

1 WALTER RILEY, SBN 95919  
LAW OFFICE OF WALTER RILEY  
2 1407 Webster Street, Suite 206  
3 Oakland, CA 94612  
4 Telephone: (510) 451-1422  
5 Facsimile: (510) 451-0406  
6 Email: walterriley@rrrandw.com

JAMES DOUGLAS BURCH, SBN 293645  
NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD  
558 Capp Street  
San Francisco, CA 94110  
Telephone: (415) 285-5067 x.104  
Email: james\_burch@nlgsf.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
*Additional counsel on signature page*

6 DAN SIEGEL, SBN 56400  
SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA  
7 475 14th Street, Suite 500  
8 Oakland, California 94612  
9 Telephone: (510) 839-1200  
10 Facsimile: (510) 444-6698  
11 Email: danmsiegel@gmail.com

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 ANTI POLICE-TERROR PROJECT, ) Case No. 20-cv-03866-JCS  
14 COMMUNITY READY CORPS, SEAN )  
15 CANADAY, MICHAEL COHEN, MICHAEL ) **FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION**  
16 COOPER, ANDREA COSTANZO, ) **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND**  
17 JOHNATHAN FARMER, LINDSEY ) **INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY**  
18 FILOWITZ, DANIELLE GAITO, KATIE ) **RELIEF**  
19 JOHNSON, BRYANNA KELLY, JENNIFER )  
20 LI, IAN McDONNELL, MELISSA MIYARA, ) **Civil Rights**  
21 LINDSEY MORRIS, LEILA MOTTLEY, )  
22 NIKO NADA, AZIZE NGO, NICOLE ) **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**  
23 PULLER, MARIA RAMIREZ, AKIL RILEY, )  
24 AARON ROGACHEVSKY, TARA ROSE, )  
25 ASHWIN RUPAN, DANIEL SANCHEZ, )  
26 CHRISTINA STEWART, TAYAH )  
27 STEWART, KATHERINE SUGRUE, )  
28 CELESTE WONG, and QIAOCHU ZHANG; )  
on behalf of themselves and similarly )  
situated individuals, )  
Plaintiffs, )

vs. )

CITY OF OAKLAND, OPD Police Chief )  
SUSAN E. MANHEIMER, OPD Sergeant )  
PATRICK GONZALES, OPD Officer )  
MAXWELL D'ORSO and OPD Officer )  
CASEY FOUGHT, )  
Defendants. )

**INTRODUCTION**

1  
2 1. Beginning May 29, 2020, following the graphic police murder of George Floyd,  
3 who was recorded on video reciting the all too familiar and tragic plea “I can’t breathe” while a  
4 white police officer kneeled on his neck, Bay Area residents took to the streets of Oakland to  
5 protest this injustice and grieve the police murders of other Black and Brown people, including  
6 Breonna Taylor, Ahmaud Arbury, and Tony McDade.

7 2. Defendant City of Oakland’s Police Department (“OPD”) used violent crowd  
8 control tactics against these peaceful demonstrators. Over the course of several days, OPD  
9 deployed constitutionally unlawful crowd control tactics including kettling, indiscriminate  
10 launching of tear gas and flashbang grenadess into crowds and at individuals, and shooting  
11 projectiles at demonstrators. These demonstrators included many young Black and Brown  
12 people.

13 3. OPD unjustifiably declared peaceful protests “unlawful assemblies” to excuse  
14 their violent tactics.

15 4. OPD knowingly placed these demonstrators in physical danger through  
16 indiscriminate use of excessive force.

17 5. OPD also knowingly created a danger to public health by forcing demonstrators  
18 to break social distancing rules that are currently in place due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

19 6. OPD targeted journalists and others simply recording its conduct. It targeted  
20 medics who were seeking to give aid to those harmed.

21 7. OPD’s actions, moreover, were in violation of OPD policy and procedures and/or  
22 caused by the omission of needed OPD policy and procedures.

23 8. OPD did not act alone, calling on its mutual aid network of police from other  
24 municipalities to further carry out its constitutionally improper tactics.

25 9. On June 1, 2020, at around 5 p.m., the Alameda County Sheriff issued a curfew  
26 order for the County of Alameda, set to begin at 8 p.m. that evening. The curfew was  
27 established while approximately 15,000 students and residents peacefully marched and  
28 gathered in Oakland.



**PARTIES**

17. Plaintiff Anti Police-Terror Project (“APTP”) is a Black-led, multi-racial, intergenerational coalition that seeks to build a replicable and sustainable model to eradicate police terror in communities of color. APTP supports families surviving police terror in their fight for justice, documenting police abuses and connecting impacted families and community members with resources, legal referrals, and opportunities for healing. APTP began as a project of the ONYX Organizing Committee. APTP was created following the police killing of Oscar Grant on January 1, 2009, and frequently organizes and leads protests against police misconduct.

18. Plaintiff Community Ready Corps (“CRC”) is an Oakland-based community organization that combats white supremacy and actively builds and supports Black liberation. CRC frequently organizes and leads protests against police misconduct.

