## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED 2007 MAY -4 PM 2: 0

RAUL MEZA,

PLAINTIFF,

PLAINTIFF,

S

PLAINTIFF,

RAUL MEZA,

PLAINTIFF,

RESTERN DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT WESTERN DISTRICT COURT

§ § § § V. BRAD LIVINGSTON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE TEXAS § DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY; BRIAN COLLIER, DIRECTOR OF THE § § TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PAROLE DIVISION, IN HIS § OFFICIAL AND INDIVIDUAL § § CAPACITIES: AND RISSIE L. OWENS, § JOSE ALISEDA, CHARLES AYCOCK, CONRITH DAVIS, JACKIE § § DENOYELLES, LINDA GARCIA, AND JUANITA M. GONZALES, IN THEIR Š OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MEMBERS OF THE TEXAS BOARD OF PARDONS

DEFENDANTS.

AND PAROLES,

CAUSE NO. A-05-CA-1008-LY

## **ORDER**

§

Before the Court is Plaintiff Meza's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Defendants' Experts filed April 20, 2007 (Clerk's Document 147). By his motion, Meza requests that this Court exclude the testimony of Defendants Livingston and Collier's expert witnesses pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(c). In the alternative, Meza requests that this Court order Livingston and Collier to produce a written report prepared and signed by each expert witness designated by Livingston and Collier pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B).

Livingston and Collier's response to Meza's motion was due on May 1, 2007. See W.D. Tex.

Local R. CV-7(d) (requiring party opposed to motion to respond within eleven days of service of

motion and allowing district court to grant motion as unopposed if no timely response is filed). To

date, however, Livingston and Collier have filed no response to Meza's motion. Thus, pursuant to

Local Rule CV-7(d), Meza's motion may be granted as unopposed. Id. Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) requires that a party's disclosure of witnesses be accompanied by "a written

report prepared and signed by the witness" in the case of any "witness who is retained or specially

employed to provide expert testimony in the case or whose duties as an employee of the party

regularly involve giving expert testimony," unless otherwise ordered by the court. Having

considered the motion, the case file, and the applicable law, this Court is of the opinion that the

motion should be granted as follows.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Meza's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Defendants'

Experts (Clerk's Document 147) is GRANTED to the extent that Livingston and Collier shall

provide written reports containing the information set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

26(a)(2)(B) to all parties on or before May 18, 2007, for each witness designated in Livingston and

Collier's April 18, 2007 Designation of Expert Witnesses to the extent that such witness will be

asked at trial to express an opinion on any matter. In all other respects, Meza's motion is **DENIED**.

SIGNED this Aday of May, 2007.

LEF YEAKEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE