%		WTA
Dallas	164.06	Grand Prairie	14.8%	26.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	164.07	Grand Prairie	14.3%	28.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	164.08	Dallas	14.3%	14.1%		WTA
Dallas	164.08	Grand Prairie	14.3%	14.1%		WTA
Dallas	164.09	Dallas	18.9%	4.1%		WTA
Dallas	164.09	Grand Prairie	18.9%	4.1%		WTA
Dallas	164.10	Grand Prairie	22.7%	10.2%		WTA
Dallas	164.11	Grand Prairie	26.0%	7.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	164.12	Dallas	24.4%	1.3%		WTA
Dallas	164.12	Grand Prairie	24.4%	1.3%		WTA
Dallas	164.13	Dallas	31.1%	5.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	164.13	Grand Prairie	31.1%	5.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.02	Dallas	20.7%	19.2%		WTA
Dallas	165.02	Duncanville	20.7%	19.2%		WTA
Dallas	165.09	Cedar Hill	32.8%	10.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.09	Duncanville	32.8%	10.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.10	Cedar Hill	47.7%	11.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.10	Dallas	47.7%	11.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.10	Grand Prairie	47.7%	11.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.11	Cedar Hill	59.7%	13.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.11	Dallas	59.7%	13.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.11	Duncanville	59.7%	13.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.13	Cedar Hill	37.1%	3.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.13	Duncanville	37.1%	3.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.14	Cedar Hill	52.0%	1.5%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	165.14	Duncanville	52.0%	1.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.16	Duncanville	27.4%	15.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.17	Duncanville	33.1%	7.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.18	Duncanville	27.7%	20.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.19	Duncanville	15.2%	7.7%		WTA
Dallas	165.20	Dallas	35.4%	19.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.20	Duncanville	35.4%	19.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.21	Dallas	43.8%	20.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.21	Duncanville	43.8%	20.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.22	Cedar Hill	23.5%	8.7%		WTA
Dallas	165.22	Dallas	23.5%	8.7%		WTA
Dallas	165.22	Grand Prairie	23.5%	8.7%		WTA
Dallas	165.23	Cedar Hill	26.3%	2.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	165.23	Grand Prairie	26.3%	2.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.05	Dallas	56.7%	39.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.05	Desoto	56.7%	39.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.06	Cedar Hill	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.06	Dallas	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.06	Desoto	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.06	Duncanville	53.1%	8.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.07	Dallas	85.7%	29.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.07	Duncanville	85.7%	29.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.10	Desoto	80.1%	17.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.11	Desoto	69.4%	4.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.12	Cedar Hill	76.7%	1.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.12	Desoto	76.7%	1.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.15	Cedar Hill	63.3%	10.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.16	Cedar Hill	54.8%	11.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.16	Ovilla	54.8%	11.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.17	Cedar Hill	62.0%	1.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.17	Desoto	62.0%	1.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.17	Duncanville	62.0%	1.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.18	Desoto	63.7%	16.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.19	Desoto	68.8%	14.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.20	Desoto	68.0%	10.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.21	Desoto	56.3%	18.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.21	Glenn Heights	56.3%	18.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.22	Glenn Heights	64.2%	7.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.23	Cedar Hill	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.23	Desoto	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	166.23	Glenn Heights	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.23	Ovilla	60.9%	13.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.24	Cedar Hill	63.6%	2.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.24	Desoto	63.6%	2.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.24	Ovilla	63.6%	2.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.25	Cedar Hill	68.1%	3.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.25	Desoto	68.1%	3.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.25	Duncanville	68.1%	3.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	166.26	Cedar Hill	55.3%	18.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.01	Dallas	92.2%	19.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.01	Lancaster	92.2%	19.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.03	Dallas	67.8%	20.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.03	Hutchins	67.8%	20.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.03	Lancaster	67.8%	20.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.04	Lancaster	74.6%	11.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	167.05	Lancaster	79.2%	13.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	168.02	Lancaster	46.7%	9.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	168.03	Lancaster	52.8%	8.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	168.04	Lancaster	86.8%	3.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.02	Dallas	40.1%	21.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.02	Hutchins	40.1%	21.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.02	Lancaster	40.1%	21.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.03	Ferris	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.03	Hutchins	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.03	Lancaster	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	169.03	Wilmer	21.9%	29.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	170.01	Combine	18.2%	13.6%		WTA
Dallas	170.01	Dallas	18.2%	13.6%		WTA
Dallas	170.01	Seagoville	18.2%	13.6%		WTA
Dallas	170.03	Balch Springs	13.9%	16.0%		WTA
Dallas	170.03	Dallas	13.9%	16.0%		WTA
Dallas	170.03	Mesquite	13.9%	16.0%		WTA
Dallas	170.03	Seagoville	13.9%	16.0%		WTA
Dallas	170.04	Dallas	8.3%	19.0%		WTA
Dallas	170.04	Seagoville	8.3%	19.0%		WTA
Dallas	171.01	Balch Springs	17.9%	25.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	171.01	Dallas	17.9%	25.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	171.02	Balch Springs	21.0%	37.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	171.02	Dallas	21.0%	37.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	171.02	Seagoville	21.0%	37.2%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	172.01	Balch Springs	24.8%	21.8%		WTA
Dallas	172.01	Mesquite	24.8%	21.8%		WTA
Dallas	172.02	Balch Springs	32.7%	26.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	172.02	Mesquite	32.7%	26.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.01	Balch Springs	25.9%	17.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.03	Mesquite	20.1%	11.8%		WTA
Dallas	173.04	Mesquite	25.9%	3.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.04	Sunnyvale	25.9%	3.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.05	Mesquite	38.5%	5.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.05	Sunnyvale	38.5%	5.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.06	Balch Springs	31.0%	15.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	173.06	Mesquite	31.0%	15.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	174	Mesquite	16.1%	9.3%		WTA
Dallas	175	Mesquite	21.2%	4.2%		WTA
Dallas	176.02	Mesquite	21.4%	8.0%		WTA
Dallas	176.04	Balch Springs	16.6%	13.3%		WTA
Dallas	176.04	Mesquite	16.6%	13.3%		WTA
Dallas	176.05	Dallas	40.6%	33.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	176.06	Balch Springs	26.8%	23.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	176.06	Mesquite	26.8%	23.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	177.02	Mesquite	25.0%	12.9%		WTA
Dallas	177.03	Mesquite	25.3%	28.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	177.04	Mesquite	19.1%	15.4%		WTA
Dallas	178.04	Mesquite	17.7%	13.5%		WTA
Dallas	178.05	Dallas	28.2%	12.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	178.05	Mesquite	28.2%	12.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	178.06	Dallas	17.8%	6.3%		WTA
Dallas	178.06	Mesquite	17.8%	6.3%		WTA
Dallas	178.07	Mesquite	19.7%	10.3%		WTA
Dallas	178.08	Dallas	17.4%	5.3%		WTA
Dallas	178.08	Garland	17.4%	5.3%		WTA
Dallas	178.08	Mesquite	17.4%	5.3%		WTA
Dallas	178.11	Mesquite	12.4%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	178.11	Sunnyvale	12.4%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	178.12	Mesquite	15.7%	4.7%		WTA
Dallas	178.13	Mesquite	28.8%	14.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	178.14	Mesquite	14.4%	2.7%		WTA
Dallas	178.14	Sunnyvale	14.4%	2.7%		WTA
Dallas	179	Dallas	12.3%	20.2%		WTA
Dallas	179	Mesquite	12.3%	20.2%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	180.01	Dallas	14.0%	12.3%		WTA
Dallas	180.01	Mesquite	14.0%	12.3%		WTA
Dallas	180.02	Mesquite	12.5%	21.4%		WTA
Dallas	181.04	Dallas	13.0%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	181.04	Mesquite	13.0%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	181.04	Sunnyvale	13.0%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	181.05	Garland	12.7%	22.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.10	Dallas	8.4%	3.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.10	Garland	8.4%	3.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.11	Garland	27.2%	12.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.18	Dallas	25.8%	9.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.18	Garland	25.8%	9.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.20	Garland	19.8%	4.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.21	Garland	17.8%	9.4%		WTA
Dallas	181.22	Garland	8.6%	7.0%		WTA
Dallas	181.22	Rowlett	8.6%	7.0%		WTA
Dallas	181.22	Sachse	8.6%	7.0%		WTA
Dallas	181.22	Wylie	8.6%	7.0%		WTA
Dallas	181.23	Garland	15.9%	5.7%		WTA
Dallas	181.23	Sachse	15.9%	5.7%		WTA
Dallas	181.24	Dallas	17.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.24	Garland	17.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.24	Rowlett	17.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.24	Sachse	17.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.26	Dallas	19.3%	7.8%		WTA
Dallas	181.26	Garland	19.3%	7.8%		WTA
Dallas	181.27	Dallas	9.9%	7.7%		WTA
Dallas	181.27	Garland	9.9%	7.7%		WTA
Dallas	181.28	Garland	27.8%	16.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.29	Dallas	15.1%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.29	Garland	15.1%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.29	Mesquite	15.1%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.30	Dallas	37.5%	16.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.30	Garland	37.5%	16.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.30	Mesquite	37.5%	16.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.32	Dallas	22.5%	7.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.32	Garland	22.5%	7.3%		WTA
Dallas	181.33	Dallas	8.6%	8.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.33	Rowlett	8.6%	8.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.34	Rowlett	15.6%	3.8%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	181.35	Dallas	17.2%	10.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.35	Rowlett	17.2%	10.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.36	Dallas	9.3%	1.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.36	Rowlett	9.3%	1.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.37	Dallas	24.3%	1.4%		WTA
Dallas	181.37	Garland	24.3%	1.4%		WTA
Dallas	181.38	Garland	32.0%	13.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.39	Garland	14.0%	0.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.39	Rowlett	14.0%	0.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.39	Sachse	14.0%	0.1%		WTA
Dallas	181.40	Dallas	18.3%	9.0%		WTA
Dallas	181.40	Rowlett	18.3%	9.0%		WTA
Dallas	181.41	Dallas	21.4%	24.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.41	Garland	21.4%	24.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	181.42	Dallas	24.9%	3.9%		WTA
Dallas	181.42	Garland	24.9%	3.9%		WTA
Dallas	182.03	Garland	26.5%	16.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	182.04	Garland	18.3%	35.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	182.05	Garland	12.9%	26.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	182.06	Garland	7.5%	19.3%		WTA
Dallas	183	Garland	6.4%	16.3%		WTA
Dallas	184.01	Garland	10.8%	15.0%		WTA
Dallas	184.02	Garland	7.8%	26.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	184.03	Garland	29.6%	20.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	185.01	Garland	8.8%	20.5%		WTA
Dallas	185.03	Dallas	59.6%	22.6%		Not Eligible
Dallas	185.05	Dallas	54.5%	35.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	185.06	Dallas	44.4%	26.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	185.06	Garland	44.4%	26.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	186	Garland	7.1%	9.2%		WTA
Dallas	187	Garland	6.3%	19.5%		WTA
Dallas	188.01	Garland	8.9%	5.8%		WTA
Dallas	188.02	Garland	11.7%	37.5%		Not Eligible
Dallas	189	Garland	12.2%	16.3%		WTA
Dallas	190.04	Garland	14.9%	11.4%		WTA
Dallas	190.13	Garland	10.4%	39.8%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.14	Garland	13.7%	11.8%		WTA
Dallas	190.16	Dallas	29.0%	11.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.18	Dallas	36.0%	12.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.19	Dallas	41.6%	29.2%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	190.20	Garland	12.6%	3.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.21	Garland	15.0%	14.0%		WTA
Dallas	190.23	Richardson	9.8%	2.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.24	Garland	17.3%	7.2%		WTA
Dallas	190.24	Richardson	17.3%	7.2%		WTA
Dallas	190.25	Garland	16.7%	4.4%		WTA
Dallas	190.26	Garland	11.1%	11.7%		WTA
Dallas	190.27	Garland	20.3%	13.1%		WTA
Dallas	190.28	Garland	18.0%	11.4%		WTA
Dallas	190.29	Garland	20.3%	10.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.31	Garland	10.7%	1.7%		WTA
Dallas	190.31	Richardson	10.7%	1.7%		WTA
Dallas	190.32	Garland	7.4%	27.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.33	Garland	7.7%	33.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.34	Dallas	44.4%	20.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.35	Dallas	29.8%	20.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	190.36	Richardson	3.8%	8.7%		WTA
Dallas	190.37	Richardson	10.0%	7.9%		WTA
Dallas	190.38	Richardson	11.1%	13.0%		WTA
Dallas	190.39	Garland	12.6%	13.8%		WTA
Dallas	190.39	Richardson	12.6%	13.8%		WTA
Dallas	190.40	Dallas	23.5%	5.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.40	Richardson	23.5%	5.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.41	Dallas	17.4%	4.6%		WTA
Dallas	190.41	Richardson	17.4%	4.6%		WTA
Dallas	190.42	Garland	13.6%	4.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.42	Richardson	13.6%	4.5%		WTA
Dallas	190.43	Garland	6.2%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	190.43	Richardson	6.2%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	190.43	Sachse	6.2%	2.9%		WTA
Dallas	191	Richardson	9.6%	7.2%		WTA
Dallas	192.02	Richardson	11.6%	21.2%		WTA
Dallas	192.03	Richardson	3.3%	6.0%		WTA
Dallas	192.04	Richardson	9.8%	16.6%		WTA
Dallas	192.05	Dallas	1.6%	1.0%		WTA
Dallas	192.05	Richardson	1.6%	1.0%		WTA
Dallas	192.06	Richardson	4.4%	36.4%		Not Eligible
Dallas	192.08	Dallas	14.5%	29.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	192.10	Richardson	0.7%	3.9%		WTA
Dallas	192.11	Richardson	8.4%	10.1%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Dallas	192.12	Dallas	5.6%	52.7%		Not Eligible
Dallas	192.13	Dallas	20.3%	51.1%		Not Eligible
Dallas	193.01	University Park	0.2%	0.5%		WTA
Dallas	193.02	Dallas	3.5%	13.3%		WTA
Dallas	193.02	Highland Park	3.5%	13.3%		WTA
Dallas	193.02	University Park	3.5%	13.3%		WTA
Dallas	194	Highland Park	0.6%	18.1%		WTA
Dallas	194	University Park	0.6%	18.1%		WTA
Dallas	195.01	University Park	0.2%	0.3%		WTA
Dallas	195.02	Dallas	1.1%	3.6%		WTA
Dallas	195.02	Highland Park	1.1%	3.6%		WTA
Dallas	195.02	University Park	1.1%	3.6%		WTA
Dallas	196	Highland Park	0.8%	4.1%		WTA
Dallas	197	Highland Park	0.4%	0.6%		WTA
Dallas	198	Dallas	0.5%	3.0%		WTA
Dallas	198	Highland Park	0.5%	3.0%		WTA
Dallas	199	Cockrell Hill	1.7%	32.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	199	Dallas	1.7%	32.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	200	Coppell	4.2%	3.5%		WTA
Dallas	200	Flower Mound	4.2%	3.5%		WTA
Dallas	200	Grapevine	4.2%	3.5%		WTA
Dallas	200	Lewisville	4.2%	3.5%		WTA
Dallas	201	Dallas	4.1%	26.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	201	Irving	4.1%	26.3%		Not Eligible
Dallas	202	Dallas	91.4%	41.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	202	Hutchins	91.4%	41.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	203	Dallas	88.2%	51.9%		Not Eligible
Dallas	204	Dallas	30.3%	30.0%		Not Eligible
Dallas	205	Dallas	59.9%	60.2%		Not Eligible
Dallas	206	Dallas	1.1%	0.4%		WTA
Dallas	207	Addison	8.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	207	Carrollton	8.2%	2.3%		WTA
Dallas	207	Denton	8.2%	2.3%		WTA
Denton	201.03	Aubrey	2.5%	7.4%		WTA
Denton	201.03	Cross Roads	2.5%	7.4%		WTA
Denton	201.03	Denton	2.5%	7.4%		WTA
Denton	201.03	Krugerville	2.5%	7.4%		WTA
Denton	201.03	Pilot Point	2.5%	7.4%		WTA
Denton	201.04	Aubrey	5.2%	19.9%		WTA
Denton	201.04	Celina	5.2%	19.9%		WTA



WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<=25.7%	<=22.3% for WTA		
Denton	201.04	Frisco	5.2%	19.9%		WTA
Denton	201.04	Pilot Point	5.2%	19.9%		WTA
Denton	201.04	Prosper	5.2%	19.9%		WTA
Denton	201.05	Little Elm	12.7%	7.6%		WTA
Denton	201.05	Prosper	12.7%	7.6%		WTA
Denton	201.06	Cross Roads	5.4%	2.8%		WTA
Denton	201.06	Denton	5.4%	2.8%		WTA
Denton	201.06	Lincoln Park	5.4%	2.8%		WTA
Denton	201.06	Little Elm	5.4%	2.8%		WTA
Denton	201.07	Cross Roads	9.6%	4.1%		WTA
Denton	201.07	Denton	9.6%	4.1%		WTA
Denton	201.07	Lincoln Park	9.6%	4.1%		WTA
Denton	201.07	Little Elm	9.6%	4.1%		WTA
Denton	201.07	Oak Point	9.6%	4.1%		WTA
Denton	201.08	Frisco	19.3%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	201.08	Little Elm	19.3%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	201.09	Frisco	12.6%	8.4%		WTA
Denton	201.10	Frisco	13.8%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	201.11	Frisco	7.2%	0.7%		WTA
Denton	201.12	Little Elm	15.0%	4.6%		WTA
Denton	201.13	Little Elm	17.2%	4.8%		WTA
Denton	201.14	Little Elm	13.5%	7.7%		WTA
Denton	201.15	Lakewood Village	2.6%	8.3%		WTA
Denton	201.15	Little Elm	2.6%	8.3%		WTA
Denton	201.15	Oak Point	2.6%	8.3%		WTA
Denton	202.02	Denton	1.3%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	202.02	Krum	1.3%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	202.02	Sanger	1.3%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	202.03	Sanger	3.7%	18.4%		WTA
Denton	202.04	Sanger	3.1%	5.7%		WTA
Denton	202.05	Denton	1.4%	1.2%		WTA