19. Plaintiff Sean Canaday is a resident of Berkeley, California.

20. Plaintiff Michael Cohen is a resident of Berkeley, California.

21. Plaintiff Michael Cooper is a resident of Berkeley, California.

22. Plaintiff Andrea Marina Costanzo is a resident of Oakland, California.

23. Plaintiff Johnathan Farmer is a resident of Oakland, California.

24. Plaintiff Lindsey Filowitz is a resident of Oakland, California.

25. Plaintiff Danielle Gaito is a resident of San Rafael, California.

26. Plaintiff Katie Johnson is a resident of Oakland, California.

27. Plaintiff Bryanna Kelly was a resident of Hayward, California, at all relevant times.

28. Plaintiff Jennifer Li is a resident of Oakland, California.

29. Plaintiff Ian McDonnell is a resident of Oakland, California.

30. Plaintiff Melissa Miyara is a resident of Oakland, California.

31. Plaintiff Lindsey Morris is a resident of Oakland, California.

32. Plaintiff Leila Mottley is a resident of Oakland, California.

- 1 33. Plaintiff Niko Nada is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 2 34. Plaintiff Nicole Puller is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 3 35. Plaintiff Azize Ngo is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 4 36. Plaintiff Maria Ramirez is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 5 37. Plaintiff Akil Riley is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 6 38. Plaintiff Aaron Rogachevsky is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 7 39. Plaintiff Tara Rose is a resident of Richmond, California.
- 8 40. Plaintiff Ashwin James Rupan is a resident of Hayward, California.
- 9 41. Plaintiff Daniel Sanchez is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 10 42. Plaintiff Christina Stewart is a resident of Sacramento, California.
- 11 43. Plaintiff Tayah Stewart is a resident of Sacramento, California.
- 12 44. Plaintiff Katherine Sugrue is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 13 45. Plaintiff Celeste Wong is a resident of Oakland, California.
- 14 46. Plaintiff Qiaochu Zhang is a resident of Oakland, California.

15 47. Defendant City of Oakland (“Oakland”) is a municipal corporation, duly  
16 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is the employer of the  
17 individual Oakland defendants, as well as other to be identified Oakland employees. The City  
18 of Oakland operates the OPD under its authority.

19 48. At all material times herein, the City of Oakland was responsible for supervising,  
20 enacting, and enforcing the OPD’s conduct, policies, and practices; the absence of needed  
21 policies and practices; and for the hiring, retention, supervision, and training of employees and  
22 agents of the OPD, including such employees as defendants Police Chief Susan E. Manheimer  
23 (“Manheimer”), Sergeant Patrick Gonzales (“Gonzales”), OPD Officer Maxwell D’Orso  
24 (“D’Orso”), OPD Officer Casey Fought (“Fought”), and to be identified OPD employees.

25 49. Oakland was also responsible for the actions of the members of their mutual aid  
26 network including all assisting officers from Contra Costa County Sheriff’s Department,  
27 Alameda County Sheriff’s Department, San Mateo County Sheriff’s Department, San Bruno  
28 Police Department, Redwood City Police Department, Menlo Park Police Department and

1 California State University Police Department.

2 50. At all material times herein, defendant Chief Manheimer was employed by  
3 defendant Oakland as the Interim Chief of Police, and was acting within the course and scope  
4 of that employment at such times. She is being sued in her individual and official capacities as  
5 the OPD Interim Chief of Police. At all material times, Chief Manheimer was the final policy  
6 making official for the OPD, ultimately responsible for all policies, procedures or omission of  
7 procedures, supervision, and training of OPD employees.

8 51. At all material times herein, defendant Sergeant Gonzales was employed by  
9 defendant Oakland as a Police Sergeant, and was acting within the course and scope of that  
10 employment at such times. He is being sued in his individual and official capacities as an OPD  
11 Sergeant. At all material times, Sergeant Gonzales was a supervisor, responsible for enforcing  
12 all policies, procedures, supervision, and training of OPD employees.

13 52. At all material times herein, defendant Officer D'Orso was employed by  
14 defendant Oakland as a Police Officer, and was acting within the course and scope of that  
15 employment at such times. He is being sued in his individual and official capacities as an OPD  
16 Officer.

17 53. At all material times herein, defendant Officer Fought was employed by  
18 defendant Oakland as a Police Officer, and was acting within the course and scope of that  
19 employment at such times. He is being sued in his individual and official capacities as an OPD  
20 Officer.

21 54. Plaintiffs allege that each of the defendants sued herein was wrongfully,  
22 deliberately indifferent, negligently, and/or otherwise responsible in some manner for the  
23 events and happenings as hereinafter described, and proximately caused injuries and damages  
24 to plaintiffs.

25 55. Plaintiffs allege that each of the defendants was at all material times an agent,  
26 servant, employee, partner, joint venturer, co-conspirator, and/or alter ego of the remaining  
27 defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and scope  
28 of that relationship.





1 transmittable through exposure to respiratory droplets. Public health officials advised people  
2 to wear masks if they were outside and to stay six feet apart. In an effort to mitigate the  
3 number of people infected, the County of Alameda enforced a shelter-in-place order and social  
4 distancing protocols.

5 63. In anticipation of the protest organized for the evening of May 29, 2020, lawyers  
6 on behalf of the National Lawyers Guild, S.F. Bay Area Chapter, emailed Chief Manheimer,  
7 Assistant Chief Darren Allison, Deputy Chiefs Leronne Armstrong and Roland Holmgren,  
8 Mayor Libby Schaaf, and City Attorney Barbara Parker to remind them of Oakland and the  
9 OPD's legal obligation to comply with the OPD Crowd Control Policy and other policies.

10 64. At about 8 p.m. on May 29, 2020, demonstrators assembled at Broadway and  
11 14th Street in Oakland to stage a peaceful protest. The demographic of demonstrators included  
12 people of all different heritages and ages. Upon information and belief, the youngest person in  
13 attendance was ten years old. Many demonstrators were high school students. The  
14 demonstrators practiced social distancing by wearing masks. The protest was peaceful. Police  
15 presence, however, increased.

