

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

OCT 27 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: KHISTINA CALDWELL DE JEAN.

No. 20-73167

KHISTINA CALDWELL DE JEAN,

D.C. No.
1:20-cv-00280-LEK-KJM
District of Hawaii,
Honolulu

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII,

Respondent,

SCOTT T. NAGO, in his Official Capacity
as Chief Election Office of the State of
Hawaii,

Real Party in Interest.

Before: W. FLETCHER, BERZON, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

We deny petitioner’s request for injunctive relief because we lack authority to grant such relief in the context of a petition for a writ of mandamus. *See Fed. R. App. P. 8(a)* (providing for authority to grant injunctive relief while an appeal is pending).

No further filings will be accepted in this closed case.

DENIED.