Denton	203.03	Argyle	1.7%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	203.03	Bartonville	1.7%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	203.03	Denton	1.7%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	203.03	Double Oak	1.7%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	203.03	Flower Mound	1.7%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	203.03	Northlake	1.7%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	203.05	Flower Mound	2.0%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.05	Southlake	2.0%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.05	Trophy Club	2.0%	0.8%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Denton	203.05	Westlake	2.0%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.06	Fort Worth	5.1%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	203.06	Northlake	5.1%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	203.06	Roanoke	5.1%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	203.06	Westlake	5.1%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	203.07	Flower Mound	3.2%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.07	Northlake	3.2%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.07	Roanoke	3.2%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.07	Trophy Club	3.2%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.07	Westlake	3.2%	0.8%		WTA
Denton	203.08	Fort Worth	7.0%	5.4%		WTA
Denton	203.08	Haslet	7.0%	5.4%		WTA
Denton	203.08	Justin	7.0%	5.4%		WTA
Denton	203.08	Northlake	7.0%	5.4%		WTA
Denton	203.09	Argyle	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.09	Corral City	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.09	DISH	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.09	Flower Mound	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.09	Justin	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.09	Northlake	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.09	Ponder	2.0%	6.5%		WTA
Denton	203.10	Denton	0.8%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	203.10	Ponder	0.8%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	204.01	Denton	9.1%	15.0%		WTA
Denton	204.01	Krum	9.1%	15.0%		WTA
Denton	204.02	Denton	5.4%	11.2%		WTA
Denton	204.03	Denton	4.1%	16.4%		WTA
Denton	205.03	Denton	9.5%	9.5%		WTA
Denton	205.04	Denton	10.1%	15.2%		WTA
Denton	205.05	Denton	4.2%	5.0%		WTA
Denton	205.06	Denton	1.0%	13.3%		WTA
Denton	206.01	Denton	19.5%	34.9%		Not Eligible
Denton	206.02	Denton	12.0%	20.6%		WTA
Denton	207	Carrollton	7.2%	48.5%		Not Eligible
Denton	207	Denton	7.2%	48.5%		Not Eligible
Denton	208	Denton	12.8%	38.0%		Not Eligible
Denton	209	Denton	16.5%	44.5%		Not Eligible
Denton	210	Denton	9.6%	40.5%		Not Eligible
Denton	211	Denton	10.0%	41.1%		Not Eligible
Denton	212.01	Denton	25.1%	28.3%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Denton	212.02	Denton	30.8%	23.2%		Not Eligible
Denton	213.01	Denton	18.7%	31.8%		Not Eligible
Denton	213.03	Corinth	7.8%	15.2%		WTA
Denton	213.03	Denton	7.8%	15.2%		WTA
Denton	213.04	Denton	9.5%	20.5%		WTA
Denton	213.05	Denton	6.1%	11.0%		WTA
Denton	214.03	Corinth	3.7%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	214.03	Denton	3.7%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	214.03	Hickory Creek	3.7%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	214.03	Lake Dallas	3.7%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	214.03	Lewisville	3.7%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	214.03	Shady Shores	3.7%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	214.04	Corinth	5.7%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	214.04	Denton	5.7%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	214.04	Lake Dallas	5.7%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	214.04	Shady Shores	5.7%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	214.05	Corinth	6.7%	18.6%		WTA
Denton	214.05	Denton	6.7%	18.6%		WTA
Denton	214.05	Shady Shores	6.7%	18.6%		WTA
Denton	214.06	Denton	9.6%	3.5%		WTA
Denton	214.07	Corinth	10.2%	11.5%		WTA
Denton	214.07	Denton	10.2%	11.5%		WTA
Denton	214.08	Corinth	7.5%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	214.09	Corinth	6.8%	5.9%		WTA
Denton	214.09	Denton	6.8%	5.9%		WTA
Denton	215.02	Lewisville	5.4%	18.7%		WTA
Denton	215.05	Flower Mound	10.4%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	215.05	Highland Village	10.4%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	215.05	Lewisville	10.4%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	215.12	Copper Canyon	3.9%	0.5%		WTA
Denton	215.12	Denton	3.9%	0.5%		WTA
Denton	215.12	Highland Village	3.9%	0.5%		WTA
Denton	215.13	Highland Village	1.4%	1.7%		WTA
Denton	215.13	Lewisville	1.4%	1.7%		WTA
Denton	215.14	Highland Village	1.5%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.15	Frisco	7.6%	8.9%		WTA
Denton	215.15	Hackberry	7.6%	8.9%		WTA
Denton	215.15	Little Elm	7.6%	8.9%		WTA
Denton	215.15	The Colony	7.6%	8.9%		WTA
Denton	215.16	The Colony	7.3%	4.1%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Denton	215.17	Lewisville	11.5%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	215.17	The Colony	11.5%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	215.18	Lewisville	8.4%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.18	The Colony	8.4%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.19	Frisco	11.6%	3.5%		WTA
Denton	215.19	The Colony	11.6%	3.5%		WTA
Denton	215.20	The Colony	7.1%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	215.21	Frisco	7.8%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	215.21	The Colony	7.8%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	215.22	Frisco	10.1%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.22	The Colony	10.1%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.23	Frisco	9.0%	15.2%		WTA
Denton	215.23	The Colony	9.0%	15.2%		WTA
Denton	215.24	Frisco	5.6%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.24	The Colony	5.6%	0.0%		WTA
Denton	215.25	Frisco	8.5%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	215.26	Frisco	7.2%	0.3%		WTA
Denton	215.27	Frisco	5.4%	3.5%		WTA
Denton	215.27	Little Elm	5.4%	3.5%		WTA
Denton	216.11	Carrollton	10.1%	6.1%		WTA
Denton	216.12	Carrollton	6.3%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	216.13	Carrollton	16.7%	15.9%		WTA
Denton	216.14	Carrollton	8.5%	11.3%		WTA
Denton	216.15	Carrollton	7.5%	6.8%		WTA
Denton	216.15	Dallas	7.5%	6.8%		WTA
Denton	216.16	Carrollton	26.2%	11.7%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.16	Dallas	26.2%	11.7%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.18	Lewisville	7.3%	13.7%		WTA
Denton	216.19	Lewisville	11.2%	20.3%		WTA
Denton	216.20	Carrollton	33.7%	9.5%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.20	Lewisville	33.7%	9.5%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.21	Carrollton	5.4%	2.0%		WTA
Denton	216.22	Carrollton	4.9%	8.3%		WTA
Denton	216.22	Hebron	4.9%	8.3%		WTA
Denton	216.23	Carrollton	10.1%	6.2%		WTA
Denton	216.23	Hebron	10.1%	6.2%		WTA
Denton	216.23	Lewisville	10.1%	6.2%		WTA
Denton	216.24	Carrollton	12.3%	6.6%		WTA
Denton	216.24	Hebron	12.3%	6.6%		WTA
Denton	216.24	Lewisville	12.3%	6.6%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Denton	216.25	Hebron	6.3%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	216.25	Lewisville	6.3%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	216.25	Plano	6.3%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	216.25	The Colony	6.3%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	216.26	Frisco	3.4%	4.4%		WTA
Denton	216.26	Plano	3.4%	4.4%		WTA
Denton	216.26	The Colony	3.4%	4.4%		WTA
Denton	216.27	Hebron	7.5%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	216.27	Plano	7.5%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	216.27	The Colony	7.5%	3.4%		WTA
Denton	216.28	Carrollton	9.5%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	216.28	Hebron	9.5%	5.6%		WTA
Denton	216.29	Carrollton	5.5%	0.7%		WTA
Denton	216.29	Hebron	5.5%	0.7%		WTA
Denton	216.29	Lewisville	5.5%	0.7%		WTA
Denton	216.30	Carrollton	20.8%	2.9%		WTA
Denton	216.30	Hebron	20.8%	2.9%		WTA
Denton	216.31	Carrollton	7.2%	4.5%		WTA
Denton	216.32	Carrollton	10.5%	5.0%		WTA
Denton	216.32	Dallas	10.5%	5.0%		WTA
Denton	216.32	Hebron	10.5%	5.0%		WTA
Denton	216.33	Carrollton	5.1%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	216.34	Dallas	33.8%	13.3%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.35	Dallas	33.0%	9.4%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.36	Dallas	26.6%	12.2%		Not Eligible
Denton	216.37	Carrollton	25.3%	12.9%		WTA
Denton	216.37	Dallas	25.3%	12.9%		WTA
Denton	216.38	Carrollton	24.0%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	216.38	Dallas	24.0%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	217.15	Lewisville	10.7%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	217.16	Lewisville	15.1%	11.4%		WTA
Denton	217.17	Flower Mound	7.3%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	217.17	Lewisville	7.3%	3.8%		WTA
Denton	217.18	Bartonville	4.8%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	217.18	Copper Canyon	4.8%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	217.18	Denton	4.8%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	217.19	Copper Canyon	4.8%	4.8%		WTA
Denton	217.19	Flower Mound	4.8%	4.8%		WTA
Denton	217.19	Highland Village	4.8%	4.8%		WTA
Denton	217.20	Flower Mound	6.1%	0.0%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Denton	217.21	Flower Mound	4.1%	1.9%		WTA
Denton	217.22	Flower Mound	6.0%	7.2%		WTA
Denton	217.23	Flower Mound	13.3%	4.3%		WTA
Denton	217.23	Lewisville	13.3%	4.3%		WTA
Denton	217.24	Flower Mound	3.1%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	217.25	Flower Mound	2.8%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	217.26	Flower Mound	4.0%	2.5%		WTA