16 65. At all relevant times, no incident occurred justifying OPD to characterize the  
17 protests as an unlawful assembly or to use force against the demonstrators.

18 66. At around 9:30 p.m., through a loudspeaker, OPD wrongly declared the protest  
19 an unlawful assembly. The announcement was inaudible and/or unclear.

20 67. OPD then threw teargas canisters and additional flashbang grenades into the  
21 crowd, and shot rubber bullets at the demonstrators. OPD also used stun guns and batons  
22 against demonstrators, who tried to disperse.

23 68. Many demonstrators were forced to remove their masks due to the chemical  
24 irritants clinging to the cloth and in the air, making it hard to breathe. Protesters coughed as a  
25 result of the chemical irritants, which is a known reaction to their use. As a result, many  
26 demonstrators were involuntarily made more vulnerable and susceptible to COVID-19  
27 infections.

28 69. OPD targeted journalists. Journalists were detained and struck by projectiles



1 while wearing their press badges.

2 70. OPD targeted medics and demonstrators with clearly marked crosses on their  
3 bags.

4 71. Tear gassed witnesses complained of eye and throat irritation and difficulty  
5 breathing.

6 72. On May 30, 2020, police shot Daniel Sanchez in the eye with a rubber bullet at  
7 close range.

8 73. Johnathan Farmer attended the protest on May 30, 2020. Police shot him in the  
9 leg with a projectile and fired tear gas into the crowd. His leg was sore, cut, and badly bruised,  
10 and he was limping for about a week.

11 74. Abner Hougie participated in the protest on the night of May 31, 2020. OPD  
12 kettled him along with 200 or so other peaceful demonstrators. He observed OPD firing tear  
13 gas and flashbang grenades without provocation or warning.

14 75. On June 1, 2020, starting at approximately 4 p.m., a group of young people  
15 participated in a peaceful march from Oakland Technical High School to the Oakland Police  
16 Administration Building. An estimated 15,000 demonstrators participated, including many  
17 young Black and Brown high school students.

18 76. At the onset, the organizers announced that the protest was peaceful and  
19 explicitly called for “no destruction.”

20 77. The organizers planned for the protest to end at the Oakland Police  
21 Administration Building with speeches. Due to obstruction by OPD, the protest ended short of  
22 the final destination and instead concluded at Oscar Grant Plaza.

23 78. Nonetheless, a group of demonstrators continued from Oscar Grant Plaza  
24 towards the Police Administration Building. At Washington Street and 8th Street, the  
25 demonstrators met a line of police outfitted in full riot gear. The OPD kettled the group via line  
26 formations and by way of their vehicles, blocking opportunities for egress.

27 79. At approximately 5 p.m., after the march had already started, the County of  
28 Alameda and the City of Oakland began disseminating the message that a curfew would begin

1 just three hours later.

2 80. Also, on June 1, 2020, the City of Oakland declared a local emergency and issued  
3 a curfew, effective Monday, June 1, 2020, from 8 p.m. to 5 a.m.

4 81. Without warning and before the curfew went into effect, the OPD tear gassed,  
5 threw flashbangs, and started shooting rubber bullets at the confined demonstrators.

6 82. Many demonstrators were shot in the back as they were fleeing the violent  
7 measures employed by police, only to be impeded by police lines kettling them from all  
8 directions.

9 83. The indiscriminate use of tear gas continued for an hour or so, forcing  
10 demonstrators to intermittently remove their masks to breathe and to clean their faces,  
11 making them more vulnerable and susceptible to COVID-19 infections.

12 84. OPD then arrested demonstrators and applied zip ties to their wrists in lieu of  
13 handcuffs. The demonstrators were cited for breaking curfew, including those who otherwise  
14 intended to comply but were trapped by OPD.

15 85. Danielle Gaito attended the protest on June 1, 2020, and observed many minors  
16 present at the march. She witnessed OPD officers brutalize a man who had his arms up and  
17 OPD officers roughly drag a demonstrator who was kneeling. She heard defendant Sergeant  
18 Gonzales tell officers to find any reason to cite them.

19 86. Erica Hruby attended the protest on June 1, 2020, and observed police fire  
20 flashbang grenades and tear gas at peaceful demonstrators. She was later arrested on  
21 Broadway Street between 14th Street and 15th Street and observed that OPD were not wearing  
22 masks despite being in close contact with the demonstrators.

23 87. Emily Juneau was kettled with a group of protestors on June 1, 2020, at  
24 Broadway Street and 8th Street at around 7:30 p.m. She did not hear warnings from the OPD.  
25 She observed an order given to OPD to disperse the demonstrators even though OPD had  
26 blocked all exits from the area. She also heard Sergeant Gonzales tell officers to “find  
27 something to cite them with.” She did not observe violent demonstrators, or Molotov  
28 cocktails.

1 88. Upon information and belief, OPD's Crowd Management and Crowd Control  
2 Policy does not authorize the use of "kettling" as a crowd control tactic. Kettling, which derives  
3 from a German military term referring to an army surrounded by a much larger force, is a  
4 police tactic whereby officers confine a large group of people to a designated space by  
5 surrounding them on all sides so that there is no escape. By doing so, the officers effectively  
6 control people's movements.

7 89. Kettling leads to the unlawful seizure of people without a reasonable basis,  
8 creates panic, elevates tensions, and chills speech. OPD accomplished this by forming police  
9 lines and by driving vehicles towards demonstrators.

10 90. OPD used tear gas and kettled demonstrators. After demonstrators were kettled,  
11 OPD used additional tear gas, flashbang grenades, and projectiles against the demonstrators,  
12 who, at that point, were unable to escape the kettle.. This tactic denied demonstrators the  
13 ability to stay socially distant from one another and trapped depmsointrators in a cloud of tear  
14 gas .

15 91. Oakland called on its mutual aid network for crowd control assistance at the  
16 protests. On June 3, 2020, at a press conference, Chief Manheimer stated that OPD briefed,  
17 instructed, and otherwise controlled the assisting officers, but refused to hold them  
18 accountable to Oakland and OPD's policies.

19 **PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATIONS**

20 92. Members and/or supporters of plaintiffs APTP and CRC participated in the  
21 protests on May 29, 2020 and June 1, 2020.

22 93. Members and/or supporters of APTP and CRC were injured by the OPD tactics  
23 described above, including the use of tear gas, flashbang grenades, and shooting projectiles at  
24 protestors. As a result of the police conduct described above, members and supporters of APTP  
25 and CRC were discouraged from participating in protests and from exercising their rights to  
26 free speech.

27 94. Plaintiff Akil Riley is 19-years-old, grew up in Oakland, and now attends Howard  
28 University. At the May 29, 2020, protest, he was hit with a flashbang grenade. He saw the

1 police shoot teargas and flashbang grenades indiscriminately into the crowd. OPD officers  
2 were attacking people even as they were trying to comply with OPD's instructions. Mr. Riley  
3 helped organize the 15,000 person youth-led march on June 1, 2020.

4 95. On May 29, 2020, police shot a flashbang grenade, hitting plaintiff Andrea  
5 Marina Costanzo in the leg. It burned through her jeans, causing her lacerations and bruising.  
6 She has permanent scarring as a result.

7 96. On May 29, 2020, the police teargassed plaintiff Tara Rose and hit her between  
8 the legs with a projectile. It burned both her legs causing second degree burns.

9 97. Plaintiff Katherine Sugrue attended the May 29, 2020, protest. The police were  
10 launching tear gas directly at people. A tear gas canister went off right underneath her, and she  
11 felt like she was going to die. She had eye irritation and breathing problems so severe that she  
12 had to go to the hospital.

13 98. On May 29, 2020, plaintiff Katie Johnson was at the protest where she saw police  
14 throwing numerous tear gas canisters and bean bag projectiles directly at people. She was hit  
15 in the ankle, thigh, and chest, and shrapnel hit her elbow. She was hit in the mouth by a tear  
16 gas canister.

17 99. On May 29, 2020, plaintiff Melissa Myara attended a peaceful protest. The police  
18 tear gassed her and set off flashbang grenades near her. Ms. Myara has asthma and was  
19 terrified because she could not breathe and because of the flashbang grenades. She felt that the  
20 police turned the peaceful protest into a warzone.

21 100. On May 29, 2020, plaintiff Nicole Puller was tear gassed at the protest. A dense  
22 fog of gas surrounded her. People around her began coughing and took off their masks. Ms.  
23 Puller ran from the coughing people because she did not want to be exposed to COVID-19. Her  
24 lungs and eyes burned and hurt.

25 101. Plaintiff Lindsey Morris attended this May 29, 2020, protest. Between 9:30 p.m.  
26 and 10 p.m., she saw police throw tear gas and smoke bombs into the large crowd. People  
27 stampeded to get away from the gas. Ms. Morris was was knocked over and suffered a broken  
28 ankle. She was in the worst pain of her life and needed surgery for the injury.

1           102. At around 10:15 p.m. on May 29, 2020, OPD shot tear gas at plaintiff Michael  
2 Cooper and other demonstrators on Clay Street and 14th Street as they were marching and  
3 chanting, “Hands up, Don’t shoot.” Cooper had attended as a journalist. He repeatedly asked  
4 police where they were directing media, or where the press line was drawn, and they refused to  
5 answer him. As a result, he remained with the crowd. When police fired tear gas in the crowd,  
6 he was gassed, and he developed blisters on his face and skin. He saw clouds of tear gas.

7           103. Plaintiff Maria Gisella Ramirez was at the May 29, 2020, protest. She saw police  
8 shoot teargas and flashbang grenades into the crowd. She ran with a group of people trying to  
9 get away. The police corralled the group into a parking lot where they shot numerous canisters  
10 and tear gas into the group, causing a stampede. She was able to walk away and went to the  
11 corner of 27th Street and Broadway. The police arrived and threw a flashbang grenade in the  
12 air. It hit her forehead and cut her.

13           104. On the evening of May 29, 2020, plaintiff Ashwin James Rupan was near  
14 Webster Street between 30<sup>th</sup> Street and Hawthorn Street with a crowd of demonstrators. Police  
15 kettled the group and shot teargas and flashbang grenades into the crowd, which caused a  
16 stampede. The area was covered in smoke. He ran with the crowd, was pushed, and fell into a  
17 deep ditch of about ten feet. He was stuck in the ditch and could not walk. No-one could find  
18 him. He suffered a broken ankle.

19           105. Plaintiff Ian McDonnell participated in the peaceful protests in Oakland  
20 beginning on May 29, 2020. At the protests, Oakland Police Department officers shot tear gas,  
21 flashbang grenades, and rubber bullets at him and other demonstrators who were peacefully  
22 protesting. He observed others, including journalists, get injured as a result. At the May 29,  
23 2020, protest, McDonnell displayed a cross on his bag, the universal symbol for medical  
24 assistance. He subsequently removed it, however, because he witnessed OPD targeting medics  
25 and/or demonstrators providing medical care. On June 1, 2020, Officer D’Orso arrested Mr.  
26 McDonnell. He used zip ties to handcuff Mr. McDonnell before citing and releasing him for  
27 breaking curfew.