Denton	217.27	Flower Mound	4.4%	0.4%		WTA
Denton	217.28	Flower Mound	2.4%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	217.28	Grapevine	2.4%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	217.28	Lewisville	2.4%	4.2%		WTA
Denton	217.29	Flower Mound	5.7%	1.0%		WTA
Denton	217.30	Flower Mound	7.4%	2.1%		WTA
Denton	217.30	Lewisville	7.4%	2.1%		WTA
Denton	217.31	Lewisville	9.5%	0.7%		WTA
Denton	217.32	Lewisville	22.9%	1.8%		WTA
Denton	217.33	Lewisville	17.2%	8.3%		WTA
Denton	217.34	Lewisville	20.0%	9.4%		WTA
Denton	217.35	Lewisville	25.4%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	217.36	Lewisville	14.5%	19.0%		WTA
Denton	217.37	Lewisville	14.2%	2.6%		WTA
Denton	217.38	Carrollton	17.6%	5.8%		WTA
Denton	217.38	Lewisville	17.6%	5.8%		WTA
Denton	217.39	Lewisville	18.1%	25.3%		Not Eligible
Denton	217.40	Lewisville	15.8%	7.3%		WTA
Denton	217.41	Lewisville	14.3%	5.2%		WTA
Denton	217.42	Flower Mound	9.0%	3.0%		WTA
Denton	217.42	Lewisville	9.0%	3.0%		WTA
Denton	217.43	Lewisville	10.9%	9.5%		WTA
Denton	217.44	Lewisville	8.3%	5.8%		WTA
Denton	217.45	Lewisville	7.3%	24.1%		Not Eligible
Denton	217.46	Bartonville	2.5%	6.7%		WTA
Denton	217.46	Copper Canyon	2.5%	6.7%		WTA
Denton	217.46	Double Oak	2.5%	6.7%		WTA
Denton	217.46	Flower Mound	2.5%	6.7%		WTA
Denton	217.47	Flower Mound	4.3%	5.0%		WTA
Denton	217.48	Flower Mound	2.5%	1.3%		WTA
Denton	217.49	Double Oak	2.2%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	217.49	Flower Mound	2.2%	3.1%		WTA
Denton	217.50	Flower Mound	3.2%	4.4%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Denton	217.51	Flower Mound	2.9%	1.7%		WTA
Denton	217.52	Flower Mound	3.6%	1.5%		WTA
Denton	217.53	Flower Mound	3.2%	2.5%		WTA
Denton	218	Frisco	6.3%	2.6%		WTA
Denton	219	Frisco	5.7%	2.9%		WTA
Ellis	601.01	Ferris	9.9%	18.9%		WTA
Ellis	601.01	Red Oak	9.9%	18.9%		WTA
Ellis	601.02	Bristol	3.7%	10.0%		WTA
Ellis	601.02	Palmer	3.7%	10.0%		WTA
Ellis	601.02	Red Oak	3.7%	10.0%		WTA
Ellis	602.04	Glenn Heights	19.6%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.04	Oak Leaf	19.6%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.04	Ovilla	19.6%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.04	Red Oak	19.6%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.04	Waxahachie	19.6%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.06	Red Oak	13.4%	13.6%		WTA
Ellis	602.07	Red Oak	10.4%	5.4%		WTA
Ellis	602.08	Waxahachie	6.4%	4.5%		WTA
Ellis	602.09	Glenn Heights	11.4%	6.8%		WTA
Ellis	602.09	Oak Leaf	11.4%	6.8%		WTA
Ellis	602.09	Ovilla	11.4%	6.8%		WTA
Ellis	602.09	Waxahachie	11.4%	6.8%		WTA
Ellis	602.10	Waxahachie	2.0%	6.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.11	Midlothian	3.8%	2.9%		WTA
Ellis	602.11	Waxahachie	3.8%	2.9%		WTA
Ellis	602.12	Waxahachie	6.4%	5.4%		WTA
Ellis	602.13	Pecan Hill	4.9%	2.2%		WTA
Ellis	602.13	Red Oak	4.9%	2.2%		WTA
Ellis	602.13	Waxahachie	4.9%	2.2%		WTA
Ellis	602.14	Midlothian	4.7%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	602.14	Ovilla	4.7%	3.1%		WTA
Ellis	603	Waxahachie	7.9%	16.5%		WTA
Ellis	604	Waxahachie	43.8%	33.6%		Not Eligible
Ellis	605	Waxahachie	10.6%	26.5%		Not Eligible
Ellis	606	Waxahachie	10.1%	15.8%		WTA
Ellis	607.01	Grand Prairie	6.8%	14.5%		WTA
Ellis	607.01	Mansfield	6.8%	14.5%		WTA
Ellis	607.01	Midlothian	6.8%	14.5%		WTA
Ellis	607.02	Midlothian	4.2%	9.1%		WTA
Ellis	607.02	Ovilla	4.2%	9.1%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Ellis	607.03	Grand Prairie	10.6%	4.3%		WTA
Ellis	607.03	Mansfield	10.6%	4.3%		WTA
Ellis	607.03	Midlothian	10.6%	4.3%		WTA
Ellis	608.01	Midlothian	2.2%	1.0%		WTA
Ellis	608.02	Midlothian	2.9%	5.8%		WTA
Ellis	608.02	Venus	2.9%	5.8%		WTA
Ellis	608.03	Grand Prairie	3.5%	7.6%		WTA
Ellis	608.03	Mansfield	3.5%	7.6%		WTA
Ellis	608.03	Midlothian	3.5%	7.6%		WTA
Ellis	608.03	Venus	3.5%	7.6%		WTA
Ellis	609	Maypearl	3.1%	2.1%		WTA
Ellis	609	Midlothian	3.1%	2.1%		WTA
Ellis	609	Venus	3.1%	2.1%		WTA
Ellis	609	Waxahachie	3.1%	2.1%		WTA
Ellis	610	Italy	17.1%	16.1%		WTA
Ellis	610	Milford	17.1%	16.1%		WTA
Ellis	611	Ennis	4.1%	7.2%		WTA
Ellis	611	Waxahachie	4.1%	7.2%		WTA
Ellis	612	Bardwell	7.8%	16.1%		WTA
Ellis	613	Ennis	3.0%	5.0%		WTA
Ellis	613	Garrett	3.0%	5.0%		WTA
Ellis	613	Palmer	3.0%	5.0%		WTA
Ellis	613	Waxahachie	3.0%	5.0%		WTA
Ellis	614	Ennis	4.7%	14.2%		WTA
Ellis	614	Garrett	4.7%	14.2%		WTA
Ellis	615	Ennis	17.5%	16.4%		WTA
Ellis	616	Ennis	23.6%	30.7%		Not Eligible
Ellis	616	Garrett	23.6%	30.7%		Not Eligible
Ellis	617	Alma	2.7%	21.1%		WTA
Ellis	617	Ennis	2.7%	21.1%		WTA
Ellis	617	Garrett	2.7%	21.1%		WTA
Kaufman	502.01	Dallas	8.6%	3.6%		WTA
Kaufman	502.01	Forney	8.6%	3.6%		WTA
Kaufman	502.01	Heath	8.6%	3.6%		WTA
Kaufman	502.01	Mesquite	8.6%	3.6%		WTA
Kaufman	502.01	Travis Ranch	8.6%	3.6%		WTA
Kaufman	502.03	Crandall	16.1%	4.5%		WTA
Kaufman	502.03	Forney	16.1%	4.5%		WTA
Kaufman	502.03	Talty	16.1%	4.5%		WTA
Kaufman	502.04	Forney	17.7%	2.8%		WTA



WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Kaufman	502.05	Forney	11.2%	4.4%		WTA
Kaufman	502.05	Travis Ranch	11.2%	4.4%		WTA
Kaufman	502.06	Forney	8.9%	5.1%		WTA
Kaufman	502.06	Mesquite	8.9%	5.1%		WTA
Kaufman	503	Terrell	13.4%	12.6%		WTA
Kaufman	504	Terrell	13.0%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Kaufman	505	Terrell	63.3%	28.7%		Not Eligible
Kaufman	506	Elmo	4.8%	12.8%		WTA
Kaufman	506	Terrell	4.8%	12.8%		WTA
Kaufman	507.01	Crandall	5.7%	7.1%		WTA
Kaufman	507.01	Kaufman	5.7%	7.1%		WTA
Kaufman	507.01	Oak Ridge	5.7%	7.1%		WTA
Kaufman	507.01	Post Oak Bend	5.7%	7.1%		WTA
Kaufman	507.01	Terrell	5.7%	7.1%		WTA
Kaufman	507.03		2.8%	16.9%		WTA
Kaufman	507.04	Oak Ridge	6.4%	10.7%		WTA
Kaufman	507.04	Terrell	6.4%	10.7%		WTA
Kaufman	508	Combine	3.7%	5.3%		WTA
Kaufman	508	Crandall	3.7%	5.3%		WTA
Kaufman	508	Kaufman	3.7%	5.3%		WTA
Kaufman	508	Rosser	3.7%	5.3%		WTA
Kaufman	508	Scurry	3.7%	5.3%		WTA
Kaufman	510	Kaufman	16.6%	32.0%		Not Eligible
Kaufman	511	Kaufman	8.2%	18.2%		WTA
Kaufman	512.01	Kaufman	4.4%	15.2%		WTA
Kaufman	512.01	Kemp	4.4%	15.2%		WTA
Kaufman	512.01	Oak Grove	4.4%	15.2%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Cottonwood	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Grays Prairie	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Kaufman	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Kemp	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Oak Grove	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Rosser	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	512.02	Scurry	4.2%	9.5%		WTA
Kaufman	513	Kemp	3.8%	16.4%		WTA
Kaufman	513	Mabank	3.8%	16.4%		WTA
Kaufman	513	Seven Points	3.8%	16.4%		WTA
Rockwall	401.01	Dallas	3.8%	6.1%		WTA
Rockwall	401.01	Rockwall	3.8%	6.1%		WTA
Rockwall	401.02	Dallas	6.7%	3.3%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Rockwall	401.02	Garland	6.7%	3.3%		WTA
Rockwall	401.02	Rockwall	6.7%	3.3%		WTA
Rockwall	401.02	Rowlett	6.7%	3.3%		WTA
Rockwall	401.02	Wylie	6.7%	3.3%		WTA
Rockwall	402	Dallas	2.7%	0.6%		WTA
Rockwall	402	Rockwall	2.7%	0.6%		WTA
Rockwall	403.01	Dallas	8.7%	6.9%		WTA
Rockwall	403.01	Rowlett	8.7%	6.9%		WTA
Rockwall	403.02	Dallas	7.2%	6.3%		WTA
Rockwall	403.02	Rockwall	7.2%	6.3%		WTA
Rockwall	404.01	Fate	7.6%	5.4%		WTA
Rockwall	404.01	Rockwall	7.6%	5.4%		WTA
Rockwall	404.01	Royse City	7.6%	5.4%		WTA
Rockwall	404.02	Fate	7.4%	8.3%		WTA
Rockwall	404.02	Mobile City	7.4%	8.3%		WTA
Rockwall	404.02	Rockwall	7.4%	8.3%		WTA
Rockwall	404.02	Royse City	7.4%	8.3%		WTA
Rockwall	405.03	Rockwall	8.2%	9.4%		WTA
Rockwall	405.04	Dallas	2.0%	3.6%		WTA
Rockwall	405.04	Heath	2.0%	3.6%		WTA
Rockwall	405.04	Rockwall	2.0%	3.6%		WTA
Rockwall	405.05	Rockwall	9.3%	4.3%		WTA
Rockwall	405.06	Heath	2.3%	5.2%		WTA
Rockwall	405.06	Rockwall	2.3%	5.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1001.01	Fort Worth	2.0%	14.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1001.02	Fort Worth	2.5%	19.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1002.01	Fort Worth	4.4%	17.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1002.02	Fort Worth	1.6%	27.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1003	Fort Worth	11.2%	28.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1004	Fort Worth	1.8%	21.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1005.01	Fort Worth	11.2%	30.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1005.01	Lake Worth	11.2%	30.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1005.02	Fort Worth	2.7%	35.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1005.02	River Oaks	2.7%	35.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1006.01	Azle	1.8%	15.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1006.01	Fort Worth	1.8%	15.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1006.01	Lake Worth	1.8%	15.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1006.02	Fort Worth	13.6%	7.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1006.02	Westworth Village	13.6%	7.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1006.02	White Settlement	13.6%	7.9%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1007	Fort Worth	2.3%	21.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1007	River Oaks	2.3%	21.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1008	Fort Worth	1.8%	24.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1009	Fort Worth	1.3%	31.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1012.01	Fort Worth	6.9%	12.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1012.01	Haltom City	6.9%	12.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1012.01	Richland Hills	6.9%	12.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1012.02	Fort Worth	6.6%	16.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1013.01	Fort Worth	31.2%	13.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1013.02	Arlington	38.6%	10.