28           106. On or about May 30, 2020, at approximately 10 p.m., plaintiff Daniel Sanchez

1 was standing at or near the corner of Broadway Street and 14th Street in Oakland. He was  
2 simply watching the protests when a police officer shot him in the eye with a rubber bullet at  
3 close range. Upon information and belief, the officer was a member of the OPD. He required  
4 eye surgery.

5 107. Plaintiff Johnathan Farmer attended the protest on May 30, 2020. Police shot  
6 him in the leg with a projectile and fired tear gas into the crowd. His leg was sore, cut, and  
7 badly bruised, and he was limping for about a week.

8 108. Plaintiff Niko Nada participated in the peaceful protests in Oakland beginning on  
9 May 29, 2020. At the June 1 protest, OPD tear gassed demonstrators, forcing him and his  
10 companions to continue up Broadway towards 14th Street. Officers outfitted in riot gear  
11 kettled him and other demonstrators and demanded that they sit. After a police supervisor,  
12 name unknown, who was not wearing riot gear, made a gesture, Officer Fought grabbed Mx.  
13 Nada and put him in a chokehold. Officer Fought pushed Mx. Nada to the ground, got on top  
14 of him, and put his knee into his back with all his weight before zip tying Nada's hands  
15 together over his thumb. Officer Fought then forcibly removed Mx. Nada by lifting him and  
16 separating him from his companions. Mx. Nada complained about the tightness of the zip ties.  
17 He was cited and released for breaking curfew. Mx. Nada suffered physical injuries, including  
18 bruises and purple fingers, requiring him to seek medical attention.

19 109. Plaintiff Azize Ngo participated in the June 1, 2020, youth-led protest beginning  
20 at Oakland Technical High School and ending at City Hall. At the end of the protest, Mx. Ngo  
21 heard a loud bang and saw a man with a bloody ear stating that he had been hit with a rubber  
22 bullet. Mx. Ngo saw crowds of people who had been tear gassed. They were distraught by what  
23 they experienced. OPD officers kettled Mx. Ngo and others and tackled kneeling people.  
24 Officers with automatic weapons stood over Mx. Ngo and others whom OPD had forced into  
25 lying in a pile on the ground. OPD officers acted without masks or regard for social distancing.

26 110. Plaintiff Jennifer Li sustained injuries including swelling, bruising, soreness, and  
27 blisters to her person when OPD threw a flashbang grenade and stinger ball directly into her  
28 back as she was walking away from the June 1, 2020, protest. The flashbang grenade exploded

1 on her back, causing her to stumble forward. She also experienced ringing in her ear and  
2 suffered a perforation in her left ear drum.

3 111. On June 1, 2020, plaintiff Maria Gisella Ramirez was first tear gassed at around  
4 7:30 p.m. She saw a wall of gas. The gas burned her skin and made her face mask stick to her  
5 skin. She is a high school teacher and remained at the demonstration to ensure that the high  
6 school students who were present were cared for and could make it home safely. At around 8  
7 p.m., some armored trucks and SUVs drove up. The police ran towards her and others as she  
8 was trying to leave the area to go to a wellness center nearby. She was cited and detained for  
9 about an hour with extremely tight zipties that injured her.

10 112. Plaintiff Sean Canaday attended the protest on June 1, 2020, where police shot  
11 him in the ear with a projectile. A tear gas canister hit him in the heel. There was intense tear  
12 gas. He went to the emergency room that night for stitches. He suffered a concussion as a  
13 result.

14 113. Plaintiff Michael Cohen attended the protest with his daughter on June 1, 2020.  
15 A flashbang grenade detonated very close to him, resulting in a headache and ringing in his  
16 ears for 24 hours. Projectiles hit his right thigh and backside, which was bruised for one  
17 month. He experienced eye irritation, cough, and choking due to the tear gas.

18 114. Plaintiff Lindsey Filowitz was walking outside on June 1, 2020, to get some relief  
19 from quarantine. She saw a peaceful protest and decided to stay. Suddenly, she heard loud  
20 sounds and then saw tear gas canisters flying into the crowd. She ran but because she had  
21 inhaled the gas, she could not breathe and had to stop. She became very sick with chest pains,  
22 coughing, and diarrhea, and was mostly bedridden for two weeks. She attempted to attend  
23 another protest on June 3, 2020, but was too gripped by anxiety to stay.

24 115. Plaintiff Danielle Gaito attended the peaceful youth protest on June 1, 2020. She  
25 saw that police were not wearing masks and she was concerned about COVID-19. The police  
26 started throwing flashbang grenades and then tear gas. At about 7:40 p.m., after police used  
27 force against the crowd, the police announced that the gathering was an unlawful assembly  
28 and declared that there was a curfew. A police officer threw a flashbang grenade at her arm.



1 She saw Officer Patrick Gonzales grab a Latinx woman by the arm. She saw another officer  
2 grab a Black man who was on his knees and push him into the ground. While the police were  
3 arresting her, she kept asking them to wear masks, which they did not do.

4 116. Plaintiff Bryanna Kelly attended the June 1, 2020, protest. Around 7:40 p.m., the  
5 police began throwing tear gas and projectiles. The crowd panicked. Ms. Kelly ran to get away  
6 and was hit in her left knee with a flashbang grenade. She thought they had blown out her  
7 knee. She was in pain and limping for weeks. The anxiety and grief from that day has stopped  
8 her from attending further protests.

9 117. Plaintiff Leila Mottley was tear gassed by OPD on on June 1, 2020. She was 17-  
10 years-old at the time. She heard the police issue a muffled announcement, and then flashbang  
11 grenades and tear gas erupted around her. Her skin and lungs have been irritated since then.  
12 She had to run through the gas to avoid getting hit by flashbang grenades. She was terrified.

13 118. Plaintiff Nicole Puller was tear gassed on June 1, 2020. She saw people around  
14 her bleeding and heard yells of “I’m shot!” The police arrested her for violating curfew  
15 although she was trying to leave. She was handcuffed for two and a half hours. An officer asked  
16 her if the cuffs were too tight, and she said yes, but he took no action. The police were not  
17 wearing masks.

18 119. Plaintiff Aaron Rogachevsky joined the June 1, 2020, protest. He was in the  
19 crowd when the police tear gassed the crowd. He had difficulty breathing for at least a day, and  
20 a cough and runny nose for a week.

21 120. Plaintiff Christina Stewart is a nurse who lives in Sacramento and works in  
22 Oakland. She is on the frontlines fighting COVID-19. On June 1, 2020, she was staying at the  
23 Marriott on 11th and Broadway. She decided to attend the protest with her teenage daughter.  
24 She joined the protest with her daughter at 7:14 p.m. when the march passed by her hotel,  
25 headed to 8th and Broadway. At around 7:42 p.m, she heard a “pop, pop, pop” and teargas  
26 canisters landed feet away from her. She and her daughter tried to leave. The teargas made  
27 them disoriented and sick. They went home as soon as they could. Ms. Stewart is wary of  
28 attending another protest.

1           121. Plaintiff Tayah Stewart, Ms. Stewart's daughter, was at the peaceful protest with  
2 her mother. She was tear gassed for no reason with no warning. After that, she stopped  
3 attending protests in any city.

4           122. Plaintiff Katherine Sugrue attended the June 1, 2020, protest. She saw police put  
5 on helmets and pull out flashbang grenades. The police were pointing people out and throwing  
6 flashbang grenades and tear gas canisters. They were also shooting rubber bullets directly at  
7 people. Later, she was kettled, and observed three or four officers grab a child. Police threw her  
8 partner to the ground and threw a flashbang grenade near his head. When media arrived, the  
9 police became less aggressive. The police informed her that if she was caught at protests in the  
10 area again, they would arrest her and take to Santa Rita jail. This along with the health issues  
11 made her apprehensive of participation in protests against police brutality.

12           123. On June 1, 2020, Plaintiff Celeste Wong marched down Broadway towards the  
13 OPD Administration building. She and the other protestors stopped and kneeled at 8th and  
14 Broadway, at around 8:00 p.m. Police launched flashbang grenades and tear gas into the  
15 crowd. They released at least ten canisters. The weapons irritated her eyes, lungs, and made it  
16 so hard to breathe she had to remove her mask.

17           124. On June 1, 2020, plaintiff Qiaochu Zhang joined the youth protest at 5:30 p.m.  
18 He observed police launch projectiles and tear gas at protestors at 8th and Broadway. The  
19 police announcements were inaudible. He tried to run to his home two blocks away at 9th and  
20 Franklin, but all of Broadway was covered in teargas. He kneeled on the street due to distress.  
21 The police then kettled him and others. An officer told him he was being cited for being  
22 present in the area after the protest was declared unlawful. Mr. Zhang did not hear an unlawful  
23 assembly announcement and he had not intended to remain in the area after being tear gassed,  
24 but he was trapped by the gas and the surrounding police. Police told him that he would go  
25 straight to Santa Rita jail if he protested again.

26           125. At all material times the actions and omissions of each defendant were  
27 intentional, and/or wanton, and/or willful, and/or reckless, and/or callous, and/or malicious,  
28 and/or deliberately indifferent to plaintiffs' rights, and/or grossly negligent, and/or negligent.

1           126. Plaintiffs suffered unnecessary pain and bruising, trauma, as well as ongoing  
2 stress and anxiety, as a result of defendants' tortious, wrongful, and constitutionally improper  
3 conduct.

4           127. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly  
5 situated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) on the basis that there is a well-  
6 defined community of interest in this litigation, the proposed class is easily ascertainable, and  
7 the proposed class is quite numerous.

8           128. Plaintiffs seek to represent the following class: All demonstrators who  
9 participated and/or intended to participate in the protests beginning on May 29, 2020 in  
10 Oakland.

11           129. Plaintiffs Akil Riley, Ian McDonnell, Niko Nada, Michael Cooper, Lindsey  
12 Filowitz, Danielle Gaito, Melissa Miyara, Leila Mottley, Aaron Rogachevsky, Chrstina Stewart,  
13 Tayah Stewart, Katherine Sugrue, Celeste Wong, and Qiaochu Zhang seek to represent the  
14 following subclass: all demonstrators who inhaled tear gas at the protests in Oakland  
15 beginning on May 29, 2020. This subclass will be referred to as the "tear gassed  
16 demonstrators."

17           130. Plaintiffs Akil Riley, Niko Nada, Jennifer Li, Sean Canaday, Michael Cohen,  
18 Andrea Constanzo, Johnathan Farmer, Katie Johnson, Bryanna Kelly, Lindsey Morris, Maria  
19 Gisella Ramirez, Tara Rose, Ashwin James Rupan, and Daniel Sanchez seek to represent the  
20 following subclass: all demonstrators who sustained physical injuries from flashbang grenades  
21 and/or other projectiles thrown or launched at them at the protests in Oakland beginning on  
22 May 29, 2020. This subclass will be referred to as the "projectile injured demonstrators."