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1013.02	Fort Worth	38.6%	10.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1014.01	Fort Worth	27.0%	14.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1014.02	Fort Worth	19.5%	26.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1014.03	Fort Worth	31.6%	30.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1015	Fort Worth	14.5%	17.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1017	Fort Worth	58.9%	82.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1020	Fort Worth	2.9%	24.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1021	Fort Worth	7.5%	20.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1022.01	Fort Worth	2.5%	8.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1022.02	Fort Worth	1.5%	7.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1023.01	Fort Worth	13.9%	41.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1023.02	Benbrook	5.8%	20.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1023.02	Fort Worth	5.8%	20.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1024.01	Fort Worth	16.1%	19.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1024.02	Fort Worth	3.4%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1025	Fort Worth	71.3%	39.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1026.01	Fort Worth	16.5%	12.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1026.02	Fort Worth	3.7%	17.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1027	Fort Worth	1.4%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1028	Fort Worth	2.9%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1035	Fort Worth	16.7%	32.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1036.01	Fort Worth	74.8%	50.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1036.02	Fort Worth	77.9%	32.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1037.01	Fort Worth	20.0%	28.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1037.02	Fort Worth	44.4%	22.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1038	Fort Worth	62.3%	54.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1041	Fort Worth	6.0%	22.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1042.01	Fort Worth	4.1%	14.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1042.02	Fort Worth	3.3%	18.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1043	Fort Worth	2.7%	24.0%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1044	Fort Worth	4.1%	28.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1045.02	Fort Worth	15.1%	29.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1045.03	Fort Worth	1.6%	31.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1045.04	Fort Worth	4.7%	52.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1045.05	Fort Worth	62.6%	34.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1046.01	Fort Worth	45.7%	34.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1046.02	Fort Worth	27.7%	20.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1046.03	Fort Worth	14.5%	34.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1046.04	Fort Worth	56.4%	34.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1046.05	Fort Worth	57.8%	25.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1047.01	Fort Worth	1.6%	29.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1047.02	Fort Worth	3.2%	39.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1048.02	Fort Worth	9.1%	30.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1048.03	Fort Worth	2.3%	40.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1048.04	Fort Worth	2.1%	41.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1049	Fort Worth	3.1%	14.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1050.01	Fort Worth	4.3%	23.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1050.01	Saginaw	4.3%	23.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1050.06	Fort Worth	8.2%	67.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1050.06	Saginaw	8.2%	67.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1050.07	Fort Worth	11.5%	3.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1050.08	Blue Mound	14.1%	9.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1050.08	Fort Worth	14.1%	9.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1050.08	Saginaw	14.1%	9.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1052.01	Fort Worth	21.5%	21.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1052.03	Fort Worth	7.4%	4.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1052.04	Fort Worth	23.1%	17.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1052.05	Fort Worth	31.5%	28.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1054.03	Fort Worth	3.7%	16.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1054.04	Fort Worth	1.5%	4.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1054.05	Benbrook	15.8%	20.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1054.05	Fort Worth	15.8%	20.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1054.06	Benbrook	7.3%	11.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1054.06	Fort Worth	7.3%	11.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.02	Fort Worth	13.5%	10.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.03	Fort Worth	15.8%	6.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.05	Fort Worth	14.9%	10.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.07	Benbrook	7.6%	3.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.07	Fort Worth	7.6%	3.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.08	Fort Worth	23.4%	4.7%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1055.10	Fort Worth	36.3%	13.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1055.11	Fort Worth	36.3%	16.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1055.12	Fort Worth	21.0%	7.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1055.13	Fort Worth	33.9%	27.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1055.14	Fort Worth	29.2%	15.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1056	Fort Worth	4.0%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1057.01	Fort Worth	12.2%	10.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1057.03	Fort Worth	23.9%	17.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1057.04	Fort Worth	38.2%	13.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1058	Fort Worth	6.9%	29.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1059.01	Fort Worth	6.2%	37.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1059.02	Forest Hill	28.4%	40.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1059.02	Fort Worth	28.4%	40.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.01	Fort Worth	35.4%	12.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.02	Forest Hill	61.7%	29.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.02	Fort Worth	61.7%	29.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.04	Everman	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.04	Forest Hill	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.04	Fort Worth	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1060.04	Kennedale	45.9%	28.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1061.01	Fort Worth	53.5%	20.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1061.02	Fort Worth	33.9%	42.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1062.01	Fort Worth	79.8%	29.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1062.02	Fort Worth	79.7%	34.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1063	Fort Worth	87.0%	31.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1064	Arlington	18.8%	28.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1064	Fort Worth	18.8%	28.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.02	Fort Worth	40.1%	9.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.03	Fort Worth	56.4%	27.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.07	Fort Worth	23.4%	6.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1065.09	Arlington	36.5%	7.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.09	Fort Worth	36.5%	7.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.09	Hurst	36.5%	7.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.10	Fort Worth	15.4%	1.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1065.11	Fort Worth	42.7%	15.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.12	Fort Worth	59.1%	25.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.13	Fort Worth	59.5%	14.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.14	Arlington	52.5%	16.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.14	Fort Worth	52.5%	16.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.15	Fort Worth	47.7%	20.1%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1065.16	Fort Worth	59.0%	27.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.17	Eules	33.8%	13.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.17	Fort Worth	33.8%	13.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1065.18	Fort Worth	36.7%	7.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1066	Fort Worth	11.9%	31.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1066	Lake Worth	11.9%	31.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1067	Fort Worth	3.9%	6.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1101.01	Haltom City	6.5%	19.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1101.02	Haltom City	3.4%	13.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.02	Fort Worth	2.0%	15.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.02	Haltom City	2.0%	15.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.03	Fort Worth	9.0%	10.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.03	Haltom City	9.0%	10.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.03	Watauga	9.0%	10.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.04	Fort Worth	3.7%	9.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1102.04	Haltom City	3.7%	9.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1103.01	Haltom City	2.1%	20.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1103.01	Richland Hills	2.1%	20.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1103.02	Haltom City	2.5%	37.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1104.01	Fort Worth	2.2%	10.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1104.01	Lake Worth	2.2%	10.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1104.02	Lake Worth	1.8%	29.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1104.02	Sansom Park	1.8%	29.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1105	Fort Worth	1.4%	24.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1105	River Oaks	1.4%	24.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1106	Westworth Village	6.9%	9.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1106	White Settlement	6.9%	9.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1107.01	Fort Worth	4.4%	23.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1107.01	White Settlement	4.4%	23.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1107.03	Fort Worth	5.9%	20.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1107.03	White Settlement	5.9%	20.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1107.04	Fort Worth	7.0%	13.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1107.04	White Settlement	7.0%	13.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.05	Fort Worth	9.1%	1.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.06	Fort Worth	6.8%	1.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.07	Fort Worth	10.5%	5.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.08	Benbrook	2.3%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.08	Fort Worth	2.3%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.09	Benbrook	3.9%	0.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1108.09	Fort Worth	3.9%	0.3%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1109.01	Benbrook	3.3%	2.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.01	Fort Worth	3.3%	2.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.03	Benbrook	5.7%	13.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.03	Fort Worth	5.7%	13.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.05	Benbrook	4.1%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.06	Benbrook	2.6%	4.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.06	Fort Worth	2.6%	4.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.07	Benbrook	4.6%	5.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1109.07	Fort Worth	4.6%	5.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.03	Edgecliff Village	13.0%	4.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.03	Fort Worth	13.0%	4.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.05	Fort Worth	45.4%	19.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1110.08	Crowley	15.8%	8.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.08	Fort Worth	15.8%	8.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.10	Fort Worth	1.9%	6.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.11	Fort Worth	43.9%	9.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1110.12	Fort Worth	42.2%	5.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1110.13	Crowley	29.6%	11.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1110.13	Fort Worth	29.6%	11.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1110.15	Crowley	7.9%	2.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.15	Fort Worth	7.9%	2.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.16	Burleson	6.7%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.16	Crowley	6.7%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.16	Fort Worth	6.7%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.17	Fort Worth	25.5%	3.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.18	Crowley	7.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1110.18	Fort Worth	7.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1111.02	Forest Hill	58.6%	16.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1111.02	Fort Worth	58.6%	16.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1111.02	Kennedale	58.6%	16.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1111.03	Forest Hill	32.8%	26.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1111.04	Forest Hill	52.7%	3.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1112.02	Everman	27.7%	17.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1112.03	Fort Worth	12.8%	7.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1112.03	Pelican Bay	12.8%	7.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1112.04	Burleson	5.0%	11.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1112.04	Fort Worth	5.0%	11.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1112.04	Pelican Bay	5.0%	11.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.01	Fort Worth	7.6%	11.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.01	Mansfield	7.6%	11.6%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1113.01	Pelican Bay	7.6%	11.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.04	Mansfield	7.5%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.06	Mansfield	12.5%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.06	Pelican Bay	12.5%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.07	Mansfield	23.0%	20.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.08	Mansfield	4.1%	7.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.09	Mansfield	9.9%	17.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.10	Arlington	34.7%	11.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1113.10	Grand Prairie	34.7%	11.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1113.11	Arlington	16.0%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.11	Mansfield	16.0%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.12	Mansfield	4.9%	1.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.13	Grand Prairie	19.4%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.13	Mansfield	19.4%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1113.14	Mansfield	28.5%	6.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1114.02	Arlington	11.3%	6.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.02	Arlington	11.3%	6.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.04	Kennedale	18.6%	7.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.05	Arlington	3.2%	26.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1114.05	Fort Worth	3.2%	26.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1114.05	Kennedale	3.2%	26.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1114.06	Arlington	13.4%	0.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.06	Mansfield	13.4%	0.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.07	Arlington	10.0%	3.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.08	Arlington	14.2%	3.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.08	Kennedale	14.2%	3.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.08	Mansfield	14.2%	3.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1114.09	Arlington	8.8%	4.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.05	Arlington	5.2%	8.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.06	Arlington	14.8%	11.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.06	Dalworthington Gardens	14.8%	11.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.06	Pantego	14.8%	11.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.13	Arlington	27.4%	9.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.13	Grand Prairie	27.4%	9.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.14	Arlington	26.2%	4.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.16	Arlington	25.4%	10.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.21	Arlington	31.9%	30.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.22	Arlington	16.1%	13.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.23	Arlington	38.5%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.24	Arlington	23.0%	15.3%		WTA



WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1115.25	Arlington	18.7%	17.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.26	Arlington	18.2%	11.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.26	Pantego	18.2%	11.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.29	Arlington	5.5%	2.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.29	Dalworthington Gardens	5.5%	2.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.30	Arlington	8.1%	1.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.31	Arlington	7.7%	3.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.32	Arlington	12.2%	7.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.32	Kennedale	12.2%	7.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.33	Arlington	9.5%	5.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.34	Arlington	10.3%	3.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.36	Arlington	43.1%	23.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.36	Grand Prairie	43.1%	23.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.37	Arlington	35.0%	11.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.37	Grand Prairie	35.0%	11.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.38	Arlington	33.7%	7.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.38	Grand Prairie	33.7%	7.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.39	Grand Prairie	31.7%	3.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.40	Arlington	28.2%	6.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.41	Arlington	28.1%	14.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.42	Arlington	28.5%	1.