23           131. Plaintiffs Ian McDonnell, Niko Nada, Azize Ngo, Maria Gisella Ramirez, Nicole  
24 Puller, Danielle Gaito, and Qiaochu Zhang seek to represent the following subclass: all  
25 demonstrators arrested and/or cited for a violation of the 8 p.m. curfew in effect on June 1,  
26 2020. This subclass will be referred to as the "cited demonstrators."

27           132. Plaintiffs and the putative class and subclasses were subjected to the  
28 constitutional and statutory violations described in this complaint. Upon information and

1 belief, the legal and factual issues are common to the class and affect all class members.

2 133. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend or modify the class and subclass  
3 descriptions with greater specificity or further division into subclasses, as well as to limit the  
4 class or subclasses to particular issues, as warranted.

5 **Numerosity**

6 134. The potential members of the class and of the subclasses as defined are so  
7 numerous that joinder of all of them is impracticable. While the precise number of class  
8 members has not been determined at this time, plaintiffs are informed and believe that the  
9 class is comprised of more than 1000 individuals. The potential members of each subclass may  
10 exceed 100 demonstrators.

11 **Commonality and Predominance**

12 135. There are questions of law and fact that are common to the class and subclasses  
13 and predominate over individualized questions. These common questions of law and fact  
14 include, without limitation:

- 15 1. Does the use of less-lethal weapons deployed by OPD infringe upon  
16 demonstrators' constitutional rights to be free of excessive force, to peaceably  
17 assemble, to freedom of expression, and freedom from viewpoint discrimination  
18 under the First Amendment?
- 19 2. Has the City of Oakland manifested a failure to adequately train and supervise its  
20 police officers and members of its mutual aid network to properly utilize tear gas,  
21 flashbang grenades, rubber bullets and other non-lethal weapons?
- 22 3. Are there provisions omitted from the City of Oakland's and OPD's crowd control  
23 policy and practices that are necessary to protect demonstrators' constitutional  
24 rights?
- 25 4. Did the City of Oakland knowingly place demonstrators in a danger created by its  
26 affirmative unlawful action?
- 27 5. Has the City of Oakland exhibited deliberate indifference to the unconstitutional  
28 conduct complained of herein?



1 Government Code §§ 910, *et seq.* to the City of Oakland.

2 142. On September 18, 2020, the City of Oakland denied plaintiffs' claim.

3 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
4 **First Amendment Violation**  
(By all plaintiffs against all defendants.)  
5 (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

6 143. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference all of the foregoing  
7 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

8 144. By virtue of the foregoing, defendants violated plaintiffs' rights to freedom of  
9 speech, assembly, and association under the First Amendment to the United States  
10 Constitution, when acting under color of law, they declared the peaceful protests unlawful  
11 assemblies and kettled, tear gassed, shot flashbang grenades and other projectiles at plaintiffs.  
12 At the June 1 protest, a curfew order that was not narrowly tailored, and without sufficient  
13 notice, was issued to further suppress the plaintiffs' protected First Amendment activities  
14 including the right to free speech and assembly.

15 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
16 **Fourth Amendment Excessive Force and Unlawful Seizure**  
(By all plaintiffs against all defendants.)  
17 (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

18 145. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
19 though fully set forth herein.

20 146. By virtue of the foregoing, defendants violated plaintiffs' rights to be free from  
21 excessive force and unlawful seizure when acting under color of law, they kettled, tear gassed,  
22 and shot flashbang grenades and other projectiles at plaintiffs.

23 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
24 **Fourteenth Amendment Substantive Due Process**  
(By all plaintiffs against all defendants.)  
25 (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

26 147. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
27 though fully set forth herein.  
28

1 148. By virtue of the foregoing, defendants violated plaintiffs' rights to substantive  
2 due process, when, acting under color of law, they affirmatively and indiscriminately kettled,  
3 tear gassed, and shot flashbang grenades and other projectiles at plaintiffs with deliberate  
4 indifference to the plaintiffs' personal and physical safety during a pandemic.

5 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
6 ***Monell and Supervisory Liability***

7 (By all plaintiffs against defendants City of Oakland and Chief Manheimer.)  
8 (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

9 149. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
10 though fully set forth herein.

11 150. Oakland and Chief Manheimer have systematically failed to adequately select,  
12 train, and supervise police officers under their control to prevent these officers from  
13 interfering with people's First Amendment rights to freedom of speech, assembly, and  
14 association and to refrain from punishing people in retaliation for the exercise of these rights.

15 151. Oakland and Chief Manheimer have failed to adequately hold officers  
16 accountable for violations of people's rights, thereby condoning and encouraging it.

17 152. Oakland and Chief Manheimer have systematically failed to adequately select,  
18 train, and supervise police officers under their control to refrain from engaging in excessive  
19 force against people exercising their First amendment rights.

20 153. Oakland and Chief Manheimer have failed to adequately hold officers  
21 accountable for violations of people's rights, thereby condoning and encouraging these  
22 violations.

23 154. The failures of Oakland and Chief Manheimer as set forth herein constitute  
24 unconstitutional customs and practices of Oakland.

25 **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
26 ***Assault and Battery***  
27 (By all plaintiffs against all defendants)

28 155. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
though fully set forth herein.



1 156. By the above-described acts and/or omissions and/or failures to supervise  
2 and/or failure to institute and execute adequate training and policies on part of defendants, as  
3 alleged herein, plaintiffs were assaulted and battered, thereby entitling plaintiffs to damages  
4 pursuant to California law.

5 157. The aiding and abetting and/or failure to intervene and/or failure to prevent the  
6 assault and battery gives rise to liability on the part of other, to be identified City of Oakland  
7 employee defendants.

8 **SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**Bane Act**

9 (By all plaintiffs against all defendants)

10 (Cal. Civil Code § 52.1)

11 158. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
12 though fully set forth herein.

13 159. By their acts, omissions, customs, and policies, defendants, acting in  
14 concert/conspiracy, as described above, and with threats, intimidation, and/or coercion,  
15 violated plaintiffs' rights under California Civil Code § 52.1 and the following clearly  
16 established rights under the United States Constitution and California Constitution and law:  
17 the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

18 **SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**False Arrest and False Imprisonment**

19 (By all plaintiffs against all defendants)

20 160. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
21 though fully set forth herein.

22 161. By the above-described acts and/or omissions and/or failures to supervise  
23 and/or failure to institute and execute adequate training and policies on the part of  
24 defendants, as alleged herein, plaintiffs were falsely arrested and falsely imprisoned, thereby  
25 entitling plaintiffs to damages pursuant to California law.

26 162. By virtue of the foregoing, defendant City of Oakland is liable under California  
27 Government Code section 815.2 for the acts of its employees, each of whom acted within the  
28 course and scope of his employment.

1           163. The aiding and abetting and/or failure to intervene and/or failure to prevent this  
 2 arrest gives rise to liability on the part of other, to be identified City of Oakland employee  
 3 defendants.

4                                   **EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
 5                                   **Negligence**  
 6                                   (By all plaintiffs against all defendants)

7           164. Plaintiffs and plaintiff class incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as  
 8 though fully set forth herein.

9           165. At all material times, each defendant owed each plaintiff the duty to act with due  
 10 care in the execution and enforcement of any right, law, or legal obligation; and reasonable  
 11 care.

12           166. These general duties of reasonable care and due care owed to plaintiffs by all  
 13 defendants included, but were not limited to, the following specific obligations: violating  
 14 Constitutional rights, and the right to protection from bodily restraint, or personal insult, as  
 15 secured by California Civil Code section 43, to conduct a reasonable investigation before  
 16 effecting an arrest, and to refrain from abusing authority.

17           167. By the acts and/or omissions alleged above, defendants acted negligently and  
 18 breached their duty of due care owed to plaintiffs, which foreseeably resulted in the suffering  
 19 of damages by Plaintiffs.

20           168. By virtue of the foregoing, defendant City of Oakland is liable under California  
 21 Government Code section 815.2 for the acts of its employees, each of whom acted within the  
 22 course and scope of his employment.

23                                   **INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF**

24           169. Plaintiffs' only means of securing complete and adequate relief is to also seek  
 25 declaratory and injunctive relief to provide plaintiffs substantial and complete protection from  
 26 defendants unlawful policies and practices. Remedies at law are inadequate. Plaintiffs  
 27 therefore seek both legal damages and equitable remedies in the form of declaratory and  
 28

1 injunctive relief against defendants.

2 **DAMAGES**

3 170. As a result of the actions of defendants as set forth above, plaintiffs have been  
4 injured and have suffered damages as follows:

5 a. They have had their rights to peaceably assemble and redress their  
6 grievances irreparably harmed;

7 b. They have suffered physical and psychological harm;

8 c. They have incurred expenses for medical care;

9 d. They have lost income; and

10 e. They have incurred property loss.

11 WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request that this Court grant them relief as follows:

12 1. A permanent injunction barring defendants from engaging in the  
13 unconstitutional conduct described herein and imposing appropriate remedial measures to  
14 prevent the repetition of such conduct in the future;

15 2. Declaratory relief to determine that the acts and policies described herein  
16 violated the rights of the plaintiffs and class members;

17 3. A determination that this action may proceed as a class action under Rule 23(b)  
18 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

19 4. Designation of plaintiffs as class representatives and designation of plaintiffs'  
20 counsel as class counsel;

21 5. General damages, in an amount to be determined;

22 6. Special damages, in an amount to be determined;

23 7. Nominal damages;

24 8. Punitive damages where appropriate;

25 9. Reasonable attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988;

26 10. Costs of suit; and

27 11. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: October 20, 2020

SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA

By: /s/ Dan Siegel  
Dan Siegel

ANNE BUTTERFIELD WEILLS, SBN 139845  
JANE BRUNNER, SBN 135422  
SONYA Z. MEHTA, SBN 294411  
EMILYROSE JOHNS, SBN 294319  
ANDREW CHAN KIM, SBN 315331  
SIEGEL, YEE, BRUNNER & MEHTA  
Email: abweills@gmail.com;  
janebrunner@hotmail.com;  
sonyamehta@siegelyee.com;  
emilyrose@siegelyee.com; chankim@siegelyee.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
ANTI POLICE-TERROR PROJECT,  
COMMUNITY READY CORPS, SEAN CANADAY,  
MICHAEL COHEN, MICHAEL COOPER, ANDREA  
MARINA COSTANZO, JOHNATHAN FARMER,  
LINDSEY FILOWITZ, DANIELLE GAITO, KATIE  
JOHNSON, BRYANNA KELLY, JENNIFER LI, IAN  
McDONNELL, MELISSA MIYARA, LINDSEY  
MORRIS, LEILA MOTTLEY, NIKO NADA, AZIZE  
NGO, NICOLE PULLER, MARIA RAMIREZ, AKIL  
RILEY, AARON ROGACHEVSKY, TARA ROSE,  
ASHWIN RUPAN, DANIEL SANCHEZ, CHRISTINA  
STEWART, TAYAH STEWART, KATHERINE  
SUGRUE, CELESTE WONG, and QIAOCHU  
ZHANG; on behalf of themselves and similarly  
situated individuals.