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.43	Arlington	44.4%	27.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.44	Arlington	26.0%	6.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.45	Arlington	6.2%	7.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.45	Dalworthington Gardens	6.2%	7.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.46	Arlington	8.4%	9.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.47	Arlington	34.1%	8.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.47	Grand Prairie	34.1%	8.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.48	Grand Prairie	36.0%	6.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.49	Grand Prairie	29.7%	5.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.49	Mansfield	29.7%	5.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.50	Arlington	36.3%	12.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.50	Mansfield	36.3%	12.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1115.51	Mansfield	20.7%	7.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.52	Arlington	7.4%	9.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.52	Dalworthington Gardens	7.4%	9.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1115.53	Arlington	18.9%	16.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1130.01	Arlington	16.6%	5.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1130.01	Grand Prairie	16.6%	5.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1130.02	Arlington	33.1%	28.8%		Not Eligible

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1130.02	Grand Prairie	33.1%	28.8%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.02	Arlington	23.5%	7.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.02	Fort Worth	23.5%	7.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.04	Arlington	28.4%	17.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.07	Arlington	3.8%	3.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.08	Arlington	3.9%	3.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.08	Fort Worth	3.9%	3.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.09	Arlington	29.0%	11.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.10	Arlington	32.8%	13.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.11	Arlington	42.3%	28.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.12	Arlington	23.9%	21.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.13	Grand Prairie	36.7%	5.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.14	Arlington	29.6%	7.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.15	Arlington	25.7%	12.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1131.16	Arlington	42.1%	21.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1131.16	Grand Prairie	42.1%	21.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1132.06	Haltom City	5.6%	17.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.06	North Richland Hills	5.6%	17.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.07	North Richland Hills	3.0%	4.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.10	North Richland Hills	2.6%	1.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.12	Haltom City	4.1%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.12	North Richland Hills	4.1%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.13	Hurst	3.5%	7.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.13	North Richland Hills	3.5%	7.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.14	North Richland Hills	7.0%	14.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.15	North Richland Hills	4.9%	3.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.16	North Richland Hills	7.5%	10.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.17	North Richland Hills	8.0%	11.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.18	Hurst	2.3%	3.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.18	North Richland Hills	2.3%	3.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.20	North Richland Hills	8.7%	16.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1132.21	North Richland Hills	7.6%	4.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1133.01	Haltom City	2.6%	10.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1133.01	North Richland Hills	2.6%	10.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1133.01	Richland Hills	2.6%	10.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1133.02	Haltom City	3.9%	15.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1133.02	Richland Hills	3.9%	15.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.03	Hurst	4.8%	6.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.03	North Richland Hills	4.8%	6.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.04	Bedford	6.8%	8.5%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1134.04	Hurst	6.8%	8.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.05	Hurst	9.5%	7.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.05	Richland Hills	9.5%	7.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.07	Euless	7.8%	30.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1134.07	Hurst	7.8%	30.0%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1134.08	Fort Worth	6.0%	5.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1134.08	Hurst	6.0%	5.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.09	Euless	6.6%	21.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.10	Euless	13.0%	7.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.10	Fort Worth	13.0%	7.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.11	Bedford	12.7%	12.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.11	Euless	12.7%	12.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.12	Bedford	9.9%	9.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.12	Euless	9.9%	9.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.13	Euless	10.2%	9.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.13	Fort Worth	10.2%	9.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.14	Euless	12.7%	22.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.16	Euless	8.4%	3.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.17	Euless	13.5%	5.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.18	Euless	20.4%	7.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.19	Euless	5.6%	8.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1135.20	Euless	9.9%	2.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.07	Bedford	9.5%	7.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.07	Hurst	9.5%	7.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.10	Colleyville	2.5%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.10	Hurst	2.5%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.10	Keller	2.5%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.10	North Richland Hills	2.5%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.11	Colleyville	2.7%	3.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.11	Hurst	2.7%	3.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.11	North Richland Hills	2.7%	3.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.12	Bedford	2.0%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.12	Colleyville	2.0%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.12	Hurst	2.0%	1.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.13	Bedford	2.2%	8.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.13	Hurst	2.2%	8.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.18	Bedford	8.0%	5.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.19	Euless	26.6%	19.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1136.19	Hurst	26.6%	19.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1136.22	Bedford	2.0%	1.3%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1136.22	Colleyville	2.0%	1.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.22	Eules	2.0%	1.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.23	Bedford	2.9%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.23	Eules	2.9%	10.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.24	Bedford	4.5%	1.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.25	Bedford	1.9%	1.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.25	Colleyville	1.9%	1.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.26	Bedford	2.7%	4.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.27	Bedford	12.6%	5.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.28	Bedford	16.1%	9.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.29	Bedford	6.2%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.29	Hurst	6.2%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.30	Bedford	10.0%	12.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.31	Grapevine	5.6%	16.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.32	Grapevine	2.0%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.33	Colleyville	2.2%	11.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.33	Grapevine	2.2%	11.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.34	Colleyville	2.4%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1136.34	Grapevine	2.4%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.03	Flower Mound	4.1%	10.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.03	Grapevine	4.1%	10.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.05	Grapevine	7.1%	13.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.05	Southlake	7.1%	13.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.07	Grapevine	1.2%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.07	Southlake	1.2%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.09	Grapevine	3.2%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.10	Grapevine	4.7%	14.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1137.11	Grapevine	2.3%	7.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.03	Keller	5.3%	3.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.03	Watauga	5.3%	3.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.08	Watauga	5.2%	8.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.09	Fort Worth	3.6%	8.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.09	Watauga	3.6%	8.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.10	Haltom City	5.0%	13.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.10	North Richland Hills	5.0%	13.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.10	Watauga	5.0%	13.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.11	Haltom City	5.0%	7.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.11	North Richland Hills	5.0%	7.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.11	Watauga	5.0%	7.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.12	Fort Worth	4.2%	5.3%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1138.12	Keller	4.2%	5.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.12	Watauga	4.2%	5.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.13	Keller	3.3%	2.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.13	North Richland Hills	3.3%	2.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.14	North Richland Hills	2.5%	0.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.15	Keller	2.7%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.15	North Richland Hills	2.7%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1138.16	Keller	3.4%	5.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.06	Southlake	2.7%	2.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.06	Trophy Club	2.7%	2.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.06	Westlake	2.7%	2.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.07	Grapevine	2.7%	2.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.07	Southlake	2.7%	2.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.07	Trophy Club	2.7%	2.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.07	Westlake	2.7%	2.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.08	Keller	2.4%	2.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.08	Southlake	2.4%	2.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.09	Colleyville	1.8%	2.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.09	Grapevine	1.8%	2.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.09	Southlake	1.8%	2.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.10	Fort Worth	1.9%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.10	Keller	1.9%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.10	Southlake	1.9%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.10	Westlake	1.9%	2.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.11	Keller	2.7%	5.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.12	Keller	2.6%	4.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.12	Southlake	2.6%	4.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.16	Fort Worth	9.8%	15.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.17	Fort Worth	14.0%	9.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.17	Watauga	14.0%	9.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.18	Fort Worth	9.4%	7.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.19	Fort Worth	5.0%	1.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.20	Fort Worth	6.9%	1.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.20	Watauga	6.9%	1.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.21	Fort Worth	8.7%	7.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.21	Keller	8.7%	7.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.22	Fort Worth	8.9%	3.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.23	Fort Worth	12.4%	3.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.24	Fort Worth	9.6%	7.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.25	Fort Worth	7.3%	1.2%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts, 2012, by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1139.26	Fort Worth	11.6%	4.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.26	Haslet	11.6%	4.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.27	Fort Worth	9.0%	2.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.27	Roanoke	9.0%	2.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.28	Fort Worth	9.1%	0.6%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.29	Fort Worth	5.8%	1.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1139.29	Keller	5.8%	1.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.03	Blue Mound	8.2%	3.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.03	Fort Worth	8.2%	3.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.03	Saginaw	8.2%	3.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.05	Saginaw	3.6%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.06	Saginaw	2.0%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.07	Fort Worth	8.2%	5.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.07	Lake Worth	8.2%	5.9%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.08	Fort Worth	12.4%	9.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1140.08	Saginaw	12.4%	9.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.02	Fort Worth	2.3%	5.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.03	Fort Worth	6.6%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.03	Haslet	6.6%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.03	Saginaw	6.6%	4.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.04	Briar	4.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.04	Fort Worth	4.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.04	Newark	4.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.04	Pecan Acres	4.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1141.04	Saginaw	4.2%	4.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.03	Azle	0.9%	13.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.03	Briar	0.9%	13.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.03	Pecan Acres	0.9%	13.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.03	Pelican Bay	0.9%	13.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.03	Reno	0.9%	13.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.04	Azle	1.0%	14.7%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.05	Azle	0.7%	13.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.05	Fort Worth	0.7%	13.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.06	Azle	1.2%	27.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1142.06	Fort Worth	1.2%	27.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1142.06	Lakeside	1.2%	27.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1142.07	Fort Worth	6.6%	7.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1142.07	Lakeside	6.6%	7.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.01	Arlington	12.5%	12.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.04	Arlington	24.0%	10.7%		WTA

WTA Eligible Tracts 2012 by cities

County	Census Tract	City (not part of WTA definition)	Percent Black	Percent Below Poverty	Does DHA Public Housing Disqualify an Otherwise Eligible Tract?	WTA Status
			<b>&lt;=25.7%</b>	<b>&lt;=22.3% for WTA</b>		
Tarrant	1216.05	Arlington	6.0%	6.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.06	Arlington	7.9%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.06	Fort Worth	7.9%	3.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.08	Arlington	6.4%	3.4%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.09	Arlington	8.3%	1.1%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.10	Arlington	8.3%	4.3%		WTA
Tarrant	1216.11	Arlington	14.1%	4.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1217.02	Arlington	23.7%	12.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1217.03	Arlington	16.8%	29.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1217.04	Arlington	10.8%	26.9%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1219.03	Arlington	21.5%	27.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1219.03	Grand Prairie	21.5%	27.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1219.04	Arlington	18.3%	24.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1219.04	Grand Prairie	18.3%	24.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1219.05	Arlington	27.9%	45.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1219.06	Arlington	17.5%	25.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1220.01	Arlington	6.1%	17.5%		WTA
Tarrant	1220.02	Arlington	10.8%	13.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1221	Arlington	6.7%	25.1%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1222	Arlington	14.9%	26.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1223	Arlington	16.2%	50.2%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1224	Arlington	12.6%	34.6%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1225	Arlington	8.3%	11.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1225	Pantego	8.3%	11.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1226	Arlington	8.9%	12.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1226	Pantego	8.9%	12.2%		WTA
Tarrant	1227	Arlington	14.9%	21.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1228.01	Arlington	20.4%	37.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1228.02	Arlington	10.6%	27.5%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1229	Arlington	10.5%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1230	Fort Worth	8.6%	19.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1230	Westover Hills	8.6%	19.8%		WTA
Tarrant	1231	Fort Worth	53.8%	48.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1232	Fort Worth	19.8%	24.3%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1233	Fort Worth	18.8%	21.0%		WTA
Tarrant	1234	Fort Worth	21.2%	22.7%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1235	Fort Worth	51.0%	56.4%		Not Eligible
Tarrant	1236	Fort Worth	27.8%	59.0%		Not Eligible